





## **Consultation Statement November 2017**

# Contents

<b>1. IN</b>	TRODUCTION	2
2.1	UNCH DROP IN SESSIONS – OCTOBER 2014 Consultation description How people were consulted	3
2.3	Main Issues Raised How issues raised were responded to	4
	•	
	ONSULTATION 2 – Youth engagement and Commonplace Mid 2015	
	2. How people were consulted	
	3. Main issues raised	
3.4	l. How Issues raised were responded to	6
<b>4.</b>	MOVING AHEAD CONSULTATION – (JUNE 2016)	7
4.1	l. Consultation description	7
	2. How people were consulted	
	B. Main issues raised How Issues raised were responded to	
	-	
	IAPING LONGHORSLEY CONSULTATION – FEBRUARY 2017	
	l. Consultation description	
	2. How people were consulted 3. Main issues raised	
	. How Issues raised were responded to	
	RE-SUBMISSION CONSULTATION – JUNE/JULY 2017	
6.1	L. Consultation description	11
6.2	2. How people were consulted	11
	3. Main issues raised	
6.4	l. How Issues raised were responded to	13
7. HE	EALTH CHECK – SEPTEMBER 2017	13
	l. Consultation description	
	2. How people were consulted	
	8. Main issues raised 4. How Issues raised were responded to	
	-	
8. Ha	bitats Screening – NOVEMBER 2017	14
	L. Consultation description	
ช.2 8.3	2. How people were consulted 3. Main issues raised	14
	LIST OF APPENDICES ON EXTERNAL WEB SITES	
10.	APPENDIX 12 – BODIES CONSULTED	16

### **Consultation Statement November 2017**

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

- 1.1. This Consultation Statement has been prepared to fulfil the legal obligations of the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations 2012. Section 15(2) of Part 5 of the Regulations sets out what a Consultation Statement should contain:
  - i. Details of the persons and bodies who were consulted about the proposed neighbourhood development plan;
  - ii. Explanations of how they were consulted;
  - iii. Summaries of the main issues and concerns raised by the persons consulted;
  - iv. Description of how these issues and concerns have been considered and, where relevant, addressed in the proposed neighbourhood development plan.
- 1.2. The consultation process began from the outset, when the need to address local concerns about housing development was first highlighted by parishioners, at the annual general parish council meeting of May 2013. Further concerns about the interpretation and implementation of planning policies governing development in the area were also identified through the consultation process for the Northumberland Local Plan Core Strategy (NLPCS) and in the feedback to some planning applications at that time. The Parish Council agreed that these and other matters could benefit from locally defined policies and began to consider the options for developing a Neighbourhood Plan for Longhorsley. The plan-making process was formally launched with the setting up of the steering group and the application to Northumberland County Council to define a neighbourhood area in June 2014.
- 1.3. There have been several stages of consultation involved in the production of the LNP. The headline summary and timeline of the consultations is as follows;
  - Consultation 1 18<sup>th</sup> October 2014 drop in sessions to capture parish views on the key planning issues. Feedback was issued back out to the parish in November 2014.
  - Consultation 2 March 2015 A questionnaire was issued to youth groups to involve them more in the process. At the same time an application (Commonplace) was used to capture some specific feedback on particular places in the parish.
  - Consultation 3 June 2016 A flyer was distributed around the parish which summarised the Issues identified to that point and proposed the vision and objectives for the plan, which had been derived from the previous consultations. The flyer also sought verification of the findings and provided an opportunity to enhance them.
  - Consultation 4 February 2017 A briefing note was distributed throughout the parish which set out the proposed scope of the plan, the options the steering group proposed to put forward and the key points which could go into the draft LNP. Feedback was gathered via online and paper forms as well as via two drop in sessions on the 22<sup>nd</sup> of February. The feedback was analysed, actioned and communicated back to the parish via paper and online formats.
  - Consultation 5 June / 31 July 2017 The pre-submission draft LNP was issued for consultation over six weeks. The major face-to-face opportunity to review and feedback on the pre-submission draft plan was at the Longhorsley Village Day on the 15<sup>th</sup> July 2017.

### **Consultation Statement November 2017**

- 1.4. These opportunities demonstrate that the plan has been prepared giving the local community, as well as the statutory and non-statutory bodies, a real opportunity to participate and that contributions received have been used to form and shape the LNP.
- 1.5. This Consultation Statement provides an overview of each of the above stages of consultation in accordance with Section 15(2) of Part 5 of the Regulations. Full details are provided in the reports that support the Consultation Statement and are available in the appendices to this Consultation Statement. A chronological list of all the consultation activities plus details of who and how people were involved is referenced at Appendix 1 or can be viewed by clicking the links below. In addition, Appendix 12 contains the statutory and non-statutory bodies consulted.

<u>Comms Plan - Contacts</u> <u>Comms Plan NCC Contacts list</u> <u>Comms Plan Properties that do not get Tree</u>

## 2. LAUNCH DROP IN SESSIONS – OCTOBER 2014

### 2.1. Consultation description.

- 2.1.1. Between June and October 2014, the Parish Council, through the newly formed Steering Group, and several interested residents held discussions about the Localism Act and what a Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP) could do for Longhorsley. During this time, Northumberland County Council was also consulted and preparatory work was undertaken to initiate the processes that could lead to the preparation of an NDP.
- 2.1.2. The Neighbourhood Area was designated in September 2014 and in the same month an invitation was sent out to parishioners to an initial consultation event on the 18<sup>th</sup> October.

#### 2.2. How people were consulted

- 2.1.1. An invitation was sent out to all households in the parish via the Longhorsley Tree parish magazine. The "Tree" is hand delivered to all but 30 houses in the parish. At all consultation stages these 30 properties were added to the list of recipients to receive leaflets, questionnaires etc either by hand or by post. The Tree is also available online and is accessed by people within and outside of the parish. It is a key communications tool for the LPC and has been used extensively by the LNP Steering Group.
- 2.1.2. There were two drop in sessions organised in the Village Hall, one which ran from 11am until 1 pm and another which ran from 4pm to 7pm. Organisers had set up a number of topic boards (e.g. Housing and Environment) to prompt attendees to capture their thoughts, questions and issues on post it notes. There were also

### **Consultation Statement November 2017**

feedback forms to capture any other general thoughts and issues. 64 parishioners attended one or other of the sessions.

#### 2.3 Main Issues Raised

- 2.3.1. A detailed analysis of the data captured was carried out by the Steering Group. The following is the summary, grouped into the most appropriate headings;
  - Housing mixed views were expressed regarding the volume of new housing for the village, however, it was clear that there was support for protecting the countryside, heritage and village character.
  - Environment There were calls for no further wind turbines but support for the idea of renewable energy in general.
  - Health and social activities support was expressed for the activities that already exist and the Tree for being a fantastic mode of communication. Health provision in the village was suggested.
  - Business, Tourism and Heritage it was suggested that more could be made of our heritage assets in order to encourage visitors. There needs to be improvement to public rights of way. Local businesses are valued and need to be supported.
  - Infrastructure (communication, roads/transport, facilities, utilities) we need better broadband! Major concerns about all roads to the village, especially regarding speeding, crossings, cycling and footpaths. Expansion of bus services was supported. Issues with regard to drainage and water pressure were raised and it was hoped that mains gas could be supplied to the village.
  - Education, Children and Young People positive comments about the facilities that exist in the village, but that more could be provided for older young people.

#### 2.4 How issues raised were responded to

- 2.4.1. After analysing the attendance at the event, the Steering Group was conscious that it had been unable to gather the views of many under 18 parishioners as well as some parishioners from Church View and Reivers Gate. A number of people volunteered to be involved in the process going forward, including volunteers to help to gather the views of under 18s.
- 2.4.2. It was agreed that more needed to be done to build on the feedback received as well as reaching those who had not been able to contribute so far. An online application was used to gather some further information and a questionnaire was developed to check back and build upon the information gathered at this initial contact point. Some of the points raised had been immediately taken up and addressed by the LPC.

### **Consultation Statement November 2017**

2.4.3. A document containing the results of a complete analysis, issues raised and next steps is referenced at Appendix 2 or can viewed by clicking the following link: October 2014 consultation

## 3. CONSULTATION 2 – Youth engagement and Commonplace Mid 2015

#### 3.1. Consultation description

3.1.1. Having observed at the first round of consultation that the youth of the parish had not been sufficiently engaged a questionnaire was developed to do that and people assigned to work with local youth groups and gather their feedback. It was also felt that more automation would ease the burden on volunteers gathering data and so an online application was sourced and developed.

#### 3.2. How people were consulted

3.2.1. A Youth café was formed and one of the activities was to obtain feedback on those issues which were important to the youth of the parish. Volunteers also attended other youth groups such as the Guides to ask for their input also. Some of the youth of the parish worked with one of the teachers to build a model of the village, highlighting the features most important to them (see the image of the model below). An online application (Commonplace) was launched in the parish and residents were encouraged to use it via the Tree, The application is referenced at Appendix 3 or can be viewed by clicking on this link into the Tree article containing the Commonplace link; <u>March 2015 article.</u>





#### 3.3. Main issues raised

3.3.1. The youth of the parish did not raise any issues. They commented on the things they enjoyed about the village, such as the MUGA and the village shop and wished for additional retail outlets for toys, sweets etc. The feedback via Commonplace was lower volume than had been expected and it was agreed that the residents of the parish do not generally respond well to a purely technical / online approach. Most of the issues raised related to the quality of footpaths and roads. A few residents also made positive comments about the value of some assets, such as the local shop and pub.

#### 3.4. How Issues raised were responded to

The issues raised via Commonplace were added to those captured in Consultation 1 and helped to shape the flyer issued the following June (Moving Ahead).

### **Consultation Statement November 2017**

## 4. MOVING AHEAD CONSULTATION – (JUNE 2016)

### 4.1. Consultation description

4.1.1. The LNP Steering Group had analysed all of the feedback to this point and had begun to prepare the ground for the production of the Plan itself. They also decided to bring on board an external consultant with experience of both producing and examining Neighbourhood Plans to guide them through the remaining processes. It was agreed that the next step was to validate, with the residents, the Issues they had raised along with the Objectives and Vision for the Plan which had been derived from them. This consultation stage was titled "Moving Ahead".

#### 4.2. How people were consulted

4.2.1. A Flyer was distributed to every house in the Parish. Paper copies accompanied the Tree and an electronic version of the flyer was available online also. The "Moving Ahead" flyer is referenced at Appendix 4 or can viewed by clicking the following link: <u>Moving Ahead Flyer</u>. The Flyer included a questionnaire / feedback form through which residents could indicate their satisfaction or otherwise with the issues, objectives and vision. There was also a further opportunity to raise new issues or objectives.

#### 4.3. Main issues raised

4.3.1. The LNP Steering Group used the results of this consultation as input to a workshop, held on the 11<sup>th</sup> December. The workshop was led by the independent consultant and attended by Northumberland County Council representatives as well as members of the steering group and the community. The purpose of the workshop was to review and agree how the feedback impacted thinking up to this point and to begin to plan out the production of Neighbourhood Plan. The feedback received is referenced at Appendix 5 or can be viewed by clicking the following link: Moving Ahead Final Responses. The level of response across the parish was low, which the group took to indicate that they were well aligned with residents. This was further verified when considering that 100% of those who did respond agreed with the issues and vision as stated and only one person disagreed with the objectives. There were several individual additional comments and suggestions, each of which is described below, along with the agreed action for dealing with it.

#### 4.4. How Issues raised were responded to

4.4.1. The Steering Group reviewed the results of the consultation and considered that the residents of the parish were generally supportive of the LNP and understood its purpose. The group made the following decisions in response to the consultation feedback:

- 4.4.2. Responses regarding points raised relating to Issues
  - The Steering Group did not agree that new businesses should be restricted it would be better to prepare a policy that set out the criteria that was important for any business development to meet.
  - The Steering Group was agreed that biodiversity and landscape were important and would be reflected in the objectives.
- 4.4.3. Responses regarding points raised relating to Objectives
  - The Steering Group group did not agree that it was necessary to control housing solely to single unit infilling and that there was no evidence to justify this.
  - The Steering Group felt, although the need for safer footpaths in the village was important to reflect in the transport objective, a lot of work had already been carried out in the parish working on rights of way and there was less need for the plan to specifically address protection of rights of way. (Note – this was later changed due to further feedback and a policy is included in the LNP).
  - The Steering Group felt the matter of 20mph restrictions in the village may be possible away from the A697 but the speed controls already in place on the main road through the village were sufficient and a restriction to 20 mph may not be achievable. In any event it did not need to be referred to as a specific objective.
  - The Steering Group discussed the issue of wind power at length. It was decided that although a policy setting out criteria could be considered – identifying areas for onshore wind was not advisable. They did not think a specific objective was required.
  - > The Steering Group decided to adapt objective 2 to refer to biodiversity.
  - The Steering Group decided to adapt objective 5 to refer to safer roads and footpaths.

### **Consultation Statement November 2017**

### **5. SHAPING LONGHORSLEY CONSULTATION – FEBRUARY 2017**

#### 5.1. Consultation description

5.1.1. The Steering Group used all of the information gathered up to this point to begin to shape the LNP and the policies that it would contain. It was decided that, before producing the Pre-Submission Draft of the LNP, it would be helpful to test the key points of principle with stakeholders affected and with the parish at large.

#### 5.2. How people were consulted

- 5.2.1. A briefing note was produced which outlined the planned content of the LNP, highlighting those issues and topics which were key and asking for recipients to give these some thought and share their views. The briefing note is referenced at Appendix 6 or can be viewed by clicking the following link: Briefing Note Feb 2017. The briefing note was issued to all of the residents of the parish and was also made available online through the Tree website. The paper and online versions also had a questionnaire to capture people's input. In addition, letters were sent out to businesses, churches, farmers and groups in the parish as well as to developers and builders who were known to have an interest in the parish. The letters invited these stakeholders to engage with the process through the paper or online versions of the questionnaire.
- 5.2.2. Two drop in sessions were arranged in one of the local churches (see image below), during the day and the evening. Material explaining more about the LNP and the key issues and policies was displayed and people were on hand from the Parish Council and the Steering Group to discuss these, answer questions and capture more feedback. There were 48 individual pieces of feedback, 16 online, 15 using paper and 17 via comments written on post-its at the drop in sessions on the 22<sup>nd</sup> February. Three of the sets of feedback received were from non-residents. 30 people completed an online or a paper form, in which they were asked to state their overall feeling towards the emerging plan, with the following results;
  - > 18 people "fully supported" the LNP as outlined.
  - > 7 people supported the LNP as outlined and had additional comments
  - > 5 people objected to the LNP as outlined.

### **Consultation Statement November 2017**



#### 5.3. Main issues raised

- 5.3.1. The 48 pieces of feedback were all analysed and the proposed actions for dealing with them were scrutinised by an external consultant as well as by the parish council. All of the points raised, together with the actions to resolve them can be found in the spreadsheet which is referenced at Appendix 7 or can be viewed by clicking the following link: <u>Analysis of February Feedback</u>. There were several themes which were repeated in the feedback. None were new but all were looked at with fresh eyes before formulating actions to respond to them. The three major themes were;
  - The Green Belt / Settlement Boundary is too restrictive for future development.
  - > There is a lack of affordable housing in the parish
  - Continuing concerns about pedestrian safety in moving around the parish.

### **Consultation Statement November 2017**

#### 5.4. How Issues raised were responded to

5.4.1. All of the points raised are responded to in detail in Appendix 7. In addition, the Steering Group worked with NCC and the local housing agencies to analyse the concerns over affordable housing. This resulted in a short paper being produced, which set out the issues and the actions to be taken through the LNP and the associated Community Action Proposal. This paper is referenced at Appendix 8 or can be viewed by clicking the following link: <u>Affordable Housing In Longhorsley</u>. The analysis spreadsheet and the affordable housing paper were made available to the whole of the parish for their review and further comment, via the paper and online versions of the Tree. No further comments were received at this point.

## 6. PRE-SUBMISSION CONSULTATION – JUNE/JULY 2017

### 6.1. Consultation description

6.1.1. Between February and June 2017, several iterations of the LNP were produced, reviewed and consulted upon with the Parish Council, the Steering Group, the independent consultant and NCC. The NCC team responsible for SEA screenings completed their analysis during this period and their report is attached to the Basic Conditions Report. This resulted in the production of the Pre-Submission Draft, ready for the consultation process with statutory and non-statutory bodies, as well as the residents of the parish.

#### 6.2. How people were consulted

- 6.2.1. To make the plan more accessible to residents, a synopsis of its contents was produced and distributed to everyone in the parish at the start of June. It is referenced in Appendix 9 or can be viewed by clicking on the following link <u>LNP</u> <u>Briefing Note June 2017</u>. Printing of the LNP took place in early June and the consultation period started on 16<sup>th</sup> June. The report was made available in paper copy form at locations in Longhorsley village or by request to the parish clerk and online via the Tree. Once again, the material circulated to residents included a feedback form, which could be completed online or in paper form and also an invitation to meet with the parish council at the Village Day event to give feedback and ask questions face to face.
- 6.2.2. The official notice of the consultation was placed in the Morpeth Herald as well as being sent to the various statutory and non-statutory bodies, along with a letter inviting comment and a link to the LNP. Over 90 emails and letters were sent out to the various stakeholders (see records in the communications plan at Appendix 1).
- 6.2.3. The Parish Council organised a stall at the Longhorsley Village Day event (see image below) on the 15<sup>th</sup> of July. The stall was manned by the Steering Group and other parish councilors and there was an excellent level of engagement with residents, a number of whom provided their feedback that day.

### **Consultation Statement November 2017**



#### 6.3. Main issues raised

- 6.3.1. 61 feedback forms were received from the parish residents, 3 online and the rest on paper. 55 of these were fully supportive of the plan. 4 were supportive but wished to add a comment. Only 1 person objected to the plan and there was one spoilt form which could not be included in the analysis.
- 6.3.2. Several of the statutory bodies responded, as follows;
  - > Marine Management Organisation no comment.
  - Highways England the LNP is not expected to impact upon the highways network.
  - Natural England supported the policies and provided comment on how they could be improved.
  - Historic England felt the plan "presents a positive vision for the historic environment" and also provided some comments to help tune the policies.
  - Northumbrian Water congratulated the parish council "on policies that support sustainable development" and also provided some comments to assist in improving policies.
  - The Coal Authority noted that the parish did have areas of risk from previous mining activity but that none of the planned developments is expected to be at risk.

### **Consultation Statement November 2017**

NCC – provided a number of suggested changes to improve the plan. Most of these concerned the policies and how they might be improved to bring more clarity and make them easier to use in day-to-day planning operations. The comments did not fundamentally alter the thrust or purpose of the policies.

#### 6.4. How Issues raised were responded to

6.4.1. All of the feedback to the Pre-Submission Draft was analysed and the findings reviewed within the Steering Group, with the independent consultant and with NCC. A response was agreed for each of the comments raised and, in most cases, this resulted in a change to the LNP. All of the issues raised through feedback and the response to each is captured in a spreadsheet which is referenced at Appendix 10 or which can be viewed by clicking on the following link <u>Feedback and responses from pre-submission</u>. In addition, David English (NCC Neighbourhood Planning) provided separate comments, which were also tracked through to changes in the plan and these can be viewed via the reference in Appendix 10 or by clicking on the following link <u>Response to DE comments</u>

## 7. HEALTH CHECK – SEPTEMBER 2017

#### 7.1. Consultation description

7.1.1. The Steering Group felt that they should take the opportunity of an independent health check prior to completing the final version of the plan for submission. They contacted Locality and selected one of their examiner panel.

#### 7.2. How people were consulted

7.2.1. Following a telephone briefing, the examiner was provided with the LNP and supporting documentation.

#### 7.3. Main issues raised

- 7.3.1. The examiner's report, along with the responses to it, is referenced at Appendix 11 or can be viewed by clicking on the following link; <u>NPIERS health check response</u>.
- 7.3.2 For the most part the Health Check Examiner's comments were supportive and sought to improve the operation and clarity of the policies rather than fundamentally challenging their inclusion or purpose. His overall conclusion was "I congratulate the parish council on the preparation of a robust plan, which is fit for examination"

### **Consultation Statement November 2017**

#### 7.4. How Issues raised were responded to

7.4.1 The Health Check Examiner's comments have been actioned along with all feedback from the pre submission consultation stage in finalising the LNP for submission.

## 8. Habitats Screening – NOVEMBER 2017

#### 8.1. Consultation description

7.1.1. It is an EU statutory requirement that the Neighbourhood Planning process includes a habitat screening and, if required, a full assessment.

#### 8.2. How people were consulted

8.2.1. The NCC team responsible for the screening were consulted in early June and provided with an early version of the Pre-Submission Draft as well as that which went out to consultation in mid June and , finally the Submission draft of October 2017.

#### 8.3. Main issues raised

8.3.1. There were no issues raised and the conclusion of the report was that... The Submission Plan Final Longhorsley Neighbourhood Plan is not likely to have a significant effect on any European Sites for the reasons identified in Section 5 of this Report. The report is held on the LPC website and referenced directly from the BCR, which is also part of the submission pack.

### **Consultation Statement November 2017**

# 9. LIST OF APPENDICES ON EXTERNAL WEB SITES

Appendices 1 – 11 are hyper-linked to save on paper in the printed version and make the information more readily available online.

Appendix 1	Communications plan	Comms Plan NCC Contacts list Comms Plan Properties that do not get Tree
Appendix 2	October 2014 consultation	This was the first major consultation exercise - October 2014 consultation
Appendix 3	Commonplace	This link is to the Tree article via which Commonplace can be accessed - March 2015 article.
Appendix 4	Moving Ahead Flyer	A flyer sent out to the parish as part of Moving Ahead consultation - Moving Ahead Flyer
Appendix 5	Moving Ahead Feedback	The results of the Moving Ahead consultation - Moving Ahead Final Responses.
Appendix 6	Shaping Longhorsley Flyer	The flyer and questionnaire sent out for the Shaping Longhorsley consultation - <u>Briefing</u> Note Feb 2017.
Appendix 7	Shaping Longhorsley Feedback	The feedback from the shaping Longhorsley consultation and the responses - <u>Analysis of</u> <u>February Feedback</u>
Appendix 8	Affordable Housing Paper	A short paper considering feedback on affordable housing and action needed - <u>Affordable</u> Housing In Longhorsley.
Appendix 9	Pre-Submission Outline	A summary of the Pre-Submission Plan, circulated to the parish to inform and prepare people - <u>LNP Briefing Note June 2017</u> .
Appendix 10	IPre-Submission	Feedback and responses from Pre-Submission consultation - <u>Feedback and responses</u> from pre-submission And from David English - <u>Response to DE comments</u>
Appendix 11	Health Check Feedback	NPIERS health check feedback and responses - NPIERS health check response.

### **Consultation Statement November 2017**

## **10.** APPENDIX **12** – BODIES CONSULTED

Consultation Body	Organisation	Contact
Local Planning Authority	Northumberland County Council	Mark Ketley, Head of Planning Services Northumberland County Council, County Hall, Morpeth, Northumberland, NE61 2EF. Tel.: 01670 622388 Email: <u>Mark.Ketley@northumberland.gov.uk</u> David English, Planning Manager (Neighbourhood Planning and Infrastructure) Northumberland County Council, County Hall, Morpeth, Northumberland, NE61 2EF. Tel.: 01670 623619 Email: David.English@northumberland.gov.uk
The Coal Authority	The Coal Authority	Planning and Local Authority Liaison, The Coal Authority, 200 Lichfield Lane, Berry Lane, Mansfield, Nottinghamshire, NG18 4RG. Email: planningconsultation@coal.gov.uk
Homes and Communities Agency	Homes and Communities Agency	Homes and Communities Agency, St George's House, Kingsway, Team Valley, Gateshead, NE11 0NA. Email: mail@homesandcommunities.co.uk
Natural England	Natural England	Consultation Service, Natural England, Hornbeam House, Electra Way, Crewe Business Park, Crewe, CW1 6GJ. Email: consultations@naturalengland.org.uk
The Environment Agency	The Environment Agency	Planning Consultations, Environment Agency, Tyneside House, Skinnerburn Road, Newcastle Business Park, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE4 7AR. Email: planning.nane@environment-agency.gov.uk
Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England	Historic England	Historic England, 41-44 Sandgate, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 3JF. Email: <u>e-neast@HistoricEngland.org.uk</u>
Network Rail Infrastructure Limited	Network Rail Infrastructure Limited	Network Rail Infrastructure Limited, George Stephenson House, Toft Hill, York, Y01 6JT.
Highways England	Highways England	Asset Development Team - Yorkshire and North East, Highways Agency, Lateral, 8 City Walk, Leeds, LS11 9 AT. Email: <u>planningYNE@highwaysengland.co.uk</u> (Email address failed so letter sent by post)
Relevant Primary Care Trust	NHS Northumberland Clinical Commissioning Group	NHS Northumberland Clinical Commissioning Group, County Hall, Morpeth, Northumberland, NE61 2 EF. Tel.: 01670335161 Email: <u>norccg.enquiries@nhs.net</u>

Consultation Body	Organisation	Contact
Any person who owns or controls electronic communications apparatus	Avonline	Avonline, 42 Ashton Vale Road, Ashton Vale, Bristol, BS3 2AX. Tel.: 0117 953 1111 Email: info@avonline.co.uk
situated in any part of the area of the local planning authority	British Telecommunications Plc. Cybermoor	British Telecommunications Plc, Openreach Newsites PP 4AB, 21-23 Carliol Square, Newcastle CTE, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 1BB. (No email address so letter sent in post) Cybermoor, Town Hall, Front Street, Alston, CA9 3RF. Tel.: 01434 382808
	CTIL (Cornerstone Telecommunications Infrastructure Limited) Acting on behalf of Vodafone and O2	Email: <u>info@cybermoor.org.uk</u> Cornerstone Telecommunications Infrastructure Limited, EMF Enquiries, Building 1330 – The Exchange, Arlington Business Park, Theale, Berkshire, RG7 4SA. Email: <u>EMF.Enquiries@ctil.co.uk</u>
	EE	Alex Jackman, Corporate and Financial Affairs Department, EE, The Point, 37 North Wharf Road, London, W2 1AG. Email: <u>public.affairs@ee.co.uk</u>
	Three	Jane Evans, Three, Great Brighams, Mead Vastern Road, Reading, RG1 8DJ. Email: jane.evans@three.co.uk
	Virgin Media Limited	Virgin Media Limited, St James Court, Great Park Road, Almondsbury Park, Bradley Stoke, Bristol, BS32 4QJ. (No email address so letter sent in post)
	Wildcard Networks	Wildcard Networks, Reliance House, Skinnerburn Road, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE4 7AN.
Any person to whom the electronic communications code applies	CTIL (Cornerstone Telecommunications Infrastructure Limited) Acting on behalf of Vodafone and O2	Cornerstone Telecommunications Infrastructure Limited, EMF Enquiries, Building 1330 – The Exchange, Arlington Business Park, Theale, Berkshire, RG7 4SA. Email: <u>EMF.Enquiries@ctil.co.uk</u>
	EE	Alex Jackman, Corporate and Financial Affairs Department, EE, The Point, 37 North Wharf Road, London, W2 1AG. Email: public.affairs@ee.co.uk
	Three	Jane Evans, Three, Great Brighams, Mead Vastern Road, Reading, RG1 8DJ. Email: jane.evans@three.co.uk
Any person to whom a licence has been granted	Northern Powergrid	Northern Powergrid, Records and Information, Manor House, Station Road, Penshaw, Houghton le Spring, County Durham, DH4 7LA. (Email failed so letter sent in post)
under section 6(1)(b) and (c) of the Electricity Act 1989.	National Grid	National Grid, National Grid House, Warwick, Warwickshire, CV34 6DA.
Any a person to whom a licence has been granted	Northern Gas Networks	Northern Gas Networks, 1100 Century Way, Thorp Business Park, Colton, Leeds, LS15 8TU.

Consultation Body	Organisation	Contact
under section 7(2) of the Gas Act 1986.		
Sewerage undertaker	Northumbrian Water Limited	Laura Kennedy New Development Team (Planning), Northumbrian Water Limited, Leat House, Pattinson Road, Washington, Tyne and Wear, NE38 8LB. <u>laura.kennedy@nwl.co.uk</u> 0191 419 6767
Water undertaker	Northumbrian Water Limited	Laura Kennedy New Development Team (Planning), Northumbrian Water Limited, Leat House, Pattinson Road, Washington, Tyne and Wear, NE38 8LB. <u>laura.kennedy@nwl.co.uk</u> 0191 419 6767
Marine Management Organisation	Marine Management Organisation	Stakeholder & Networks Officer Marine Management Organisation PO Box 1275 Newcastle upon Tyne NE99 5BN Email: <u>consultations.mmo@marinemanagement.org.uk</u>

Consultation Body	Organisation	Contact
Adjoining Parish Councils in Northumberland	Brinkburn & Hesleyhurst Parish Council	Parish Clerk: Mr P Roberts Address: 3 Embleton Terrace, Longframlington, Morpeth, Northumberland, NE65 8JJ Email: <u>peteroberts@brinkburn.net</u>
	Netherwitton Parish Council	Parish Clerk: Miss Dee Smith Address: 67 Burnstones, West Denton, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE5 2DF Email: <u>netherwittonpc@gmail.com</u>
	Nunnykirk Parish Council	Parish Clerk: Mrs S Rollitt Address: 7 Wingates, Morpeth, Northumberland, NE65 8RW
	Thirston Parish Council	Parish Clerk: Mrs L Hamlin Address: The Arches, Felton, Morpeth, Northumberland, NE65 9QJ Email: thirstonparishcouncil@gmail.com
	Tritlington & West Chevington Parish Council	Parish Clerk: Ms Sara Brown Address: 38 Eleventh Avenue, Stobhill Gate, Morpeth, Northumberland, NE61 2EZ Email: <u>sarajayne87@live.co.uk</u> (email failed so sent letter via post)
Sustrans	Sustrans	2 Cathedral Square, College Green, Bristol, BS1 5DD Email: <u>reception@sustrans.org.uk</u>
Voluntary Bodies some or all of whose activities benefit all or any part of the neighbourhood area	Age UK Northumberland Community Action Northumberland	<u>info@ageuk-northumberland.org.uk</u> <u>info@ca-north.org.uk</u>
Bodies which represent the interests of different religious groups in the neighbourhood area	The Mission, Anglican and Catholic churches	Dr Ian Neilly, Longhorsley Mission, Longhorsley, NE65 8UR Rev Peter McConnell, St Helens Church, Longhorsley, NE65 8UU Father Ian Hoskins, St Thomas of Canterbury, Longhorsley, NE65 8UY
Bodies which represent the interests of different racial, ethnic or national groups in the neighbourhood area		None identified
Bodies which represent the interests of persons carrying on business in the	Country Landowners Association NFU	mail@cla.org.uk Jane Dungait (Advisor), NFU, Kirkley Hall, Northumberland
neighbourhood area Bodies which represent the		None identified
interests of disabled persons in the neighbourhood area		

Consultation Body	Organisation	Contact
Bodies who have requested to be notified of	The Theatres Trust	Mark Price (Planning and Heritage Adviser) The Theatres Trust, 22 Charing Cross Road, London WC2H 0QL.
neighbourhood plans in		Tel.: 02078368591
Northumberland		Email: mark.price@theatrestrust.org.uk
	Arriva	enquiries@arriva.co.uk
Other Bodies consulted	Northumberland Fire and	Robert.stacey@northumberland.gov.uk
	rescue	
	Northumberland Police	Northumbria Police Force Headquarters, Middle Engine Lane,Wallsend,Tyne & Wear,NE28 9NT
	Ramblers Association	ramblers@ramblers.org.uk
	Visit Northumberland	info@northumberlandtourism.co.uk
	Royal Mail	Group Communications, Royal Mail Group, 100 Victoria Embankment, London, EC4Y 0HQ