Lesbury Parish Council is grateful to Northumberland County Council for sending the comments received on their recent Regulation 16 consultation.

Most of the consultees had responded to the Regulation 14 consultation and those comments and responses have been provided to the Examiner. Below are comments from Lesbury Parish Council to the responses to the Regulation 16 consultation.

If there are any issues raised in this Regulation 16 submission on which the Examiner would like clarity and/or a formal response from the Parish Council, then we will be willing to provide it.

Respondent	Response made by respondent	Comments from Lesbury PC
Coal Authority	Reiterates response made to the Regulation 14 consultation.	Thanks for the response; comments noted.
	The plan has no impact on the Coal Authority and therefore there are no objections	
National Grid	Reiterates response made to the Regulation 14 consultation.	Thanks for the response; comments noted.
	No concerns and therefore no objections	
Historic England	Acknowledged the changes we made in response to earlier comments in the Regulation 14 consultation; very positive about the NDP	Thanks for the response; comments noted. It's good to have feedback on what we have done.

Statutory Consultees

Highways England	Reiterates response made to the Regulation 14 consultation. No objections to the small-scale development proposed in the NDP, but will be monitoring the proposal to build two housing estates as this may be problematic.	Thanks for the response; comments noted.
Natural England	Positive response, much as in the Regulation 14 consultation.	Thanks for the response; comments noted.
Northumberland County Council	The letter from David English notes that we meet statutory requirements, but suggests that adopting a different approach to setting out our Plan would have made it more concise and accessible.	Members of the PC are bewildered by this given that the NDP Steering Group have been supported throughout the three year process by a member of David's team. We understood that all advice had been sanctioned by David and, being lay people, have been at pains to follow advice as closely as possible. We have also worked with a very experienced consultant who checked her advice with David at regular intervals.

Northumberland	The response provided by NE reiterates the objections	We note the comments and stand by the responses
Estates	made to the Regulation 14 consultation. Essentially, the	we have provided to NCC which have been forwarded
	objections relate to the level of consultation, the validity of	to the external Examiner.
	the housing needs assessment and consultation	
	exercises undertaken to form the substance of the Plan	Lesbury Parish (Hipsburn, Bilton and Lesbury) is a
	and the legality of the process followed.	service centre in its own right. Alnmouth, one of the neighbouring Parishes has no school and over 50% of
	In addition, NE states that there has been insufficient consultation with Alnmouth Parish Council.	houses are second homes.
		When invited to create a joint NDP Alnmouth PC
	There is also an assertion that Alnmouth has been	decided not to do so. As with other neighbouring
	disadvantaged by not being consulted because it has children in Hipsburn School and shares other amenities.	councils, they have been consulted at each stage and have replied. The response to the Regulation 14
		consultation was positive and supportive of the
		Lesbury NDP.
		One third of the Hipsburn pupils come from outside the Parish; all neighbouring Parishes have been
		consulted.

Non-statutory Consultees

Mr and Mrs Starkey Fir Tree Cottage	Reiterates submission made after consultation event. Raises issues about where the settlement boundary should be drawn in terms of their property. The map provided by NCC for the NDP differs from the map relating to the deeds of the property.	Comments noted. The settlement boundaries were determined using a clear methodology that has been set out in the supporting documents. This methodology identified a number of factors that were important. A key factor was to base the settlement boundaries on those previously adopted in the existing Development Plan (the Alnwick District Wide Local Plan (1997)). Further information can be found in the supporting documents. We consider that making minor changes along residential boundaries would undermine the overall methodology with no clear justification.
Mr and Mrs Towers Brookside	Reiterates submission made after consultation event. Raises issues about where the settlement boundary should be drawn in terms of their property. The map provided by NCC for the NDP differs from the map relating to the deeds of the property.	Comments noted. The settlement boundaries were determined using a clear methodology that has been set out in the supporting documents. This methodology identified a number of factors that were important. A key factor was to base the settlement boundaries on those previously adopted in the existing Development Plan (the Alnwick District Wide Local Plan (1997)). Further information can be found in the supporting documents. We consider that making minor changes along residential boundaries would undermine the overall methodology with no clear justification.