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1. Introduction

Purpose of the Habitats Regulations Assessment Report

1.1 Northumberland County Council is preparing its Local Plan. Once adopted the Northumberland Local Plan will be the overarching spatial plan for County, excluding the Northumberland National Park, guiding future development and land use planning decisions to 2036.

1.2 As the ‘competent authority’ under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017, Northumberland County Council is required to assess its Local Plan through the HRA process. The purpose of a HRA is to assess possible effects of the Local Plan on the nature conservation interests of sites designated under the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives. These sites consist of Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas and also include Ramsar Sites. The HRA process is an iterative process and the integration of the HRA process as part of the preparation of the Local Plan is fundamental to the plan making process as policies in the plan can potentially affect designated sites.

Format of the Habitats Regulations Assessment Report

1.3 This HRA Report establishes the scope of and the process for completing the HRA of the Northumberland Local Plan and undertakes an assessment of the Northumberland Local Plan - Draft Plan for Regulation 18 Consultation. The HRA Report includes the following:

1. HRA requirements and process.
2. Stage 1A: Identifies the European sites.
3. Stage 1B: Identifies the Trend Analysis.
4. Stage 1C: Analysis of proposals and polices in the Local Plan - Identification of Likely Significant Effects
5. Stage 1D: Consideration of other plans and projects
6. Stage 2A: Appropriate Assessment Introduction
7. Stage 2b: Assessment of whether there will be an adverse effect on the integrity of any European sites
8. Conclusion
9. Bibliography
Appendices

Habitats Regulations Assessment Consultation

1.4 It is a requirement of the Habitats Regulations to consult the appropriate nature conservation statutory body (Natural England).
2. **Habitats Regulations Assessment Requirements and Process**

2.1 As a member of the European Union, the UK is bound by the terms of the Council Directive 79/409/EEC on the Conservation of Wild Birds (the Birds Directive) and the Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and wild flora and fauna (the Habitats Directive). These are implemented in the UK through the Conservation (Natural Habitats &c) Regulations which provide for the protection of areas of European importance for wildlife, in the form of Special Areas of Conservation (SACs), designated under the Habitats Directive, and Special Protection Areas (SPAs), designated under the Birds Directive. Collectively, these are termed European sites, and the overall network of European sites is termed Natura 2000.

2.2 The UK is also a signatory to the Convention on wetlands of international importance especially as waterfowl habitat, which was signed in Ramsar, Iran in 1971. Areas designated under this Convention are called Ramsar sites. Although Ramsar sites are not European sites as a matter of law, the Government has chosen as a matter of policy to protect and manage them by applying the same procedures to them. Consequently, Ramsar sites are treated as European sites in practice.

2.3 Articles 6(3) and 6(4) of the Habitats Directive states the following concerning European sites:

“Any plan or project not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site but likely to have a significant effect thereon, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, shall be subject to appropriate assessment of its implications for the site in view of the site's conservation objectives. In the light of the conclusions of the assessment of the implications for the site and subject to the provisions of paragraph 4, the competent national authorities shall agree to the plan or project only after having ascertained that it will not adversely affect the integrity of the site concerned and, if appropriate, after having obtained the opinion of the general public.

If, in spite of a negative assessment of the implications for the site and in the absence of alternative solutions, a plan or project must nevertheless be carried out for imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature, the Member State shall take all compensatory measures necessary to ensure the overall coherence of Natura 2000 is protected. It shall inform the Commission of the compensatory measures adopted.”

2.4 Regulation 105(1) of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 states that

“Where a land use plan -
(a) is likely to have a significant effect on a European site in Great Britain or a European offshore marine site (either alone or in combination with other plans or projects), and
(b)is not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site, the plan-making authority for that plan shall, before the plan is given effect, make an appropriate assessment of the implications for the site in view of that site’s conservation objectives.”

2.5 The purpose of a HRA is to demonstrate that a land-use plan (or other plan or project) will not have any adverse effects on the integrity of any European sites. The assessment determines whether the plan would adversely affect the integrity of any European site in terms of its conservation objectives. Where adverse effects are identified alternative solutions should be identified and the plan modified to avoid any adverse effects. The Planning Authority can adopt the plan only after having ascertained that it will not adversely affect the integrity of a European site.

2.6 When preparing a suite of development plan documents, it is important that the HRA is undertaken in a way that is proportionate to the level of the document, as the Local Plan is a strategic, overarching plan, while subsequent documents will include site specific allocations and development management policies. This was noted in the Advocate General’s opinion which informed the European Court of Justice in the 2005 judgement that confirmed that land use plans should be subject to HRA. This stated that:

‘The United Kingdom Government is admittedly right in raising the objection that an assessment of the implications of the preceding plans cannot take account of all the effects of a measure. Many details are regularly not settled until the time of the final permission. It would also hardly be proper to require a greater level of detail in preceding plans or the abolition of multi-stage planning and approval procedures so that the assessment of implications can be concentrated on one point in the procedure. Rather, adverse effects on areas of conservation must be assessed at every relevant stage of the procedure to the extent possible on the basis of the precision of the plan. This assessment is to be updated with increasing specificity in subsequent stages of the procedure.’

The European Commission’s own guidance on the application of the test of likely significant effect accepts that policies in a plan that are no more than general policy statements or which express the general political will of an authority cannot be likely to have a significant effect on a site.

2.7 This issue has also been addressed in the High Court case of Feeney, in which the judge stated that:

“A Local Plan is a high level strategic document and the detail falls to be worked out at a later stage. Each appropriate assessment must be commensurate to the relative precision of the plans at any particular stage and no more. There does have to be an appropriate assessment at the Local

---

Therefore, there is a balance to be struck between being sufficiently rigorous in the assessment of potential effects, and undertaking a lot of unnecessary work or even causing a plan to fail the appropriate assessment test of ‘adverse effect on site integrity’ on the basis of risks that are more hypothetical than real, or risks that are too poorly defined at the Local Plan stage to be meaningfully assessed at this stage. Therefore some potential effects may be noted at this stage as requiring more detailed assessment within the later more detailed development plan documents.

The Feeney case has also provided helpful guidance concerning the role of protective policies for European sites or protective wording within policies. It is clear that a general protective policy in itself cannot be regarded as adequate mitigation for any significant effects, because planning applications must be determined in accordance with the Development Plan. Therefore relying too heavily on a general protective policy can just create internal conflicts with other policies within the Plan.

However, an element of a policy that safeguards European sites or a policy qualifying a particular proposal so as to avoid likely significant effect has been found to be permissible, as has adopting something in principle that will not actually happen if the protective condition or qualification is not being satisfied. However, it is essential that such safeguards are sufficiently specific that they are not just general safeguards apply to a range of European sites and a range of effects.

Assessment Methodology to meet the requirements of the Habitats Directive

The Council has adopted the following assessment methodology to meet the requirements of the Habitats Directive:

Stage One – Screening
This comprises an initial analysis to determine whether the Northumberland Local Plan is likely to have a significant effect on any European sites. The Local Plan will require appropriate assessment unless it is certain that it will not have a significant effect on any European sites.

- Stage 1A: Identification of European sites relevant to the assessment, and analysis of them in terms of reasons for designation, factors affecting their integrity and trends affecting them.
- Stage 1B: Identification of underlying trends that could affect the integrity of sites.
- Stage 1C: Analysis of the Local Plan objectives, proposals and proposed policies in terms of their possible adverse effects on the integrity of European sites, examination of options and alternatives to avoid or reduce these effects.

---

3 Sean Feeney v Oxford City Council and the Secretary of State CLG para 92 of the judgment dated 24 October 2011 Case No CO/379/2011, Neutral Citation [2011] EWHC 2699 Admin http://www.oxford.gov.uk/Library/Documents/Barton%20AAP/Barton%20AAP%20CD%207.20.1%20Appendix%20Feeney%20v%20OCC%202011.pdf
4 Feeney; paragraphs 88, 90 and 92
5 Feeney; paragraph 96
Stage 1D: Identification of other plans and projects relevant to the assessment, to identify any likely in-combination effects. Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive requires that plans and projects likely to have a significant effect on a European site alone or in combination with other plans or projects shall be subject to appropriate assessment.

Stage Two – Appropriate Assessment
Determination of whether any proposals or policies in the Local Plan identified at the screening stage as having a likely significant effect would have an adverse effect on the integrity of any European sites, in view of the conservation objectives for those sites and the nature of the likely significant effect that has been identified. Modifications to those proposals or policies are identified to avoid any adverse effects on site integrity.

If mitigation is not possible and adverse effects on site integrity remain, the process must proceed to Stage Three

Stage Three – Alternative Solutions
The identification of alternative solutions to the relevant proposals or policies so as to avoid adverse effects on the integrity of European sites. The plan must then be modified in light of these findings.

Stage Four – Imperative Reasons of Overriding Public Interest and Compensatory Measures
If a plan or project has adverse effects on the integrity of a European site which cannot be avoided or mitigated for and there are no alternative solutions, consideration must be given to whether there are imperative reasons of overriding public interest for proceeding with the plan or project. This stage involves central Government and must be notified to the European Commission. If there are imperative reasons of overriding public interest, compensatory measures must be identified to maintain and enhance the overall coherence of the Natura 2000 network. This will only be in exceptional circumstances and must be supported by strong justification.
3. **Stage 1A: Identification of European sites**

3.1 The following European sites are wholly or partly within Northumberland (including the National Park\(^6\)) or are considered to have the potential to be affected by the Local Plan, and so are within the scope of the Habitats Regulations Assessment:

**Special Areas of Conservation wholly or partly within Northumberland:**
- Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast
- Border Mires, Kielder – Butterburn
- Ford Moss
- Harbottle Moors
- Newham Fen
- North Northumberland Dunes
- North Pennine Dales Meadows
- North Pennine Moors
- River Eden
- River Tweed
- Roman Wall Loughs
- Simonside Hills
- Tweed Estuary
- Tyne and Allen River Gravels

**Special Areas of Conservation outside of Northumberland:**
- Bolton Fell Moss (candidate SAC), Cumbria
- Borders Woods, Scottish Borders
- Durham Coast, Durham
- Moor House – Upper Teesdale, Durham
- St Abbs Head to Fast Castle, Scottish Borders
- Tyne and Nent, Cumbria
- Thrislington, Durham
- Castle Eden Dene, Durham

**Special Protection Areas wholly or partly within Northumberland:**
- Coquet Island
- Farne Islands
- Holburn Lake and Moss
- Lindisfarne
- North Pennine Moors
- Northumbria Coast
- Northumberland Marine SPA

---

\(^6\) Details of the European sites within the Northumberland National Park can be found at: [http://www.northumberlandnationalpark.org.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0018/144450/ldf_08_core_strategy_appropriate_assessment.pdf](http://www.northumberlandnationalpark.org.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0018/144450/ldf_08_core_strategy_appropriate_assessment.pdf)
Special Protection Areas outside of Northumberland
- Langholm – Newcastleton Hills
- St Abbs Head to Fast Castle, Scottish Borders
- Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast

Ramsar Sites wholly or partly within Northumberland
- Holburn Lake and Moss
- Irthinghead Mires
- Lindisfarne
- Northumbria Coast

Ramsar Sites outside of Northumberland
- Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast
Site Analysis

3.2 This stage of the assessment details the reasons that relevant European sites have been designated (the qualifying features), the objectives intended to be achieved by designating and managing the sites, and the environmental conditions that are key to maintaining the integrity of the site. Guidance from the European Commission states that ‘a site can be described as having a high degree of integrity where the inherent potential for meeting site conservation objectives is realised, the capacity for self-repair and self-renewal under dynamic conditions is maintained, and a minimum of external management support is required’ (EC, 2000; para 4.6.3)

An asterisk * beside a qualifying feature indicates that the feature is listed as a priority habitat on Annex I of the Habitats Directive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Qualifying Features</th>
<th>Conservation Objectives</th>
<th>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC</td>
<td>Large shallow inlets and bays Intertidal mudflats and sandflats Reefs Submerged or partially submerged sea caves Grey seal</td>
<td>Subject to natural change, to maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the – Extent, distribution, diversity and species richness of reef communities. Diversity of sea cave communities and their characteristic zonation. The extent of eelgrass and mussel communities and the diversity of infaunal communities in the intertidal mud and sandflats Grey seal habitats, especially the extent and suitability of breeding habitat on the Farne Islands</td>
<td>Reefs – no significant change in water clarity (e.g. due to increases in suspended material), temperature or salinity, or in the distribution of rocky shore communities. Sea caves – no significant change in water clarity (e.g. due to increases in suspended material), temperature or salinity, or in the distribution of sea cave biotypes. Intertidal mud or sandflats – no reduction in extent, no significant change in sediment character (particle size composition, organic content) ensuring no increase in the extent of algal mats or significant changes in the distribution and abundance of eelgrass beds, mussel beds or distribution of infaunal biotopes. Grey seal habitats – human disturbance low enough to avoid reduction in numbers or displacement from key areas; no reduction in extent of rocky and...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Qualifying Features</td>
<td>Conservation Objectives</td>
<td>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Border Mires Kielder – Butterburn SAC | Blanket bogs *  
Petrifying springs with tufa formation*  
European dry heaths  
Northern Atlantic wet heaths with *Erica tetralix*  
Transition mires and quaking bogs | To maintain the qualifying features in favourable condition (or restore them to favourable condition) | Blanket bog – high water table, low grazing levels, absence of burning, absence or low levels of human activity that cause erosion (e.g. military activities, recreational pressure), no peat extraction, absence of plantation conifers from hydrological unit or self-seeded conifers from peat body, low atmospheric or aquatic nutrient inputs.  
Petrifying springs – active tufa deposition from very base-rich water, low fertility, no damage to tufa from human or livestock trampling.  
Dry heath – grazing pressure not limiting dwarf shrub cover, mosaic of small burns and unburnt areas if burnt, low atmospheric or aquatic nutrient inputs.  
Wet heath – grazing pressure not limiting dwarf shrub cover, mosaic of small burns and unburnt areas if burnt, low atmospheric or aquatic nutrient inputs.  
Transition mires – high water table, balance between seepage and surface water maintained, enriched water from land drainage or surface run-off excluded, low atmospheric nutrient inputs. |
| Ford Moss SAC            | Active raised bog *  
*                                                                         | To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the active raised bog                  | High water table, infrequent scrub or bracken, low atmospheric or aquatic nutrient inputs.                                |
<p>| Harbottle Moors SAC      | European dry heaths                                                               | To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the dry heathland.                     | Grazing pressure not limiting dwarf shrub cover, mosaic of small burns and unburnt areas if burnt, low atmospheric or aquatic nutrient inputs. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Qualifying Features</th>
<th>Conservation Objectives</th>
<th>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newham Fen SAC</td>
<td>Alkaline fens</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the alkaline fen, with particular reference to the M13 mire.</td>
<td>Flow of spring water sufficient to maintain high water levels at all times of year, spring water of low nutrient status.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northumberland Dunes SAC</td>
<td>Fixed dunes with herbaceous vegetation *</td>
<td>Subject to natural change, to maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the listed habitats. To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition, the habitats for the population of petalwort.</td>
<td>Fixed dunes – appropriate grazing levels to maintain species and structural diversity, no increase in area occupied by invasive species e.g. Dunes with creeping willow – maintain active successional processes. Embryonic shifting dunes – sufficient area between high water mark and stable dunes to allow development of embryonic dunes, presence of beach plain at low tide to supply blown sand Humid dune slacks – maintenance of hydrological regime Shifting dunes with marram -sufficient area between high water mark and stable dunes to allow development of embryonic dunes, presence of beach plain at low tide to supply blown sand, no increase in linear extent or area constrained by introduced structures or landforms, no increase in area where vegetation establishment is prevented by human activity. Petalwort – maintenance of very short vegetation in dune slacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Pennine Dales Meadows</td>
<td>Mountain hay meadows</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the mountain hay meadows.</td>
<td>Low nutrient inputs from farmyard manure only; sufficient removal of biomass, low level of poaching.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Qualifying Features</td>
<td>Conservation Objectives</td>
<td>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAC</td>
<td><em>Molinia</em> meadows</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the qualifying features.</td>
<td>Blanket bog – high water table, low grazing levels, absence of burning, absence or low levels of human activity that cause erosion (e.g. military activities, recreational pressure), low atmospheric or aquatic nutrient inputs. Petrifying springs – active tufa deposition from very base-rich water, low fertility, no damage to tufa from human or livestock trampling. Dry heath – grazing pressure not limiting dwarf shrub cover, mosaic of small burns and unburnt areas if burnt, low atmospheric or aquatic nutrient inputs. Wet heath – grazing pressure not limiting dwarf shrub cover, mosaic of small burns and unburnt areas if burnt, low atmospheric or aquatic nutrient inputs. Alkaline fens – maintenance of high piezometric head and low fertility, low levels of disturbance by livestock trampling or vehicles. Chasmophytic vegetation and scree – low levels of trampling by humans or livestock. Calaminarian grassland – very low nutrient inputs, appropriate grazing levels, continuation of extreme conditions of toxicity and drought stress. Old oak woods – browsing/grazing by native/non-native/agricultural ungulates low enough to permit regeneration and avoid undesirable shifts in stand composition or structure, low levels of pollution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Pennine Moors SAC</td>
<td>Alkaline fens <em>Blanket bogs</em> <em>Calaminarian grasslands</em> <em>Calcareaous rocky slopes with chasmophytes</em> <em>European dry heaths</em> <em>Juniper</em> <em>Northern atlantic wet heaths</em> <em>Old sessile oak woods</em> <em>Petrifying springs with tufa formation</em> <em>Dry grassland and scrub on calcareous substrates</em> <em>Montane acid grasslands</em> <em>Siliceous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation</em> <em>Siliceous scree</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Qualifying Features</td>
<td>Conservation Objectives</td>
<td>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsh saxifrage</td>
<td></td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the: Floating formations of water crowfoot, Oligotrophic to mesotrophic standing waters, Residual alluvial forests, Atlantic salmon, Bullhead, Brook lamprey, River lamprey, Sea lamprey, White-clawed crayfish, Otter.</td>
<td>Including eutrophication from adjacent farmland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River Eden SAC</td>
<td>Floating formations of water crowfoot, Oligotrophic to mesotrophic standing waters, Residual alluvial forests, Atlantic salmon, Bullhead, Brook lamprey, River lamprey, Sea lamprey, White-clawed crayfish, Otter.</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition, the habitats for the populations of: Atlantic salmon, Bullhead, Brook lamprey, River lamprey, Sea lamprey, White-clawed crayfish, Otter.</td>
<td>Water crowfoot – near-natural baseflows and flushing flows, high water quality, low suspended solids, clean gravels, low phosphorus, characteristic river form maintained. Atlantic salmon - near-natural baseflows and flushing flows, high water quality, low suspended solids, clean gravels, bankside trees with submerged roots maintained, characteristic river form maintained, no obstructions to migration, no stocking of salmonids. Bullhead - near-natural baseflows and flushing flows, high water quality, low suspended solids, clean gravels. Lampreys - near-natural baseflows and flushing flows, high water quality, low suspended solids, clean gravels, extensive riparian vegetation, characteristic river form, no artificial barriers to migration. White-clawed crayfish - near-natural baseflows and flushing flows, high water quality, low suspended solids, clean gravels, little fish stocking, none from plague rivers. Otter - near-natural baseflows and flushing flows, high water quality, low suspended solids, undisturbed areas with dense riparian vegetation and vegetated islands, good fish populations. Alluvial woodland – grazing pressure low enough to maintain characteristic ground flora and permit migration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Qualifying Features</td>
<td>Conservation Objectives</td>
<td>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River Tweed SAC</td>
<td>Floating formations of water crowfoot</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the river as a habitat for the qualifying interest features</td>
<td>Water crowfoot – near-natural baseflows and flushing flows, high water quality, low suspended solids, clean gravels, low phosphorus, characteristic river form maintained. Atlantic salmon - near-natural baseflows and flushing flows, high water quality, low suspended solids, clean gravels, bankside trees with submerged roots maintained, characteristic river form maintained, no obstructions to migration, no stocking of salmonids. Lampreys - near-natural baseflows and flushing flows, high water quality, low suspended solids, clean gravels, extensive riparian vegetation, characteristic river form, no artificial barriers to migration. Otter - near-natural baseflows and flushing flows, high water quality, low suspended solids, undisturbed areas with dense riparian vegetation and vegetated islands, good fish populations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atlantic salmon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brook lamprey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>River lamprey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sea lamprey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Otter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roman Wall Loughs SAC</td>
<td>Naturally eutrophic lakes with pondweed</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable conservation status the qualifying features</td>
<td>Water quality maintained within appropriate parameters, sedimentation rates not increased by primary productivity being elevated by anthropogenic eutrophication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vegetation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simonside Hills SAC</td>
<td>Blanket bogs * European dry heaths</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the qualifying features</td>
<td>Blanket bog – high water table, low grazing levels, absence of burning, absence or low levels of human activity that cause erosion (e.g. military activities, recreational pressure), low atmospheric or aquatic nutrient inputs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Qualifying Features</td>
<td>Conservation Objectives</td>
<td>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tweed Estuary SAC</td>
<td>Estuaries Intertidal mudflats and sandflats</td>
<td>Subject to natural change, to maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the estuaries and intertidal mud and sandflats To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the habitats for the populations of river and sea lampreys.</td>
<td>Nutrient inputs maintained within appropriate levels (large arable catchment) No coast protection works undertaken that would cause adverse impacts on qualifying features. Dredging in Tweed Dock undertaken without causing adverse impacts on qualifying features.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC</td>
<td>Calaminarian grassland</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the calaminarian grassland</td>
<td>Appropriate grazing levels to maintain key species and bare ground, continuation of extreme conditions of toxicity and drought stress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolton Fell Moss SAC</td>
<td>Active raised bogs* Degraded raised bogs still capable of regeneration</td>
<td>To maintain in favourable condition the active raised bog, and to restore to favourable condition the degraded raised bogs.</td>
<td>High water table, infrequent scrub or bracken, low atmospheric or aquatic nutrient inputs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borders Woods SAC</td>
<td><em>Tilio-Acerion</em> forests of slopes, screes and ravines*</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the qualifying features</td>
<td>No reduction in area, reduction in abundance of introduced sycamore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham Coast SAC</td>
<td>Vegetated sea cliffs</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the qualifying features</td>
<td>No increase in area constrained by introduced structures or landforms. Maintenance of natural processes, especially exposure to salt spray, erosion and slippage of soft magnesium limestone bedrock and overlying glacial drifts, localised flushing by calcareous water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Qualifying Features</td>
<td>Conservation Objectives</td>
<td>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moor House – Upper Teesdale SAC</td>
<td>Oligo-mesotrophic waters with Chara spp. Alpine and boreal heaths Alkaline fens Blanket bogs * Calaminarian grasslands Calcareous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation European dry heaths Juniper scrub Petrifying springs with tufa formation* Dry grassland and scrub on calcareous substrates Siliceous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation Siliceous</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the qualifying features</td>
<td>Blanket bog – high water table, low grazing levels, absence of burning, absence or low levels of human activity that cause erosion (e.g. military activities, recreational pressure), low atmospheric or aquatic pollution or nutrient inputs. Petrifying springs – active tufa deposition from very base-rich water, low fertility, no damage to tufa from human or livestock trampling. Dry heath – grazing pressure not limiting dwarf shrub cover, mosaic of small burns and unburnt areas if burnt, low atmospheric or aquatic nutrient inputs. Wet heath – grazing pressure not limiting dwarf shrub cover, mosaic of small burns and unburnt areas if burnt, low atmospheric or aquatic nutrient inputs. Alkaline fens – maintenance of high piezometric head and low fertility, low levels of disturbance by livestock trampling or vehicles. Chasmophytic vegetation and scree – low levels of trampling by humans or livestock. Calaminarian grassland – very low nutrient inputs, appropriate grazing levels, continuation of extreme conditions of toxicity and drought stress. Oligo-mesotrophic waters - water quality maintained within appropriate parameters, sedimentation rates not increased by primary productivity being elevated by anthropogenic eutrophication. Mountain hay meadows and Molinea meadows -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Qualifying Features</td>
<td>Conservation Objectives</td>
<td>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Abb’s Head to Fast Castle SAC</td>
<td>montane screes Siliceous alpine and boreal grasslands <em>Molinia</em> meadows Hydrophilous tall herb fringe communities Mountain hay meadows Alpine pioneer formations of the <em>Caricion bicoloris-atrofuscae</em> Limestone pavements * Round-mouthed whorl snail Marsh saxifrage</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the qualifying features</td>
<td>Continued visitor management to prevent recreational damage, maintenance of vegetation structure and composition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyne and Nent SAC</td>
<td>Vegetated sea cliffs of the Atlantic and Baltic coasts</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the calaminarian grassland</td>
<td>Appropriate grazing levels to maintain key species and bare ground, continuation of extreme conditions of toxicity and drought stress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castle Eden</td>
<td>Represents the</td>
<td>To maintain in favourable condition the</td>
<td>No loss of ancient semi-natural stands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Qualifying Features</td>
<td>Conservation Objectives</td>
<td>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dene SAC</td>
<td>most extensive northerly native occurrence of yew <em>Taxus baccata</em> woods in the UK. Extensive yew groves are found in association with ash-elm <em>Fraxinus-Ulmus</em> woodland and it is the only site selected for yew woodland on Magnesium Limestone in north-east England.</td>
<td><em>Taxus baccata</em> wood</td>
<td>Site management to maintain current level of structural diversity (Age/size class variation within and between stands; presence of open space and old trees; dead wood lying on the ground; standing dead trees) Limited air pollution Limited grazing by ungulates where it leads to undesirable shifts in the composition/structure of the stand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrislington SAC</td>
<td>Semi natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates. Contains the largest of the few surviving strands of CG8 <em>Sesleria albicans</em> –</td>
<td>To maintain, in favourable condition, the unimproved calcareous grassland, with particular reference to semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (CG8 grasslands)</td>
<td>No reduction in extent Continuous management by seasonally-adjusted grazing No fertiliser input Control of invasive species Control of over grazing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Qualifying Features</td>
<td>Conservation Objectives</td>
<td>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Scabiosa columbaria grassland. This form of calcareous grassland is confined to the Magnesium Limestone of County Durham and Tyne and Wear, and is found mainly as small scattered strands. | To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the habitats for the populations of migratory species; arctic tern, common tern, roseate tern and sandwich tern. | Little or no human disturbance
No significant reduction in breeding productivity due to predation by large gulls, mixture of bare ground/short vegetation and longer vegetation, open terrain |
<p>| Coquet Island SPA  | Populations of Annex 1 species of European importance: Arctic tern Sandwich tern Common tern Roseate tern An internationally important seabird assemblage of over 20,000 individuals |                                                                                         |                                                                                           |
| Farne Islands      | Populations of                                                                      | To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition                                       | Little or no human disturbance                                                            |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Qualifying Features</th>
<th>Conservation Objectives</th>
<th>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPA</td>
<td>Annex 1 species of European importance: Arctic tern Sandwich tern Common tern An internationally important seabird assemblage of over 20,000 individuals</td>
<td>condition the habitats for the breeding populations of sandwich tern, common tern, arctic tern.</td>
<td>No significant reduction in breeding productivity due to predation by large gulls, mixture of bare ground/short vegetation and longer vegetation, open terrain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holburn Lake and Moss SPA</td>
<td>Wintering greylag goose roost</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the raised mire and dry heathland used by greylag goose</td>
<td>Human disturbance absent or at very low levels, no significant reduction in view lines in roosting area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindisfarne SPA</td>
<td>Populations of Annex 1 species of European importance: Little tern Roseate tern Whooper swan Golden plover Regularly occurring migratory species of European importance: Purple sandpiper Turnstone</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the intertidal mudflats and sandflats, saltmarsh, eelgrass beds and sand dunes for the populations of Annex 1 species; To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition rocky shores with boulder and cobble beaches, intertidal mudflats and sandflats, saltmarsh and eelgrass beds for the regularly occurring migratory species; To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the intertidal sandflats and mudflats, saltmarsh, eelgrass beds and rocky shores for the wintering wildfowl.</td>
<td>All features – no significant increase in human disturbance Annex 1 species – extent and quality of feeding habitat - eelgrass beds and saltmarsh (for whooper swan), mudflats and sandflats (for golden plover), no increase in obstructions to viewlines (whooper swan and golden plover); maintenance of sparsely vegetated dunes for nesting (little tern). Migratory species – extent and quality of rocky shore feeding and roosting habitat (purple sandpiper and turnstone), no increase in obstructions to existing viewlines (all geese and waders), extent and quality of eelgrass beds (light bellied Brent goose and wigeon), extent and quality of sandflats and mudflats (roosting for many...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Qualifying Features</td>
<td>Conservation Objectives</td>
<td>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| North Pennine Moors SPA | Greylag goose  
Light-bellied Brent goose  
Wigeon  
Ringed plover  
Bar-tailed godwit  
Redshank  
Wintering wildfowl assemblage of European importance | To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the upland moorland for the populations of Annex 1 species. | species, feeding especially for ringed plover, bar-tailed godwit and redshank), Low levels of human disturbance (heather burning, vehicles, livestock, dogs, people), especially between April and mid-July, and no illegal persecution or egg collection.  
Abundance of small birds and day-flying moths; areas of tall heather and scattered 0.5 -2ha tree clumps especially on slopes (merlin)  
Abundance of small mammals and small–medium sized birds; tall heather especially on slopes for nesting and grassland and grass-heath mosaics for feeding (hen harrier)  
Abundance of small-medium sized birds (peregrine)  
Abundance of earthworms, leatherjackets, beetles and spiders; maintenance of areas of short grassland, grassland with bracken and burnt heather especially on flatter plateaux, with extensive unobstructed views (golden plover) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Qualifying Features</th>
<th>Conservation Objectives</th>
<th>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northumbria Coast SPA</td>
<td>Internationally important breeding populations of little tern and arctic tern</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the sand dunes for the breeding populations of little tern and arctic tern; To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition rocky shores with boulder and cobble beaches for wintering purple sandpiper and turnstone.</td>
<td>All features – no significant increase in human disturbance or that caused by off-lead dogs. Maintenance of sparsely vegetated dunes for nesting (little tern). Extent and quality of rocky shore feeding and roosting habitat (purple sandpiper and turnstone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northumberland Marine SPA</td>
<td>Internationally important breeding populations of Annex 1 species: Sandwich tern Roseate tern Common tern Arctic tern Little tern Common guillemot Atlantic puffin An internationally important seabird assemblage of over 20,000 birds</td>
<td>Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the aims of the Wild Birds Directive, by maintaining or restoring; • The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features • The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features • The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely • The population of each of the qualifying features, and, The distribution of the qualifying features within the site.</td>
<td>Not available yet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Qualifying Features</td>
<td>Conservation Objectives</td>
<td>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langholm – Newcastleton Hills SPA</td>
<td>Internationally important population of Annex 1 species: Hen harrier</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the upland moorland for the populations of Annex 1 species</td>
<td>Low levels of human disturbance (heather burning, vehicles, livestock, dogs, people), especially between April and mid-July, and no illegal persecution or egg collection. Abundance of small mammals and small–medium sized birds; tall heather especially on slopes for nesting and grassland and grass-heath mosaics for feeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Abb’s Head to Fast Castle SPA</td>
<td>Annex 1 breeding species: Common Guillemot Internationally important assemblage of breeding seabirds: Fulmar Cormorant Shag Herring Gull Kittiwake Guillemot Razorbill Puffin</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the site for the populations of Annex 1 species and species included in the internationally important assemblage of species.</td>
<td>Continued visitor management to prevent recreational disturbance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teeside and Cleveland Coast SPA</td>
<td>Internationally important numbers of Annex 1 species:</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the habitats of the populations of Annex 1 species of international importance, with particular reference to:</td>
<td>No significant decrease in extent, subject to natural change (all habitats). No significant reduction in numbers or significant increase in displacement of birds due to disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Qualifying Features</td>
<td>Conservation Objectives</td>
<td>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Tern (breeding)</td>
<td>Intertidal sand and mudflats, sand dunes and coastal waters, for little tern and sandwich tern.</td>
<td></td>
<td>(all species) No significant reduction in view-lines in feeding and roosting areas – redshank need areas with unrestricted views over 200m and an effective field size of over 10ha; lapwing need unrestricted views over 500m with an effective field size of 16ha (all habitats)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandwich Tern (passage)</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the habitats of the populations of migratory species of international importance, with particular reference to: Rocky shores, intertidal sand and mudflats, saltmarsh, sand dunes and freshwater marsh for redshank and knot. To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the habitats of the populations of waterfowl that contribute to the wintering waterfowl assemblage of European importance, with particular reference to: Intertidal sand and mudflats, saltmarsh, standing water and rocky shores.</td>
<td></td>
<td>No significant reduction in presence and abundance of food species, including Hydrobia, Macoma, Corophium, Mytilus/Cerastoderma spat and Nereis for redshank, knot and shelduck and Salicornia and Atriplex for teal (intertidal sand and mudflats, saltmarsh). No significant reduction in presence and abundance of food species, including crustacean, annelids, sand eels and clupeidae for little tern and sand eel and sprat for sandwich tern (coastal waters) No significant change in extent of open, short vegetation (&lt;10cm) or bare ground in areas used for roosting by redshank, knot, lapwing, ringed plover (saltmarsh). No significant change in extent of open ground with sparse vegetation (&lt;10% vegetation cover) and bare surfaces used for nesting and roosting by little tern (sand dunes) No significant change in vegetation height (&lt;15cm) throughout areas used for feeding by lapwing (freshwater marsh) No significant reduction in presence and abundance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internationally important numbers of migratory species: Ringed Plover (passage) Knot Redshank (wintering) Internationally important assemblage of wintering waterfowl: Sanderling, Lapwing, Shelduck, Cormorant, Redshank, Knot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Qualifying Features</td>
<td>Conservation Objectives</td>
<td>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holburn Lake and Moss Ramsar Site</td>
<td>Lowland raised bog&lt;br&gt;Winter roost for internationally important numbers of greylag goose&lt;br&gt;Inland roost for mallard, wigeon and teal during unfavourable weather.</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the raised bog&lt;br&gt;To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the habitats for the roosting wildfowl populations</td>
<td>High water table, infrequent scrub or bracken, low atmospheric or aquatic nutrient inputs (raised bog). Human disturbance absent or at very low levels, no significant reduction in view lines in roosting area (roosting wildfowl).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irthinghead Mires Ramsar Site</td>
<td>Active blanket bog&lt;br&gt;Notable variety of Sphagnum mosses&lt;br&gt;Rare species: Carex</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the blanket bog.</td>
<td>High water table, low grazing levels, absence of burning, absence or low levels of human activity that cause erosion (e.g. military activities, recreational pressure), no peat extraction, absence of plantation conifers from hydrological unit or self-seeded conifers from peat body, low atmospheric or aquatic nutrient inputs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Qualifying Features</td>
<td>Conservation Objectives</td>
<td>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magellanica</td>
<td>Sphagnum imbricatum, S. pulchrum, S. magellanicum, Eboria caliginosa</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition intertidal mudflats and sandflats, saltmarsh and eelgrass beds for the regularly occurring migratory and wintering species. Subject to natural change, to maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the sand dune system. To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition, the habitats for the populations of petalwort and dune helleborine.</td>
<td>No significant increase in human disturbance, no increase in obstructions to existing viewlines (all species), extent and quality of eelgrass beds (light bellied Brent goose and wigeon), extent and quality of sandflats and mudflats (roosting for many species, feeding especially for ringed plover, bar tailed godwit and redshank). Fixed dunes – appropriate grazing levels to maintain species and structural diversity, no increase in area occupied by invasive species e.g. pirri-pirri bur. Dunes with creeping willow – maintain active successional processes. Embryonic shifting dunes – sufficient area between high water mark and stable dunes to allow development of embryonic dunes, presence of beach plain at low tide to supply blown sand. Humid dune slacks – maintenance of hydrological regime. Shifting dunes with marram -sufficient area between high water mark and stable dunes to allow development of embryonic dunes, presence of beach plain at low tide to supply blown sand, no increase in linear extent or area constrained by</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindisfarne Ramsar Site</td>
<td>Extensive intertidal flats, saltmarsh and major sand dune system with well-developed dune slacks. Wintering waterfowl assemblage of international importance. Internationally important migratory/wintering populations of Light-bellied Brent goose, Wigeon, Ringed plover, Redshank, Greylag goose, Bar-tailed godwit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Qualifying Features</td>
<td>Conservation Objectives</td>
<td>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northumbria Coast Ramsar Site</td>
<td>Rare plants: Petalwort, Lindisfarne helleborine (endemic on Lindisfarne)</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the sand dunes for the breeding population of little tern; To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition rocky shores with boulder and cobble beaches for wintering purple sandpiper and turnstone.</td>
<td>introduced structures or landforms, no increase in area where vegetation establishment is prevented by human activity. Petalwort – maintenance of very short vegetation in dune slacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teeside and Cleveland Coast Ramsar Site</td>
<td>Internationally important breeding population of little tern; Internationally important wintering populations of purple sandpiper and turnstone</td>
<td>To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the habitats of the populations of migratory species of international importance, with particular reference to: Rocky shores, intertidal sand and mudflats, saltmarsh, sand dunes and freshwater marsh for redshank and knot. To maintain in (or restore to) favourable condition the habitats of the populations of waterfowl that contribute to the wintering waterfowl assemblage of</td>
<td>No significant decrease in extent, subject to natural change (all habitats). No significant reduction in numbers or significant increase in displacement of birds due to disturbance (all species) No significant reduction in view-lines in feeding and roosting areas – redshank need areas with unrestricted views over 200m and an effective field size of over 10ha; lapwing need unrestricted views over 500m with an effective field size of 16ha (all habitats) No significant reduction in presence and abundance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Qualifying Features</td>
<td>Conservation Objectives</td>
<td>Key Environmental Conditions to Support Site Integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|      |                     | European importance, with particular reference to: Intertidal sand and mudflats, saltmarsh, freshwater marsh, coastal waters, standing water and rocky shores. | of food species, including *Hydrobia*, *Macoma*, *Corophium*, *Mytilus/Cerastoderma* spat and *Nereis* for redshank, knot and shelduck and *Salicornia* and *Atriplex* for teal (intertidal sand and mudflats, saltmarsh). No significant change in extent of open, short vegetation (<10cm) or bare ground in areas used for roosting by redshank, knot, lapwing, ringed plover (saltmarsh). No significant change in vegetation height (<15cm) throughout areas used for feeding by lapwing (freshwater marsh) No significant reduction in presence and abundance of food species especially earthworms and leatherjackets for redshank and lapwing (freshwater marsh). No significant reduction in extent of shallow water in fields (<6cm over 20-40% of area for redshank and <10cm over 30-50% of area for lapwing) (freshwater marsh) No significant reduction in area of shallow water (<40cm for shelduck and <30cm for teal) (standing water)
4. **Stage 1B: Analysis of Trends**

4.1 Trends are influences on a European site other than other plans and projects, which have influenced it and are likely to continue to influence it. It is important that relevant trends are considered alongside the plan that is subject to Habitats Regulations Assessment and other plans and projects, in order to identify the factors which, in combination, may be affecting a European site.

4.2 The following trends have been identified as being relevant to this Habitats Regulations Assessment:

- Air quality
- Water quality and hydrology
- Tourism and recreation
- Large scale development
- Climate change
- Non-native invasive species

**Air Quality**

4.3 The most significant pollutants in the UK are as follows:

**Sulphur Dioxide SO\textsubscript{2}**

4.4 The main sources of SO\textsubscript{2} are power stations and industrial combustion processes burning large quantities of fossil fuels.

4.5 Wet and dry deposition of SO\textsubscript{2} acidifies soils and fresh waters, thereby altering the composition of plant communities by causing a decline in species intolerant of more acid conditions. The significance of impacts depends on the levels of deposition and the buffering capacity of the receiving environment; basic environments have a higher buffering capacity while acid soils and waters have a much lower buffering capacity and so are more severely affected.

**Nitrogen Oxides NOx** (nitrate (NO\textsubscript{2}), nitrogen oxides (NO\textsubscript{3}) and nitric acid (HNO\textsubscript{3})

4.6 NO\textsubscript{x} are mainly produced by combustion, with about a quarter of UK emissions from power stations, half from vehicle exhausts and the rest from industrial and domestic combustion.

4.7 Deposition of NO\textsubscript{x} can lead to acidification of soils and freshwater. As with SO\textsubscript{2}, the degree of harm depends on the level of deposition and on the buffering capacity of these environments. NO\textsubscript{x} can also lead to the eutrophication of soils and waters, leading to the competitive exclusion of sensitive species as more vigorous ones take advantage of the increased nutrient levels.

**Ammonia (NH\textsubscript{3})**

4.8 Ammonia is released during the decomposition of animal wastes, and adverse effects are caused by eutrophication, mainly within or near intensive livestock rearing environments in the lowlands.
4.9 Levels have been greatly increased by the development of intensive livestock rearing systems during the twentieth century. However recent agricultural policy reforms and the introduction of agri-environment schemes are likely to facilitate a reverse in this trend.

Low Level Ozone $O_3$

4.10 A secondary pollutant generated by photochemical reactions from NOx and volatile organic compounds.

4.11 Concentrations of $O_3$ exceeding 40 ppb are toxic to humans and wildlife, altering the species composition of semi-natural habitats.

Underlying Trends in Air Pollution

4.12 The National Expert Group on Transboundary Air Pollution report of 2001 Transboundary Air Pollution: Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground-Level Ozone in the UK reported the following findings:

1. Total $SO_2$ emissions have decreased substantially in recent decades due to a decline in heavy industry, a decreasing contribution of coal burning in electricity generation, selection of lower sulphur coals for this purpose and cleaner burning of fossil fuels in power stations. Direct effects on vegetation have been virtually eliminated

2. Critical loads for acidification were exceeded in 71% of UK ecosystems in 1997, but this is forecast to drop to 47% by 2010, by which time NOx will have replaced $SO_2$ as the major contributor.

3. Critical loads for eutrophication were exceeded in 25% of sensitive grasslands and 55% of heathland in 1995-97. This is expected to drop to 20% and 40% respectively, due to decreasing $NH_3$ and $NH_4$ emissions.

4. Overall, current deposition of nitrogen is probably changing the composition of vegetation in many nutrient-poor (acidic) habitats, and these changes may not be readily reversible.

4.13 Although technological advances have reduced NOx emissions from vehicle engines, increasing traffic levels are likely to cause NOx levels to start to increase again, and NOx levels are identified as a problem for sensitive sites adjacent to major transport routes.

4.14 Vehicle use is likely to continue to increase in Northumberland for a number of reasons; rising levels of car ownership, increasing levels of economic activity, increasing levels of tourism, population growth (albeit at a very modest level). The Design Manual for Roads and Bridges\(^7\) includes an equation describing the characteristic decrease in pollutant concentrations with increasing distance from roads. Based on this and other research, it is considered that NOx emissions generated within 200m of a European site which has interest features which are vulnerable to nitrogen deposition need to be considered in Habitats Regulations Assessments.

European sites currently receiving acid deposition, nitrogen deposition or both above their critical loads

4.15 Based on the UK Air Pollution Information System (APIS) and the Environment Agency study *Impact of atmospheric emissions from JEP coal and oil-fired power stations on sites protected by the Habitats Directive* (February 2006), the following table shows European sites where acid deposition, nitrogen deposition or ozone are above their critical loads. The figures show air pollution levels divided by the critical load that the site can carry, so a figure in excess of 1.0 shows that the critical level is being exceeded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>European Site</th>
<th>Acid Deposition</th>
<th>Nitrogen Deposition</th>
<th>Ozone</th>
<th>Features most sensitive to N and acid deposition</th>
<th>Largest non-agricultural source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Border Mires SAC</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>Blanket bog</td>
<td>Acid – Large Combustion Plants (LCP) N - Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borders Woods SAC</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>Tilio-Acerion forests of slopes, screes and ravines</td>
<td>Acid – LCP N - Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbottle Moors SAC</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>European dry heaths</td>
<td>Acid – LCP N - Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford Moss SAC</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>Active raised bogs</td>
<td>Acid – LCP N - Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moor House – Upper Teesdale SAC</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>Alpine and boreal heaths</td>
<td>Acid – LCP N - Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northumberland Dunes SAC</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>Fixed dunes Embryonic shifting dunes</td>
<td>Acid – LCP N - LCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Pennine Dales Meadows SAC</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>Mountain hay meadows</td>
<td>Acid – LCP N - Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Pennines Moors SAC</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>European dry heaths</td>
<td>Acid – LCP N - Transport</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### European Site
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acid deposition</th>
<th>Nitrogen deposition</th>
<th>Ozone</th>
<th>Features most sensitive to N and acid deposition</th>
<th>Largest non-agricultural sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Pennines Moors SAC</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>Blanket bogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simonside Hills SAC</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>European dry heaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simonside Hills SAC</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>Blanket bogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC</td>
<td>Fig not available, not exceeded</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>Fig not available</td>
<td>Calaminarian grasslands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyne and Nent SAC</td>
<td>Fig not available, not exceeded</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Fig not available</td>
<td>Calaminarian grasslands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castle Eden Dene SAC</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>Ash and yew woodland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrislington SAC</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>Calcareous grassland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langholm – Newcastleton Moors SPA</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>Moorland habitats supporting hen harrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Pennines Moors SPA</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>Moorland habitat supporting golden plover, hen harrier</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NB**

1. Marine and intertidal features were not considered to be at risk due to the buffering effects of seawater.
2. Information was not available for freshwater sites, but the risk presented from atmospheric nitrogen was considered to be de *minimus* compared to inputs from surface and groundwater runoff.

4.16 The table shows that the most significant exceedences of critical loads of acid deposition occur in heathland and mire communities, and are especially severe in the North Pennines SAC, Simonside Hills SAC, Harbottle Moors SAC, Border Mires.
SAC, and Moor House-Upper Teesdale SAC. Exceedences of critical loads of nitrogen deposition are less extreme but occur in all of the above habitats. Ozone levels are mostly close to, but not above the critical load being exceeded.
**Water Quality**

4.17 Maintaining high water quality is central to the wellbeing of a number of European sites in Northumberland; most obviously the Roman Wall Loughs SAC, the River Eden SAC and the River Tweed SAC. However, other sites such as Newham Fen SAC and Ford Moss SAC could be adversely affected by raised nutrient inputs from agricultural fertilizer and manure or sewage, reaching these sites via aquatic pathways. Parts of rural Northumberland are not served by mains sewerage, resulting in the usage of non-mains systems such as septic tanks and package treatment plants. Their proper functioning is dependent on appropriate maintenance by their owners, which isn’t always kept up, potentially resulting in a large number of small sources of pollution that can be hard to trace and manage.

4.18 The situation regarding the Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC and the Tyne and Nent SAC is complex, in that maintenance of the calaminarian grassland plant communities that form the interest features of these sites is dependent on the ongoing deposition of heavy metals such as lead and zinc, which are washed out of historic mine workings upstream of these sites. In other contexts, these heavy metals are pollutants, and so there can be a tension between a need to improve water quality in these river systems by ameliorating the discharges from historic mining sites in the North Pennines, and maintaining the conditions required by the calaminarian grassland sites.

4.19 Increased algal growth is of concern in Budle Bay, where it is adversely affecting the intertidal sand and mudflats which are an interest feature of the Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC and, by displacing eelgrass beds, adversely affecting Lindisfarne SPA by reducing the quality and quantity of feeding habitat of grazing wildfowl such as light-bellied Brent goose, wigeon and whooper swan. The reasons for the increased algal growth in this area have not been clearly determined; however, nutrient input from diffuse agricultural pollution in the Tweed catchment is likely to be a significant factor.

**Hydrology**

4.20 The supply of water in Northumberland is divided into two water resource zones, Kielder WRZ and Berwick and Fowberry WRZ. The Kielder WRZ serves most of the population of Northumberland and is supplied via river systems and reservoirs. For the most part, there are no water availability issues within this WRZ, primarily due to the very substantial supplies at Kielder Reservoir; however, both the rivers Coquet and Font have been identified as experiencing water availability issues. The Berwick and Fowberry WRZ is supplied primarily from an underlying aquifer, and supply shortages have been experienced during periods of high demand. Water abstraction for agriculture occurs from the Tweed catchment rivers, potential impacts on the SAC are being managed through abstraction licence reviews.

**Tourism and Recreation**

4.21 Tourism is concentrated in certain areas of the county, especially the coast, although the Hadrian’s Wall corridor is being increasingly promoted as a tourist destination, as is Northumberland National Park (a separate local planning authority area) and, to a lesser extent, the North Pennines AONB. Disturbance can be a
significant impact arising from coastal recreation, with potential adverse impacts on nesting and feeding tern species, feeding and roosting migratory and winter waders and wildfowl and on fragile dune communities. Disturbance of breeding birds caused by increasing levels of recreational access can also be an issue away from the coast, especially in upland SPAs, where breeding populations of golden plover, merlin and hen harrier all require low levels of disturbance. Dogs, especially off-lead animals, increase the effect of casual disturbance of birds by walkers.

4.22 European sites at particular risk of disturbance impacts include the Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site, Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site and the Northumberland Dunes SAC. European sites vulnerable to disturbance from increasing visitor numbers include the North Pennines SPA. The Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC is vulnerable to damage from the Pennine Way and from riverside caravan and camping sites.

4.23 Improvements in treatment of sewage arising from coastal settlements in order to meet Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive obligations will help to ensure that increasing visitor numbers do not contribute to the eutrophication of intertidal and subtidal habitats.

Large Scale Development

4.24 Development of land is occurring at a comparatively modest pace in Northumberland, with the bulk of housing and industrial development occurring in and adjacent to the settlements of south-east Northumberland, on the periphery of the Tyneside conurbation. New development causes a range of impacts that can affect European sites, including increased or changing patterns of air pollution from changing or increasing vehicle uses, and increases in water demand and in waste arisings. Urban expansion can also cause loss of or increased disturbance to land which is used as high tide and night time roosts by bird species which are key features of the coastal SPAs, and it can increase disturbance within these SPAs, for example through increased recreational use of the intertidal zone and through light pollution. Recreational disturbance such as dog walking can be a particular problem when new residential development occurs close to the Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site; feeding opportunities for turnstone and purple sandpiper are already restricted by the tides and the limited daylight of winter, so lost feeding time and increased energy use evading perceived predators could be significant. Some high tide and night time roost sites used by these species are known to occur in close proximity to development, but overall knowledge of the location of roost sites is incomplete. There is currently a high degree of uncertainty about the breeding locations of the golden plover that winter on the Northumberland Coast; however, adverse effects on the wintering populations could affect the integrity of the North Pennines Moors SPA or other SPAs that they breed in.

4.25 Demand for particular types of building stone, for markets within and outwith Northumberland, can create demand for particular sites to be quarried. In Northumberland, demand for dimensional building stone is generally for sandstone, with a low likelihood of significant effects on European sites.
4.26 The highest quality concreting sands and gravels in Northumberland are derived from igneous rocks, and so occur in the north of the county, in valleys of rivers which are within the River Tweed SAC. Potential significant effects include releases of silt or pollutants to the watercourses and hydrological changes arising from water abstraction for processing.

**Climate Change**

4.27 Changes in climate arising from increasing levels of atmospheric CO$_2$ are very complex and difficult to predict. However, increasingly warm dry summers and mild, stormy winters along with rising sea levels seem to be the most likely trends. Possible impacts on European sites include the following:

- coastal squeeze, as habitats such as saltmarshes and sand dunes are caught in a decreasing amount of space between rising sea levels on their seaward side and human land uses on their landward side. This is likely to affect all coastal European sites, but effects will be felt first and most severely on European sites with intertidal habitats and dunes, which are Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC, Tweed Estuary SAC, North Northumberland Dunes SAC, Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site, Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site. Increased depths of water due to sea level rise may also affect coastal reefs and caves in the Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC.
- increasing wildfires affecting combustible plant communities such as heaths and bogs, affecting upland sites such as the North Pennines Moors SAC, North Pennines Moors SPA, Harbottle Moors SAC, Simonside Hills SAC, Border Mires Kielder-Butterburn SAC, Moor House – Upper Teesdale SAC, Irthinghead Mires Ramsar Site and Langholm – Newcastleton Hills SPA.
- rivers and wetlands increasingly affected by low flows in summer and floods in winter, for example the River Tweed SAC, River Eden SAC, Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC, Tyne and Nent SAC.
- distribution patterns of many species affected by shifts in their ‘climate space’ (the geographic area which has the appropriate climate for that species), predominately towards higher latitudes and higher altitudes. This may affect arctic-alpine communities in the North Pennines Moors SAC and Moor House-Upper Teesdale SAC especially severely.
- increasing rates of colonisation by new species, including pests and diseases
- higher summer water temperatures, with consequent decrease in levels of dissolved oxygen and increases in levels of primary productivity and decay processes.

4.28 Measures likely to assist in reducing the impacts of or in adapting to climate change include habitat restoration to improve ‘ecosystem services’, and land use change to facilitate the movement of communities and species. Examples of ecosystem services include the hydrological functioning of blanket bogs in absorbing large quantities of water from rainfall and gradually releasing it to watercourses, and the flood storage function of river floodplains. The hydrological function of blanket bogs in the uplands of Northumberland and surrounding areas has been adversely affected by the excavation of drainage ditches, especially during the 1950s – 1970s, and through afforestation. Projects to block ditches and restore afforested bogs are underway in the North Pennines and the Border Uplands, but are of a small scale.
compared to the areas affected. The area of functional floodplain in Northumberland has been greatly reduced over a long time period as flood defences have been put in place for settlements and farmland; however, increasingly severe winter storms will increase the need for it. Coastal realignment (the setting back of coastal defences) has the potential to allow coastal habitats such as saltmarsh to migrate landwards rather than being lost to coastal squeeze; projects are currently underway at Alnmouth and Goswick through the Northumberland Foreshores Project which will demonstrate the potential of this approach, although again these are of very limited scale compared to the problem.

4.29 The issue of facilitating the movement of communities and species in response to movements in their climate space is complex, as they vary greatly in their ability to make such movements and they requirements that they have in order to do so; accordingly such changes are likely to be chaotic rather than simple, with more adaptable species and less specialist communities faring much better than more demanding and specialist ones. It is unclear whether beneficial land management practices can be initiated on a significant enough scale to assist in this process; however, those activities that are most likely to have a beneficial effect in this respect include restoring existing habitats to good condition to maximise their resilience, and increasing ecological connectivity by increasing the overall extent of semi-natural vegetation in the wider countryside; reinforcing and expanding features that act as links and corridors such as watercourses and their associated riparian habitats; increasing the density of networks of habitats such as wetlands, semi-natural grasslands and native woodlands; and managing farmland in a way that integrates food production and wildlife conservation. This requires that nature conservation is planned and implemented at a landscape scale, rather than on the traditional site-by-site basis.

Invasive Species

4.30 Thousands of non-native species have become established in the UK, having been brought here either intentionally or accidently by people. A small proportion of non-native plants have become highly invasive, displacing native vegetation and forming dense single-species stands of little value to wildlife. Similarly, a few such animals are displacing native species, either directly or via pests or diseases that they have brought with them. Significant problems within European sites are as follows:

- Pirri-pirri bur is adversely affecting dune grassland within the North Northumberland Dunes SAC.
- *Spartina* (a saltmarsh grass) is adversely affecting mudflats within the Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC and Lindisfarne SPA.
- Japanese knotweed and giant hogweed is displacing native riparian vegetation in the River Tweed SAC, a problem which is being addressed through the Tweed Invasives Project.
- Crayfish plague, associated with the introduced signal crayfish, is spreading in northern England, and so the integrity of the River Eden SAC is at risk.
- Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*, a non-native invasive species is currently being farmed within Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site, Northumberland Marine SPA and the Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC.
5. **Stage 1C: Analysis of proposals and polices in the Local Plan - Identification of Likely Significant Effects**

5.1 As the Northumberland Local Plan policies and proposals have been drafted, they have been evaluated to identify where there could be a likely significant effect on the interest features of European sites. Where this was the case, the policy or proposal was reviewed to determine whether it could be modified to avoid such an effect. Where it proved impossible to avoid likely significant effects, a detailed appropriate assessment was made of the implications of the policy or proposal for the European site concerned. This process forms Stage 2: The Appropriate Assessment.

5.2 The following paragraphs provide a summary of this analysis together with recommendations, in bold, on the ways in which the likely significant effects could be mitigated.

**Strategic Objectives**

5.3 It is noted that the objectives are interlinked and are not in any form of priority order.

*Spatial Vision*

5.4 General aspiration – unlikely to have a significant effect and so can be screened out.

*Economy and Jobs*

5.5 General aspiration – unlikely to have a significant effect and so can be screened out.

*Homes*

5.6 General aspiration – unlikely to have a significant effect and so can be screened out.

*Environment*

5.7 General aspiration – unlikely to have a significant effect and so can be screened out.

*Connections*

5.8 General aspiration – unlikely to have a significant effect and so can be screened out.

*Community Health and Wellbeing*

5.9 General aspiration – unlikely to have a significant effect and so can be screened out.

*Climate Change*

5.10 General aspiration – unlikely to have a significant effect and so can be screened out.
Resources

5.11 General aspiration – unlikely to have a significant effect and so can be screened out.

Quality of Place

5.12 General aspiration – unlikely to have a significant effect and so can be screened out.

Policies

Policy STP 1 Spatial Strategy

5.13 A number of the main towns and service centres in which the majority of new development will be focussed are adjacent or close to European sites, or have a significant linkage to them:

- North Pennine Moors SPA, North Pennine Moors SAC, Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC, North Pennines Dales Meadows SAC (Allendale)
- Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site, Northumberland Marine SPA (Amble, Blyth, Newbiggin-by-the-Sea, Seahouses, Seaton Sluice)
- Tweed Estuary SAC (Berwick upon Tweed)
- Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC (Berwick upon Tweed, Seahouses)
- River Tweed SAC (Wooler)

5.14 Given proximity and cumulative disturbance issues (especially for the coastal European sites) and given that the policy specifically directs development to these locations, it is necessary to proceed to appropriate assessment in relation to these European sites. Primary issues concern:

- increased disturbance to breeding birds (upland SPAs) and breeding, migratory and wintering birds (coastal SPAs);
- impacts on functional land for breeding birds (upland SPAs);
- increased trampling damage (upland and coastal SACs);
- nutrient enrichment (River Tweed SAC, Tweed Estuary SAC).

Policy STP 2 Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development

5.15 Screened out due to paragraph 4.43 highlighting the fact that the presumption in favour of sustainable development does not apply to development requiring appropriate assessment under the Birds or Habitats Directives.

Policy STP 3 Sustainable Development

5.16 The policy reflects the environmental elements of sustainable development and so will be protective – screened out.

Policy STP 4 Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation

5.17 This policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on European sites given the nature of the policy and the protection contained within it, so it can be screened out.
Policy STP 5 Health and Wellbeing
5.18 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy STP 6 Green Infrastructure
5.19 This policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on European sites given the nature of the policy and the protection contained within it, so it can be screened out.

Policy STP 7 Design Principles
5.20 This policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on European sites given the nature of the policy and the protection contained within it, so it can be screened out.

Policy STP 8 Strategic Approach to the Green Belt
5.21 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy STP 9 Development in the Green Belt
5.22 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy STP 10 Safeguarded Land
5.23 This policy will not lead to development and so can be screened out.

Policy ECN 1 Planning Strategy for the Economy
5.24 This is a general statement of policy that is unlikely to have a significant effect on any European sites – screened out.

Policy ECN 2 Blyth Estuary Strategic Employment Area
5.25 Protective wording is included regarding designated sites; however, given that this policy makes land allocations close to the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site and the Northumberland Marine SPA it will need to proceed to appropriate assessment.

Policy ECN 3 West Hartford Prestige Employment Area
5.26 Given the distance of European sites and the protective wording within the policy, it is unlikely to have a significant effect and can be screened out.

Policy ECN 4 ‘Round 2’ Enterprise Zones
5.27 Given the distance of European sites and the nature of the uses permitted by the policy, it is unlikely to have a significant effect and can be screened out.

Policy ECN 5 Windfall Employment Development
5.28 The policy establishes criteria for assessing applications for windfall employment development, including appropriate ecological protection. Therefore it is unlikely to have a significant effect on any European sites and can be screened out.

Policy ECN 6 General Employment Land – Allocations and Safeguarding
5.29 Given the distance of European sites and the protective wording within the policy, it is unlikely to have a significant effect and can be screened out.

Policy ECN 7 Key General Employment Areas
5.30 This policy establishes criteria for different classes of employment uses on allocated employment land. Therefore it is unlikely to have a significant effect on any European sites and can be screened out.

Policy ECN 8 Areas for Flexible Employment Uses

5.31 This policy concerns the expansion of use classes on existing employment sites, subject to this being compatible with existing businesses and adjoining land uses. As such it is unlikely to have a significant effect on any European sites and can be screened out.

Policy ECN 9 Additional Flexibility in General Employment Areas

5.32 This policy establishes criteria for different classes of employment uses on allocated employment land. Therefore it is unlikely to have a significant effect on any European sites and can be screened out.

Policy ECN 10 Loss or Depletion of Employment Land

5.33 This policy could increase net housing numbers within the coastal zone of influence for the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site, Northumberland Marine SPA, Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC, Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site and North Northumberland Dunes SAC, and so it will need to proceed to appropriate assessment.

Policy ECN 11 Employment Uses in Built-up Areas and Home Working

5.34 This policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on European sites given the nature of the policy and the protection contained within it, so it can be screened out.

Policy ECN 12 A Strategy for Rural Economic Growth

5.35 General aspiration – unlikely to have a significant effect and so can be screened out.

Policy ECN 13 Meeting Rural Employment Needs

5.36 Given the restricted nature and scale of employment supported by this policy significant effects on European sites are unlikely and the policy and be screened out.

Policy ECN 14 Farm/Rural Diversification

5.37 This policy restricts the scale of potential development by requiring that it does not prejudice the operational needs of the host farm and includes protective wording concerning ecological impacts. Therefore it is unlikely to have a significant effect on any European sites and can be screened out.

Policy ECN 15 Tourism and Visitor Development

5.38 While this policy focusses larger scale development within main towns and service centres, this still has the potential to have a significant effect, especially on the coast where such settlements are immediately adjacent to European sites, and in some upland areas such as the North Pennines. Therefore this policy will need to go forward to appropriate assessment in relation to bird disturbance and trampling impacts for the following European sites:

- Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site
- Northumberland Marine SPA
Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site
North Northumberland Dunes SAC
North Pennine Moors SPA
North Pennine Moors SAC
Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC
North Pennines Dales Meadows SAC

Policy ECN 16 Green Belt and Tourism and Visitor Economy
5.39 The requirement to limit impacts on the green belt will constrain the nature and scale of development supported by this policy; however, significant effects arising from development supported by this policy cannot be ruled out. **Therefore this policy will need to go forward to appropriate assessment in relation to bird disturbance and trampling impacts for the following European sites:**
- Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site
- Northumberland Marine SPA
- Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site
- North Northumberland Dunes SAC
- North Pennine Moors SPA
- North Pennine Moors SAC
- Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC
- North Pennines Dales Meadows SAC

Policy ECN 17 Hierarchy of Centres
5.40 No effects are likely as this policy encourages the continuation of retailing within town centres in accordance with their existing roles, and so it can be screened out.

Policy ECN 18 Defining Centres in Main Towns
5.41 This policy confirms the areas within which town centre uses should normally be located, and as such is unlikely to have a significant effect on any European sites and can be screened out.

Policy ECN 19 Maintaining and Enhancing the Role of Centres
5.42 No effects are likely as this policy encourages the location of retailing within town centres in accordance with their existing roles, and so it can be screened out.

Policy ECN 20 Proposals outside of Centres
5.43 No effects are likely because this policy establishes sequential tests and criteria for town centre type uses outside of centres, and so it can be screened out.

Policy ECN 21 Keeping High Streets Vibrant
5.44 No effects are likely as this policy controls uses within key shopping frontages in town centres, and so it can be screened out.

Policy ECN 22 Hot Food Takeaways
5.45 No effects are likely as this policy controls the location of takeaways, and so it can be screened out.

Policy HOU 1 Making the Best Use of Existing Buildings
5.46 **This policy is primarily concerned with the efficient use of existing housing stock. However, it also permits conversion of other buildings to residential**
use subject to certain caveats and so could increase the net number of residential units within the coastal zone of influence. Accordingly this policy will need to go forward to appropriate assessment in relation to the following European sites:

- Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site
- Northumberland Marine SPA
- Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site
- North Northumberland Dunes SAC
- Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC

Policy HOU 2 Provision of New Residential Development

5.47 This policy provides for an additional 17,700 houses over the plan period to meet identified needs and projections, in locations consistent with the Spatial Strategy and therefore with a focus on Main Towns and Service Centres. A number of Main Towns and Service Centres in Northumberland are adjacent to or close to European sites on the coast and to a lesser extent in the uplands. This policy will need to go forward to appropriate assessment in relation to the following European sites:

- Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site
- Northumberland Marine SPA
- Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site
- North Northumberland Dunes SAC
- Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC
- Tweed Estuary SAC
- River Tweed SAC
- North Pennine Moors SPA
- North Pennine Moors SAC
- Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC
- North Pennines Dales Meadows SAC

Policy HOU 3 Housing Development Site Allocations

5.48 While the scale of allocations is comparatively small, allocated sites are located within the zone of influence of the coastal European sites and those in the North Pennines, and so this policy should proceed to appropriate assessment alongside Policy HOU 2 in relation to the following European sites:

- Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site
- Northumberland Marine SPA
- Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site
- North Northumberland Dunes SAC
- Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC
- Tweed Estuary SAC
- North Pennine Moors SPA
- North Pennine Moors SAC
- Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC
- North Pennines Dales Meadows SAC

Policy HOU 4 Housing Types and Mix
5.49 As this policy only concerns the density and type of housing it will not have a significant effect on a European site alone or in-combination and so can be screened out.

Policy HOU 5 Affordable Housing Provision

5.50 No significant effects are likely as this is not a locational policy, nor is it one that influences the amount of development, and so it can be screened out.

Policy HOU 6 Exception Sites

5.51 No effects are likely due to the restrictive nature of the policy and the protective elements within it, and so it can be screened out.

Policy HOU 7 Homes for Older and Vulnerable People

5.52 No effects are likely as this is not a locational policy, nor is it one that influences the amount of development, and so it can be screened out.

Policy HOU 8 Management of Housing Development

5.53 This policy facilitates housing within settlements and in certain limited circumstances outside of settlement boundaries, and so will to go forward to appropriate assessment in relation to the following European sites:

- Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site
- Northumberland Marine SPA
- Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site
- North Northumberland Dunes SAC
- Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC
- Tweed Estuary SAC
- River Tweed SAC
- North Pennine Moors SPA
- North Pennine Moors SAC
- Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC
- North Pennines Dales Meadows SAC

Policy HOU 9 Provision for Gypsy, Roma and Traveller Communities

5.54 No effects are likely due to the restrictive nature of the policy and the protective elements within it, and so it can be screened out.

Policy TRA 1 Promoting Sustainable Connections

5.55 This policy sets out how new development should promote accessibility, particularly by reducing the need to travel, and prioritising pedestrians, cyclists and public transport. Consequently it can be screened out.

Policy TRA 2 The Effects of Development on the Transport Network

5.56 This policy concerns highways standards around developments and could not affect European sites – screened out

Policy TRA 3 Improving Northumberland’s Core Road Network

5.57 This policy supports the improvement of Northumberland’s core road network. While this won’t in itself have a significant effect on any European sites...
sites as it is aspirational rather than prescriptive, its effects will need to be considered in-combination with other plans and policies concerning transport, including the Council’s own Local Transport Plan, the Northumberland Economic Strategy and plans and projects developed by the North East Combined Authority and the Highways Agency.

Policy TRA 4 Rail Transport and Safeguarding Facilities
5.58 This policy is unlikely to have a significant effect because its purpose is only to safeguard land from development, so that it remains available for possible future public transport and rail freight. Therefore it can be screened out.

Policy TRA 5 Newcastle International Airport
5.59 This policy is restricted to maintaining a supply of land that could be available for any future development of airport facilities at Newcastle. This does not have direct implications for any European sites, and in the absence of any current expansion plans it is not possible to identify any indirect effects. Consequently this policy can be screened out.

Policy TRA 6 Ports, Harbours and Beach Launch Facilities
5.60 This policy includes specific protection for European sites and also requires a demonstration of no net loss of intertidal or subtidal habitats. Consequently it can be screened out.

Policy ICT 1 Planning for Wireless Telecommunications and Broadband Infrastructure
5.61 This policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on European sites given the protection contained within it and the nature and scale of relevant development, and so it can be screened out.

Policy ICT 2 New Developments
5.62 This policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on European sites given the protection contained within it and the nature and scale of relevant development, and so it can be screened out.

Policy ICT 3 Infrastructure Alignment
5.63 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy ICT 4 Network Capacity
5.64 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy and the protective wording within it, and so it can be screened out.

Policy ENV1 Approaches to Assessing the Impact of Development on the Natural, Historic and Built Environment
5.65 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy and the protective wording within it, and so it can be screened out.

Policy ENV2 Biodiversity and Geodiversity
5.66 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy and the protective wording within it, and so it can be screened out.
Policy ENV3 Landscape
5.67 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy and the protective wording within it, and so it can be screened out.

Policy ENV4 Tranquillity, Dark Skies and a Sense of Rurality
5.68 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy ENV5 Northumberland Coast Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
5.69 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy and the protective wording within it, and so it can be screened out.

Policy ENV6 North Pennines Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
5.70 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy and the protective wording within it, and so it can be screened out.

Policy ENV7 Historic Environment and Heritage Assets
5.71 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy ENV8 Frontiers of the Roman Empire – Hadrian’s Wall World Heritage Site
5.72 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy ENV9 Conservation Areas
5.73 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy ENV10 Supporting the Conservation and Enhancement of Heritage Assets
5.74 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy ENV 11 Design of the Public Realm
5.75 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy WAT 1 Water Quality
5.76 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy and the protective wording within it, and so it can be screened out.

Policy WAT 2 Water Supply and Sewerage
5.77 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy and the protective wording within it, and so it can be screened out.

Policy WAT 3 Flooding
5.78 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy and the protective wording within it, and so it can be screened out.

Policy WAT 4 Sustainable Drainage Systems
5.79 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy WAT 5 Coastal Erosion and Coastal Change Management
5.80 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy and the protective wording within it, and so it can be screened out.
Policy POL 1 Unstable and Contaminated Land
5.81 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy POL 2 Pollution and Air, Soil and Water Quality
5.82 Protective policy – can be screened out.

Policy POL 3 Airport Safeguarding Areas
5.83 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy POL 4 Soil and Agricultural Land Quality
5.84 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy MIN 1 Environmental Criteria for Assessing Minerals Proposals
5.85 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy and the protective wording within it, and so it can be screened out.

Policy MIN 2 Criteria for Assessing the Benefits of Minerals Proposals
5.86 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy MIN 3 Mineral and Landfill Site Restoration, Aftercare and After-use
5.87 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy if its requirement for net gains in biodiversity, and so it can be screened out.

Policy MIN 4 Safeguarding Mineral Resources
5.88 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy MIN 5 Safeguarding Minerals Related Infrastructure
5.89 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy MIN 6 Aggregate Minerals
5.90 This policy identifies preferred areas for sand and gravel extraction and for crushed rock extraction for aggregates as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Size of reserve and area of land</th>
<th>European sites that could be affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preferred areas for sand and gravel extraction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land at Anick Grange Haugh, Hexham</td>
<td>9 million tonnes, 90 ha</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land east of Wooperton Quarry</td>
<td>1 million tonnes, 30 ha</td>
<td>River Tweed SAC 780m to the north east at closest point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land east of Lanton Quarry and west of Akeld Steads</td>
<td>1.7 million tonnes, 30 ha</td>
<td>River Tweed SAC to south and east, 270m at closest point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preferred areas for crushed rock extraction for aggregates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land at Belford Quarry</td>
<td>5 million tonnes, 30ha</td>
<td>Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site and Berwickshire and North</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Size of reserve and area of land</td>
<td>European sites that could be affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land to the north and east of Divethill Quarry, Great Bavington</td>
<td>6.6 million tonnes, 20.5ha</td>
<td>Northumberland Coast SAC are 1.5km east of the site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land to the east of Longhoughton Quarry</td>
<td>1.75 million tonnes, 20.5ha</td>
<td>Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site 2.3km to the east at the closest point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land at Shiel Dykes (near Newton-on-the-Moor)</td>
<td>3 million tonnes, 36.6ha</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.91 The site east of Wooperton Quarry does not have any watercourses connecting it to the SAC. The site is well outside of flood zones 2 and 3, being about 20m above channel level. Accordingly it can be screened out.

5.92 The site east of Lanton Quarry does not have any watercourses connecting it to the River Tweed SAC, and there is a road and woodland between the site and the river. The site is outside of flood zones 2 and 3, being about 8m above channel level. Accordingly it can be screened out.

5.93 The distance between the site east of Longhoughton Quarry and the Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site is such that there is no risk of disturbance to SPA birds arising from the operation of the quarry, and so it can be screened out.

5.94 The distance between the site at Belford Quarry and the Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site is such that there is no risk of disturbance to SPA or Ramsar Site birds arising from the operation of the quarry. There are no pathways by which the operation of the quarry could affect any of the features of the Berwickshire and North Northumberland SAC. Accordingly it can be screened out.

5.95 Otherwise, the policy is a general statement to ensure a steady and adequate supply of aggregates, with criteria including appropriate environmental protection and so it can be screened out.

Policy MIN 7 Coal

5.96 This policy includes an overall criterion concerning environmental protection plus specific criteria that will be given particular weight in different sub-areas, including protection for European sites on the coast and in the uplands. Accordingly, significant effects are not likely as a result of this policy and so it can be screened out.

Policy MIN 8 Clays

5.97 This policy lists the criteria by which proposals for clay extraction will be considered, including appropriate protective criteria, and so can be screened out.
Policy MIN 9 Natural Building and Roofing Stone
5.98 This policy lists the criteria by which proposals for building stone extraction will be considered, including appropriate protective criteria, and so can be screened out.

Policy MIN 10 Conventional and Unconventional Oil and Gas
5.99 This policy lists the criteria by which proposals for exploration, testing and exploitation of oil and gas will be considered, including appropriate protective criteria, and so can be screened out.

Policy MIN 11 Peat
5.100 This policy will not affect any European sites because of its protective nature and so can be screened out.

Policy WAS 1 Principles for the Location of Waste Re-use, Recycling, and Recovery Facilities
5.101 This policy only concerns locational considerations including sequential tests; environmental protection is considered in policy WAS02. Therefore it is unlikely to have a significant effect and can be screened out.

Policy WAS 2 Development Management Criteria for Waste Re-use, Recycling, and Recovery Facilities
5.102 This policy lists the criteria by which proposals for waste sites will be considered, including appropriate protective criteria, and so can be screened out.

Policy WAS 3 Waste Disposal
5.103 This policy confirms Ellington Road Landfill Site as the focus for ongoing disposal of waste that cannot be dealt with using solutions higher up the waste hierarchy, and sets out the criteria for assessing proposals for other sites. The operation of Ellington Road landfill Site does not cause any effect on European sites and the criteria for any other proposals includes an appropriate protective criterion. Accordingly, this policy can be screened out.

Policy WAS 4 Safeguarding Waste Management Facilities
5.104 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy REN 1 Renewable and Low Carbon Energy
5.105 This policy establishes the criteria by which proposals for renewable and low carbon energy developments will be assessed, including appropriate protective criteria, and so can be screened out.

Policy REN 2 Onshore Wind Turbine Development
5.106 This policy is specifically concerned with landscape and residential amenity issues arising from wind turbines. European sites have been taken into account in preparing the maps showing areas suitable for wind turbines, and appropriate protective criteria are included in Policy REN 1. Therefore it can be screened out.

Policy SDC 1 Sustainable Design and Construction
5.107 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy INF 1 Delivering Development Related Infrastructure
5.108 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy INF 2 Community Services and Facilities
5.109 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy INF 3 Local Village Convenience Shops and Public Houses
5.110 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy INF 4 Assets of Community Value
5.111 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy INF 5 Delivering Well-Designed Places
5.112 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy INF 6 Open Space and Facilities for Sport and Recreation
5.113 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Policy INF 7 Planning Conditions and Planning Obligations
5.114 No effects are likely due to the nature of the policy, and so it can be screened out.

Identification of policies requiring appropriate assessment

5.115 The following policies could have a significant effect on European sites and therefore require appropriate assessment:
  • Policy STP 1 Spatial Strategy
  • Policy ECN 2 Blyth Estuary Strategic Employment Area
  • Policy ECN 10 Loss or Depletion of Employment Land
  • Policy ECN 15 Tourism and Visitor Development
  • Policy ECN 16 Green Belt and Tourism and Visitor Economy
  • Policy HOU 1 Making the Best Use of Existing Buildings
  • Policy HOU 2 Provision of New Residential Development
  • Policy HOU 3 Housing Development and Site Allocations
  • Policy HOU 8 Management of Housing Development

5.116 The potential effects of these policies are as follows:

Increased disturbance to breeding upland waders and raptors
North Pennine Moors SPA

Increased disturbance to breeding seabirds
Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site
Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site
Northumberland Marine SPA

Increased disturbance to migratory and wintering waders and wildfowl
Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site
Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site
Increased trampling damage to sensitive vegetation
North Pennine Moors SAC
Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC
North Pennines Dales Meadows SAC
North Northumberland Dunes SAC
Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC

Eutrophication from increased sewage discharge
Tweed Estuary SAC
River Tweed SAC
6. **Stage 1D: Consideration of Other Plans and Projects**

6.1 Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive requires that: ‘*Any plan or project not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site but likely to have a significant effect thereon, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, shall be subject to appropriate assessment of its implications for the site in view of the site’s conservation objectives.*’ Accordingly, it is necessary to consider the cumulative impact of relevant plan components (i.e. all components likely to have more than a ‘de minimus’ impact on site integrity), existing trends and other plans and projects on the integrity of relevant European sites.

6.2 In practice, this means that any proposals or proposed policies identified at Stage 1C as having no effect on European sites can be screened out. However, when a proposal or proposed policy in the Local Plan is identified as having an effect on a European site which in itself is not significant but which cannot be dismissed as being *de minimus*, it must be considered in combination with the effects arising from other plans and projects.

6.3 At this stage of the HRA, the following list of other plans and projects have been identified for consideration:

**Regional**
- North of Tyne ‘Minded-to’ Devolution Deal (HMG, NELEP, Newcastle City Council, Northumberland County Council and North Tyneside Council, 2017)

**Local**
- Morpeth Neighbourhood Plan 2016
- Alnwick Neighbourhood Plan 2017
- Allendale Neighbourhood Plan 2015
- North Northumberland Coast Neighbourhood Plan 2015
- Bates Colliery and West Sleekburn Local Development Orders (NCC, 2013)
- Northumberland Local Transport Plan (NCC 2011-2026)

**Adjacent Authorities**
- Planning for the Future – Local Plan and Urban Core Plan for Gateshead and Newcastle upon Tyne 2010-2030
- North Tyneside Local Plan 2017
- South Tyneside Local Development Framework 2007
- Sunderland Draft Core Strategy and Development Plan 2017
- County Durham Plan Preferred Options 2018
- Derwentside Local Plan 2007 Saved Policies
- Northumberland National Park Authority Local Development Framework 2009
- Carlisle District Local Plan 2015-2030
- Eden Local Plan 2014-2032 Submission Draft
• Scottish Borders Local Development Plan 2016
### Table 1  In-combination assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan or Project</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Relevant Issues</th>
<th>Interaction with Northumberland Local Plan objectives and policies</th>
<th>European sites affected</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More and Better Jobs: The North East Strategic Economic Plan</td>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>£44 million of Local Growth Fund being invested in 11 major infrastructure capital projects £57m secured for 23 transport projects Collaboration with Highways England to secure £900m investment in strategic road network</td>
<td>See below</td>
<td>See below</td>
<td>See below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise Zones</td>
<td>Round 1 Enterprise Zone – Blyth Estuary Round 2 Enterprise Zones at Ramparts Business Park, Berwick; Ashwood Business Park, Ashington and Newcastle International Airport</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased transport emission from A1/A19 Increased disturbance from ship traffic at Blyth affecting Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site and Northumberland Marine SPA</td>
<td>No European sites close enough to be affected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>Support for: Reintroduction of passenger services on Ashington, Blyth and Tyne line Improvements to A19 to improve access to Enterprise Zones</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reopening of the ABT line – positive (emissions reduction) Increased transport emission from A19 and A69</td>
<td>No European sites close enough to be affected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan or Project</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td>Relevant Issues</td>
<td>Interaction with Northumberland Local Plan objectives and policies</td>
<td>European sites affected</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North of Tyne ‘minded-to’ Devolution Deal</td>
<td>Improvements to A69</td>
<td>Government investment of £20m per annum for 30 years into a North of Tyne Investment Fund. Development of energy and low carbon industries at Blyth and Tyne North Bank. Support for accelerated housing delivery informed by Local Housing Need figures including establishment of North of Tyne Housing and Land Board to oversee housing delivery.</td>
<td>Blyth - Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site and Northumberland Marine SPA – increased disturbance from ship traffic.</td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning for the Future – Local Plan and Urban Core Plan for Gateshead and Newcastle upon Tyne 2010-2030</td>
<td>Housing and employment</td>
<td>Spatial strategy includes provision for at least 30000 new homes (21,600 to be located within the existing built-up area and 8,400 in neighbourhood and village growth areas) and</td>
<td>Housing – increased recreational disturbance affecting Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site and Lindisfarne SPA/Ramsar Site, spread of pirri-pirri bur by recreational users in North Northumberland Dunes SAC</td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan or Project</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td>Relevant Issues</td>
<td>Interaction with Northumberland Local Plan objectives and policies</td>
<td>European sites affected</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and 22,000 new jobs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SPA/Ramsar Site, spread of pirri-pirri bur by recreational users in North Northumberland Dunes SAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>Policy CS13 Transport includes The creation of additional capacity on the Strategic Road Network, including the provision of an additional lane on the A1 in both directions from the A1/A19 Interchange at Seaton Burn to the Scotswood Road slip- roads, and between the southern extent of the Lobley Hill Major Scheme improvements at Coalhouse and the A1/A194(M) bifurcation at Birtley.</td>
<td>Increased transport emission from A1/A19</td>
<td>No European sites close enough to be affected – screened out</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste</td>
<td>Household waste is likely to be directed to Ellington landfill site in Northumberland after Path Head landfill site closes in 2017 or 2018.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>Screened out</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Tyneside Local Plan 2017</td>
<td>Housing Outstanding housing target to 2031-32 of 9771 units (= 698 units per annum)</td>
<td>Increased recreational disturbance affecting</td>
<td></td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan or Project</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td>Relevant Issues</td>
<td>Interaction with Northumberland Local Plan objectives and policies</td>
<td>European sites affected</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>The plan supports a range of improvements to junctions on the A19(T) within and close to the Borough</td>
<td>Increased transport emission from A1/A19</td>
<td>Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site and Lindisfarne SPA/Ramsar Site, spread of pirri-pirri bur by recreational users in North Northumberland Dunes SAC</td>
<td>No European sites close enough to be affected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste</td>
<td>Municipal waste currently goes to Path Head landfill site in Gateshead and the energy from waste plant on Teeside; closure of Path Head is likely to cause the diversion of waste to Ellington Road landfill site in Northumberland</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Screened out</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Tynsides Local Development Framework 2007</td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>Housing allocation 2016-2021 of 1650 per annum</td>
<td>Increased recreational disturbance affecting Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site</td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunderland Draft Core Strategy and Development Plan 2017</td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>13,800 additional homes 2017-2033 (862 units per annum)</td>
<td>Increased recreational disturbance affecting</td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan or Project</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td>Relevant Issues</td>
<td>Interaction with Northumberland Local Plan objectives and policies</td>
<td>European sites affected</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Durham Plan Preferred Options 2018</td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>6272 additional homes 2018-2036 (348 units per annum)</td>
<td>Increased recreational disturbance affecting Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site</td>
<td>Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site</td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northumberland National Park Authority Local Development Framework</td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>No housing target; new residential development subject to local needs test. Major develop only permitted in exceptional circumstances and subject to a series of tests.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Screened out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td>Support for small scale tourism and recreation developments that don’t impact on NNP special qualities</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Screened out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlisle District Local Plan</td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>480-565 units per annum 2015-2030</td>
<td>Recreational disturbance affecting North Pennine Moors SPA Recreational damage affecting North Pennine Moors SAC, North Pennine Dales Meadows SAC</td>
<td>Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site</td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan or Project</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td>Relevant Issues</td>
<td>Interaction with Northumberland Local Plan objectives and policies</td>
<td>European sites affected</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td></td>
<td>Policy EC9 provides criteria for tourism development, but not specifically including the safeguarding of nature conservation interests</td>
<td>Recreational disturbance affecting North Pennine Moors SPA, Recreational damage affecting North Pennine Moors SAC, North Pennine Dales Meadows SAC, Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC</td>
<td>These impacts on this European site are already screened in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eden Local Plan 2014-2032 Submission Draft</td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>Annual housing requirement of 138 per annum</td>
<td>Recreational disturbance affecting North Pennine Moors SPA, Recreational damage affecting North Pennine Moors SAC, North Pennine Dales Meadows SAC, Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC</td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td></td>
<td>Policy EC4 provides criteria for tourism development, but not specifically including the safeguarding of nature conservation interests</td>
<td>Recreational disturbance affecting North Pennine Moors SPA, Recreational</td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan or Project</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td>Relevant Issues</td>
<td>Interaction with Northumberland Local Plan objectives and policies</td>
<td>European sites affected</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scottish Borders Council Local Development Plan</td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>Annual housing requirement of 838 per annum 2009-2025</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nutrient inputs from sewage treatment affecting the River Tweed SAC, Tweed Estuary, Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC, Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site</td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morpeth Neighbourhood Plan</td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>1700 units over the plan period, already met through existing commitments</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased recreational disturbance affecting Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site and Lindisfarne SPA/Ramsar Site, spread of pirri-pirri bur by recreational users in North Northumberland Dunes SAC</td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan or Project</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td>Relevant Issues</td>
<td>Interaction with Northumberland Local Plan objectives and policies</td>
<td>European sites affected</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment Land</td>
<td>8ha allocated at Fairmoor and 2ha at Northgate Hospital, both at the north end of Morpeth</td>
<td></td>
<td>Change in commuting patterns could increase or decrease net emissions</td>
<td>No European sites close enough to be affected by any changes between here and major employment or residential areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>The Plan supports the following road improvements:</td>
<td>Increased traffic generation causing increased transport emissions</td>
<td></td>
<td>No European sites close enough to be affected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A road link between Loansdean and Stobhill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A four-way junction onto the A1 south of the town.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alnwick Neighbourhood Plan</td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>530 units required up to 2031; 482 on sites allocated in the NP in and around Alnwick, with the balance on windfall sites</td>
<td>Increased recreational disturbance affecting Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site and Lindisfarne SPA/Ramsar Site, spread of pirri-pirri bur by recreational users in North Northumberland Dunes SAC</td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12ha allocated at Greensfield/Cawledge</td>
<td></td>
<td>Change in commuting patterns could increase or decrease net emissions but no</td>
<td>Screened out.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan or Project</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td>Relevant Issues</td>
<td>Interaction with Northumberland Local Plan objectives and policies</td>
<td>European sites affected</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td>Support for tourism development, subject to criteria including impact on natural environment</td>
<td></td>
<td>European sites close enough to be affected by any changes between here and major employment or residential areas</td>
<td>Increased recreational disturbance affecting Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site and Lindisfarne SPA/Ramsar Site, spread of pirri-pirri bur by recreational users in North Northumberland Dunes SAC</td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allendale Neighbourhood Plan</td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>Support for individual dwellings and small-scale development, subject to criteria</td>
<td>Recreational disturbance affecting North Pennine Moors SPA Recreational damage affecting North Pennine Moors SAC, North Pennine Dales Meadows SAC, Tyne and Allen</td>
<td></td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan or Project</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td>Relevant Issues</td>
<td>Interaction with Northumberland Local Plan objectives and policies</td>
<td>European sites affected</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>River Gravels SAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recreational disturbance affecting North Pennine Moors SPA</td>
<td>River Gravels SAC</td>
<td>This impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recreational damage affecting North Pennine Moors SAC, North</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pennine Dales Meadows SAC, Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>September 2017 - 2032</td>
<td>New housing restricted to principal residences, on sites within the settlement boundaries and outwith the coastal strip and single dwellings within defined hamlets</td>
<td>Increased recreational disturbance affecting Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site and Lindisfarne SPA/Ramsar Site, spread of pirri-pirri bur by recreational users in North Northumberland Dunes SAC</td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northumberland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbourhood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan 2017-2032</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased recreational disturbance affecting Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site and Lindisfarne SPA/Ramsar Site, spread of pirri-pirri bur by recreational users in North Northumberland Dunes SAC</td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan or Project</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td>Relevant Issues</td>
<td>Interaction with Northumberland Local Plan objectives and policies</td>
<td>European sites affected</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bates Colliery and West Sleekburn Local Development Orders</td>
<td></td>
<td>demonstrated Provision of a base for low-impact water-based recreation and nature-based tourism in the car park at Beadnell is supported</td>
<td>Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site and Lindisfarne SPA/Ramsar Site, spread of pirri-pirri bur by recreational users in North Northumberland Dunes SAC</td>
<td>Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site and Lindisfarne SPA/Ramsar Site, spread of pirri-pirri bur by recreational users in North Northumberland Dunes SAC</td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highways Agency Road Projects - A1 Dualling Morpeth – Felton and Adderstone</td>
<td>Proposed trunk road improvement scheme</td>
<td>The upgrading of the A1 from Morpeth to Felton to dual carriageway standard and junction improvements in the Adderstone to Belford area</td>
<td>Increased traffic generation causing increased transport emissions</td>
<td>No European sites close enough to be affected</td>
<td>No European sites close enough to be affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan or Project</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td>Relevant Issues</td>
<td>Interaction with Northumberland Local Plan objectives and policies</td>
<td>European sites affected</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northumberland Local Transport Plan</td>
<td>Blyth Central Link Road</td>
<td>A new link would be created between Rotary Way and a new junction on the A189 Spine Road. It is anticipated that a new road link in this location will support proposals for the development of Blyth and Cambois.</td>
<td>Easing congestion is likely to help to stimulate economic activity in the Blyth/Cambois area, potentially increasing traffic generation causing increased transport emissions. Increased port-related development could increase disturbance from ship traffic affecting Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site and Northumberland Marine SPA.</td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing Network Capacity A193 - Cowpen Road Corridor, Blyth</td>
<td>In the short term, capacity improvements to the A193 Cowpen Road are required to allow the town to grow and develop. In the longer term, more radical measures would be required such as the construction of a new link road to the A189 Spine Road.</td>
<td>Easing congestion is likely to help to stimulate economic activity in the Blyth/Cambois area, potentially increasing traffic generation causing increased transport emissions. Increased port-related development could increase disturbance from ship traffic affecting Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site and Northumberland Marine SPA.</td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan or Project</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td>Relevant Issues</td>
<td>Interaction with Northumberland Local Plan objectives and policies</td>
<td>European sites affected</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing Network Capacity - A189 to Battleship Wharf</td>
<td>The development of wind turbine technology at Battleship Wharf is currently constrained by restrictions on the existing highway network from the A189 to the site. Improvements are currently being designed and external funding sought to facilitate the development.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>related development could increase disturbance from ship traffic affecting Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site and Northumberland Marine SPA</td>
<td>Easing congestion is likely to help to stimulate economic activity in the Blyth/Cambois area, potentially increasing traffic generation causing increased transport emissions. Increased port-related development could increase disturbance from ship traffic affecting Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site and Northumberland Marine SPA. These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan or Project</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td>Relevant Issues</td>
<td>Interaction with Northumberland Local Plan objectives and policies</td>
<td>European sites affected</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashington, Blyth and Tyne Line</td>
<td>The reintroduction of passenger services on the railway line from Ashington to Newcastle</td>
<td>Any impact likely to be positive (reduction in emissions from road vehicles)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northumberland Destination Management Plan 2015-2020</td>
<td>Northumberland’s Tourism Strategy</td>
<td>To increase the number of visitors to Northumberland by 5% by 2020</td>
<td>Increased recreational disturbance affecting Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site and Lindisfarne SPA/Ramsar Site, spread of pirri-pirri bur by recreational users in North Northumberland Dunes SAC</td>
<td>These impacts on these European sites are already screened in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In-combination assessment - Policy TRA 3 Improving Northumberland’s Core Road Network

6.4 One policy is identified as not having a significant effect alone but requiring assessment in-combination with other plans and policies, which is Policy 42, Improving Northumberland’s Core Road Network. This policy supports full dualling of the A1 through Northumberland and improving local road links to it, and full dualling of the A69 west of Hexham and improving local road links to it. Accordingly, its effects will need to be considered in-combination with other plans and policies concerning transport, including the Council’s own Local Transport Plan, the North East Combined Authority and Highways England (formerly the Highways Agency).

6.5 Decisions about the dualling of either road will ultimately lie with central Government and Highways England, which operates, maintains and improves England’s motorways and trunk roads. At present, it appears that further dualling of lengths of the A1 is likely during the Local Plan period, while dualling of the A69 west of Hexham is likely to be subject to further investigation and so is possible during the plan period.

6.6 Direct effects of dualling would arise from the destruction of European sites to the new road and associated infrastructure, or damage caused by changes to hydrological patterns caused by the engineering works. Indirect effects are more varied, and include increased nitrogen deposition to vegetation and soils from increased traffic levels generated by the increased road capacity, and increased development pressure stimulated by the perceived improvement in the accessibility of parts of the county served by the improved roads.

6.7 Direct effects on European sites will not arise from the dualling of either the A1 or the A69, because there are no European sites close enough to either road to be destroyed or damaged by dualling, unless some unexpected and significant deviations from existing routes were considered.

6.8 Regarding increases in nitrogen emissions from vehicle exhausts, effects decrease quickly with distance, with the plume also being affected by predominant wind direction. Newham Bog SAC is the nearest European site to the A1 and is 3.3km away at its nearest point. The Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC is the closest European site to the A69, being 630m from the A69 at Wharmley Riverside and 625m at Wydon Nabb. The IPENS Atmospheric Nitrogen Theme Plan\(^8\) has recently been published and this indicates that vehicle exhaust is not a significant contributor to nitrogen deposition on European sites within Northumberland.

6.9 Regarding longer term effects, such as increased development pressure in settlements benefitting from improved accessibility as a result of the highway improvements, it is impossible to undertake any meaningful assessment at the

---

http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/6140185886588928?category=5605910663659520
Local Plan stage as there is too little information as to what these might be. However, any such development will be subject to planning permission and so will be tested against the policies in the Local Plan and subsequent development plan documents, which themselves will have been through HRA.
7. **Stage 2. Appropriate Assessment**

**Introduction**

7.1 The conclusion of Stage 1 of this assessment concluded that the following policies could have a significant effect on European sites and therefore require appropriate assessment:

- Policy STP 1 Spatial Strategy
- Policy ECN 2 Blyth Estuary Strategic Employment Area
- Policy ECN 10 Loss or Depletion of Employment Land
- Policy ECN 15 Tourism and Visitor Development
- Policy ECN 16 Green Belt and Tourism and Visitor Economy
- Policy HOU 1 Making the Best Use of Existing Buildings
- Policy HOU 2 Provision of New Residential Development
- Policy HOU 3 Housing Development and Site Allocations
- Policy HOU 8 Management of Housing Development

7.2 The potential effects are as follows:

- **Increased disturbance to breeding upland waders and raptors**
  North Pennine Moors SPA

- **Increased disturbance to breeding seabirds**
  Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site
  Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site
  Northumberland Marine SPA

- **Increased disturbance to migratory and wintering waders and wildfowl**
  Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site
  Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site

- **Increased trampling damage to sensitive vegetation**
  North Pennine Moors SAC
  Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC
  North Pennines Dales Meadows SAC
  North Northumberland Dunes SAC

- **Spread of Non-Native Invasive Species**
  North Northumberland Dunes SAC

- **Eutrophication from increased sewage discharge**
  Tweed Estuary SAC
  River Tweed SAC

7.3 One policy was assessed as having an insignificant effect alone, but a significant effect in-combination with other plans and policies; Policy TRA 3 Improving Northumberland’s Core Road Network.
8. **Assessment of whether there will be an adverse effect on the integrity of any European sites**

**Policy ECN 2 Blyth Estuary Strategic Employment Area – Increased disturbance in the Blyth estuary sectors of the Northumbria Coast SPA and Northumberland Marine SPA**

8.1 The key issues here concern potential impacts on two interest features from the Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site (purple sandpiper and turnstone) and two interest features from the Northumberland Marine SPA (foraging sandwich tern and arctic tern) arising from industrial development around the Blyth estuary. Purple sandpipers are almost exclusively confined to rocky shore outside the mouth of the estuary and to the breakwater itself, which greatly reduces the potential for impacts arising from these policies. Turnstones are primarily found on the rocky shore but small numbers roost on the North Staithes, which are located just downriver from Battleship Wharf, and at the end of the Mount Pleasant peninsula. Policies 4 and 5 do not have any direct implications for either site, and it should be noted that development permitted under the Bates Colliery and West Sleekburn Local Development Orders was found to have no significant effect with the HRA was undertaken of the LDO. While the tern species do forage within the estuary in small numbers, this is a tiny proportion of the extensive foraging area that these particular populations use, and does not have any particularly important features lacking elsewhere in their foraging area. Given this, and their evident tolerance to current industrial activity, Natural England has advised that there is unlikely to be a significant effect on these species.

8.2 **However, it is important that the Mount Pleasant peninsula is protected from inappropriate development, to prevent any in-combination effects with the LDO.** Even a small development that reduced the value of Mount Pleasant peninsula for these species could compromise the development of much more economically important sites within the estuary by increasing pressure on the key species and removing the last remaining suitable mitigation sites within the estuary. The Local Plan does not propose anything that could adversely affect the Mount Pleasant peninsula and, as open countryside it has a significant degree of policy protection through the plan.

---

9 Meeting with Katie Finkill-Coombs, Lead Advisor, Natural England, 16/02/16
Policies STP1, ECN 15, ECN 16, HOU 2, HOU 3 and HOU 8 - Increased disturbance in the North Pennine Moors SPA and increased trampling damage in the North Pennine Moors SAC

8.3 Increased disturbance to breeding populations of upland waders and raptors in the North Pennines SPA could potentially arise from housing allocations in nearby settlements such as Allendale, or from tourism development within or close to the SPA.

8.4 Heathland and blanket bog vegetation is sensitive to trampling damage. At high trampling pressure, the woody shrubs that dominate heathland vegetation will be damaged and ultimately replaced by bare ground or trampling-resistant grasses, while blanket bog vegetation will be replaced by bare peat. This can trigger the development of erosion channels. Damage then spreads as walkers avoid the erosion gullies, thereby triggered the creation of new gullies.

8.5 In addition to the network of statutory rights of way (footpaths, bridleways and byways), much of the SPA and SAC has open access, entitling people to walk anywhere on unenclosed land, subject to certain restrictions. One nationally promoted route, the Pennine Way passes through the SPA and SAC.

8.6 The current condition of underlying SSSIs (sites in bold are partially or wholly in Northumberland) is as follows:

**Allendale Moors** (SPA and SAC)
20% of the site is in favourable condition and 80% in unfavourable recovering. The main reasons for some compartments not being in favourable condition concern issues with land management. No problems with recreational disturbance are noted, and there was an increase in breeding waders between surveys undertaken in 1994/95 and in 2007.

**Appleby Fells** (SPA only)
8% of the site is in favourable condition and 92% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational disturbance are noted.

**Arkengarthdale, Gunnerside and Reeth Moors** (SPA and SAC)
20% of the site is in favourable condition, 74% in unfavourable recovering and 6% in unfavourable no change. No problems with recreational disturbance are noted.

**Bollihope, Pikestone, Eggleston and Woodland Fells** (SPA and SAC)
15% of the site is in favourable condition and 85% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational disturbance are noted.
Bowes Moor (SPA and SAC)
100% of the site is in unfavourable recovering condition. No problems with recreational disturbance are noted.

Cotherstone Moor (SPA and SAC)
18% of the site is in favourable condition and 82% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational disturbance are noted.

East Nidderdale Moors (SPA and SAC)
7% of the site is in favourable condition and 93% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational disturbance are noted.

Geltsdale and Glendue Fells (SPA and SAC)
57% of the site is in favourable condition and 43% in unfavourable recovering. The main reason for some compartments not being in favourable condition is ongoing work to block grips in blanket bog; no problems with recreational disturbance noted.

Hexhamshire Moors (SPA and SAC)
11% of the site is in favourable condition and 89% in unfavourable recovering. The main reasons for some compartments not being in favourable condition concern issues with land management. No problems with recreational disturbance were noted, and there was an increase in breeding waders between surveys undertaken in 1994/95 and in 2007.

Lovely Seat-Stainton Moor (SPA and SAC)
6% of the site is in favourable condition, 87% is in unfavourable recovering and 7% in unfavourable no change. No problems with recreational disturbance are noted.

Lune Forest (SPA and SAC)
12% of the site is in favourable condition and 88% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational disturbance are noted.

Mallerstang - Swaledale Head (SPA and SAC)
10% of the site is in favourable condition, 88% in unfavourable recovering and 2% in unfavourable no change. No problems with recreational disturbance are noted.

Moorhouse and Cross Fell (SPA only)
5% of the site is in favourable condition, 92% in unfavourable recovering and 3% in unfavourable declining. No problems with recreational disturbance are noted.

Muggleswick, Stanhope and Edmundbyers Commons and Blanchland Moor (SPA and SAC)
4% favourable; 96% unfavourable recovering. The main reasons for some compartments not being in favourable condition concern issues with land management. No problems with recreational disturbance are noted.
Upper Teesdale (SPA only)
12% of the site is in favourable condition, 86% in unfavourable recovering and 2% in unfavourable declining. No problems with recreational disturbance are noted.

West Nidderdale, Barden and Blubberhouses Moors (SPA and SAC)
29% of the site is in favourable condition and 71% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational disturbance are noted.

Whitfield Moor, Plenmeller and Asholme Commons (SPA and SAC)
18% of the site is in favourable condition and 82% in unfavourable recovering. The main reasons for some compartments not being in favourable condition concern issues with grazing and burning management. No problems with recreational disturbance are noted, and there was an increase in breeding waders between surveys undertaken in 1994/95 and in 2007.

Current impacts of recreational access

8.7 The Natural England commissioned research report Access Management Report: Three Year Monitoring Surveys at Open Access Land 2006-2008\(^\text{10}\) included 19 open access sites in the North Pennines AONB. 11 of these were judged to have no impacts arising from the introduction of open access under the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000, and impacts at six were low. The two remaining sites had impacts which were uncertain but probably low. Six of these sites were in Northumberland and within the North Pennine Moors SAC:
- Blanchland
- Wellhope
- Coanwood
- Kinght’s Cleugh
- Knockshield Moor
- Snope Common
- Whitfield

8.8 The report found that there was no impact arising from the introduction of open access at all but one of these sites, due to the low level of use and the tendency of visitors to remain on public rights of way rather than wandering more widely. At Wellhope there was a low impact arising from the introduction of open access; this was the result of a single person observed walking a dog in an area from which dogs had been banned. However, the low level of use overall resulted in a conclusion of low impact rather than anything higher.

8.9 The main reason why impacts were non-existent or low is the low level of use and the tendency of most users to remain on the established rights of way

network, and indeed the North Pennines had the lowest index of visitor numbers of the 12 areas around England sampled for the report (1.9 out of 5, where 1 is very low use and 2 is low use).

**Proposed housing numbers within 10km of the North Pennine Moors SPA and North Pennine Moors SAC**

8.10 In order to evaluate the impact of Local Plan policies and proposals on the SPA and SAC, it is necessary to consider the increase in population being proposed. A 10km buffer zone has been selected for this process, because there is extensive evidence at both inland and coastal sites that the vast majority of people involved in potentially disturbing activities such as daily dog walking live within 10km of the site concerned. For example, household surveys for the South East Devon European Site Mitigation Strategy found that 91% of visits to the Exe Estuary were made by people living within 10km, although the figure for Dawlish Warren was only 47%, illustrating draw of particular ‘honeypot’ sites (Liley, D. et al. 2014, pp. 83-93). Visitor surveys for the Solent Disturbance and Mitigation Project found that 90% of visitors by car to Browndown beach came from within 8km, and that even within the very popular site of Chichester Harbour AONB, 56% of visitors by car lived within 8km (Stillman et al 2009, pp.28-32). Winter visitor surveys on the Durham coast in 2012-13 found that 79% of visitors who used the coast at least once per week lived within 8km of the coast (Durham County Council, 2013).

8.11 A survey of coastal dog walkers on the North Northumberland Coast was undertaken in 2015-16, the results of which are included in Appendix 6 of this report. 69% of dog-walkers questioned lived within 8km of the coast.

8.12 For terrestrial sites, visitor surveys have shown that the ‘catchment area’ for frequent visitors tends to be smaller than for coastal sites. A visitor survey in the New Forest National Park found that people who visited the National Park at least weekly mostly came from within 7km of the Park boundary (Stillman et al, 2009 pp.31-32), and mitigation strategies for both the Thames Basin Heaths SPA and the Dorset Heathlands SPA, Ramsar Site and SAC are limited to new housing within 5km of the European sites, because on the basis of visitor surveys it was determined that there would be no significant effect arising from housing beyond that distance (Surrey Heath Borough Council, 2012 and Borough of Poole et al., 2012).

8.13 Proposed new housing numbers within 10km of the North Pennine Moors SAC and North Pennine Moors SPA are as follows:

**Northumberland**

Proposed allocation of 261-360 units to 2036 in Hatlwhistle, Haydon Bridge, Hexham and Riding Mill (14 – 20 units per annum).

**Durham - County Durham Plan Preferred Options 2018**

52 units per annum 2016-36 in West Durham and North West Durham

Penrith 89
Alston 5
Appleby 8
Kirby Stephen 11
Brampton 450
Cumwhinton 35
Warwick Bridge 75
Wetheral 100
Total 749 (50 units per annum)

Tourism policies relevant to the North Pennine Moors SPA and North Pennine Moors SAC

8.14 Policy ECN 15 *Tourism and Visitor Development* in the Northumberland Local Plan Regulation 18 Draft Plan includes a protective criterion stating that all tourism development should adhere to the natural environment policies in the Plan. Given this explicit link to these policies and the requirement that the policies in land use plans are taken as a whole, it is considered that robust protection is afforded for European sites from any adverse effects arising from tourism.

8.15 Tourism is addressed in the County Durham Plan through Policies 8 *Visitor Attractions* and Policy 9 *Visitor Accommodation*. Both policies include protective wording for the natural environment and when recreational disturbance was considered for the North Pennines SPA in the HRA for the County Durham Plan, it was concluded that there would not be an adverse effect in the integrity of the site.

8.16 The Eden Local Plan Preferred Options Consultation Draft addresses tourism in Policy EC4 *Tourism Accommodation*. This does not refer explicitly to the Natural Environment; however Policy ENV1 *Protection and Enhancement of the Natural Environment, Biodiversity and Geodiversity* provides strong protection for European sites. The Carlisle District Local Plan 2015-2030 Preferred Options Consultation- Stage Two addresses tourism development in Policy 11 *Arts, Culture, Tourism and Leisure Development*. This includes a criterion concerning environmental protection, and the Plan also includes Policy 62 *Biodiversity and Geodiversity* which provides strong protection for European sites.

8.17 Given current evidence that recreational disturbance is not adversely affecting the SPA and trampling is not damaging the SAC, the low housing numbers proposed close to the SPA and SAC and the protection afforded to the natural environment in relevant tourism policies, it is concluded that any increase in recreational disturbance arising from Policies STP1, ECN 15, ECN 16, HOU 2, HOU 3 and HOU 8 will not have an adverse effect on the integrity of the North Pennine Moors SPA or the North Pennine Moors SAC.
Policies STP1, ECN 15, ECN 16, HOU 2, HOU 3 and HOU 8 - Increased trampling damage to sensitive vegetation in the North Pennines Dales Meadows SAC

8.18 The North Pennines Dales Meadow SAC comprises 58 separate SSSIs in the uplands of Northumberland, Durham, Cumbria, Lancashire and North Yorkshire. The interest features comprise mountain hay meadows and purple moor-grass meadows. They are mostly in private ownership, but some are crossed by public rights of way.

8.19 The current condition of underlying SSSIs (sites in bold text are in Northumberland) is as follows:

**Arkle Beck Meadows, Whaw**
88% of the site is in favourable condition and 12% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

**Ashes Pasture and Meadows**
59% of the site is in favourable condition and 41% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

**Askrigg Bottoms**
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

**Aules Hill Meadows**
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

**Barrow Burn Meadows**
56% of the site is in favourable condition and 44% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

**Bell Sykes Meadows**
75% of the site is in favourable condition and 25% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

**Borrow Beck Meadows**
99% of the site is in favourable condition and 1% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

**Bowber Head and Piper Hole Meadows**
85% of the site is in favourable condition and 15% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

**Bowlees and Friar House Meadows**
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.
Bretherdale Meadows
100% of the site is in unfavourable recovering condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Catton Lea Meadow
100% of the site is in unfavourable recovering condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Cautley Thwaite Meadows and Ecker Secker Beck
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Cliff Beck Meadow, Buttermere
100% of the site is in unfavourable declining condition. This is due to overgrazing and no problems with recreational damage are noted.

Cormriggs Meadows
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Deepdale Meadows (Cumbria)
38% of the site is in favourable condition and 62% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Deepdale Meadows (North Yorkshire)
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Durtrees Burn Grassland
51% of the site is in favourable condition and 49% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Far High House Meadows
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Fothering Holme
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Gingerfields
100% of the site is in unfavourable recovering condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Gowk Bank
100% of the site is in unfavourable recovering condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.
Grains o’th’ Beck Meadows
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Grassington Hospital Grounds
96% of the site is in favourable condition and 4% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Greenhaugh Meadow
100% of the site is in unfavourable declining condition. This is due to a combination of undergrazing and increased soil moisture. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Hannah’s Meadows
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Harker’s House Meadows, Keld
47% of the site is in favourable condition and 53% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Heatheryburn Bank
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

High Knock Shield Meadow
100% of the site is in unfavourable recovering condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Knarsdale Meadows
23% of the site is in favourable condition and 77% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Langcliff Cross Meadow
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Low Redford Meadows
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Mere Beck Meadows
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Middle Crossthwaite
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.
Middle Side and Stonygill Meadows
74% of the site is in favourable condition, 17% in unfavourable recovering and 9% in unfavourable no change. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Mill Holme Meadows, Thwaite
100% of the site is in unfavourable recovering condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Muker Meadows
91% of the site is in favourable condition and 9% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Myttons Meadows
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

New Close, Calvert Houses
100% of the site is in unfavourable recovering condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

New House Meadows, Malham
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Oughtershaw and Beckermonds
64% of the site is in favourable condition and 36% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Peckriding Meadows
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Pry and Bottom Meadows, Mid-Mossdale
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Raisbeck Meadows
91% of the site is in favourable condition and 9% is in unfavourable no change. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Richmond Meadows
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Rigg Farm and Stake Hill Meadows
100% of the site is in unfavourable recovering condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.
Sandybeck Meadow
100% of the site is in unfavourable recovering condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Scar Closes, Kisdon Side
64% of the site is in favourable condition and 36% in unfavourable declining. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Stephen Ings, Crackpot
100% of the site is in unfavourable recovering condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Swindale Meadows
100% of the site is in unfavourable no change condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Thorneyburn Meadow
100% of the site is in unfavourable recovering condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Town End Meadows, Little Asby
59% of the site is in favourable condition and 41% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Walden Meadows
95% of the site is in favourable condition and 5% in unfavourable recovering. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

West Newlandside Meadows
100% of the site is in unfavourable recovering condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

West Park Meadows
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Wet Sleddale Meadows
100% of the site is in unfavourable declining condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

White Ridge Meadow
100% of the site is in unfavourable recovering condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

Wilson Place Meadows
91% of the site is in favourable condition and 9% in unfavourable declining. No problems with recreational damage are noted.
Yockenthwaite Meadows
100% of the site is in favourable condition. No problems with recreational damage are noted.

8.20 None of the meadow SSSIs that comprise this SAC has open access, although some have rights of way through them. Similar considerations apply as with the North Pennines Moors SAC and SPA regarding very low recreational pressure and this is unlikely to change as a result of Local Plan policies due to the very low housing numbers within the zone of influence of them and the low levels of tourism. Consequently it is concluded that any increase in recreational disturbance arising from Policies STP1, ECN 15, ECN 16, HOU 2, HOU 3 and HOU 8 will not have an adverse effect on the integrity of the North Pennines Dales Meadows SAC.

Policies STP1, ECN 15, ECN 16, HOU 2, HOU 3 and HOU 8 - Increased trampling damage to sensitive vegetation in the Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC

8.21 This SAC comprises a number of small, discrete SSSIs along the course of the Rivers South Tyne and Allen, all of which are in Northumberland. These mostly lack any statutory right of public access, although Williamston has permissive access as an NWT Nature Reserve and both that site and Burnfoot River Shingles and Wydon Nabb have caravan sites adjacent to them which may increase recreational access.

8.22 The current condition of underlying SSSIs is as follows:

Burnfoot River Shingle and Wydon Nabb
88% of the underlying SSSI is in unfavourable declining condition and 12% (comprising a geological exposure) is in favourable condition. The site is declining because of loss of open, calaminarian grassland to coarser vegetation, which is believed to be caused by declining loads of heavy metals in the river as spoil heaps resulting from former mine workings become depleted over time. No problems with recreational disturbance were noted.

Lambley River Shingles
100% of the underlying SSSI is in unfavourable declining condition. The site is declining because of loss of open, calaminarian grassland to coarser vegetation, which is believed to be caused by declining loads of heavy metals in the river as spoil heaps resulting from former mine workings become depleted over time. No problems with recreational disturbance were noted.

Ninebanks River Shingle
76% of the site is in unfavourable declining condition, and 24% in favourable condition. The reasons for unfavourable condition concern the impact of past gravel workings, and no problems with recreational disturbance were noted.
Williamston River Shingle
100% of the underlying SSSI is in unfavourable declining condition. The site is declining because of loss of open, calaminarian grassland to coarser vegetation, which is believed to be caused by declining loads of heavy metals in the river as spoil heaps resulting from former mine workings become depleted over time. No problems with recreational disturbance were noted.

Wharmley Riverside
100% of the underlying SSSI is in unfavourable declining condition. The site is declining because of loss of open, calaminarian grassland to coarser vegetation, which is believed to be caused by declining loads of heavy metals in the river as spoil heaps resulting from former mine workings become depleted over time. No problems with recreational disturbance were noted.

8.23 The circumstances of the Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC are complex and unusual; the calaminarian grasslands that comprise its sole interest feature have formed primarily as a result of the deposition of heavy metals leached from historic mining sites in the North Pennines. In all contexts other than the formation and sustenance of calaminarian grasslands, these heavy metals are regarded as pollutants, and indeed there is a direct conflict between the Habitats Directive which imposes a duty on Member States to maintain European sites in favourable condition and the Water Framework Directive, which imposes a duty on Member States to ensure that waterbodies achieve good ecological and chemical status, which cannot be achieved on the Rivers Tyne or Allen without dramatically reducing their levels of heavy metals. The Environment Agency has produced a Habitats Regulations Assessment for the minewater treatment programme that is designed to reduce heavy metal levels in the Tyne and Allen river systems to the point that would enable them to achieve compliance with the Water Framework Directive. In compensation for the impacts of this on the Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC, some habitat management work is proposed within the SAC itself.

8.24 Given current evidence that recreational disturbance is not adversely affecting the SAC, the low housing numbers proposed close to the SAC and the protection afforded to the natural environment in relevant tourism policies, it is concluded that any increase in recreational disturbance arising from Policies STP1, ECN 15, ECN 16, HOU 2, HOU 3 and HOU 8 will not have an adverse effect on the integrity of the Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC.

8.25 However, additional individual sources of pressure such as these can be addressed through the development management process, should any applications come forward. The Local Plan includes suitable policies to ensure that European sites can be protected in this way.
Policies STP 1, ECN 10, ECN 15, ECN 16, HOU 1, HOU 2, HOU 3 and HOU 8 - Increased disturbance affecting the Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site in respect of wintering purple sandpiper and turnstone and Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site in respect of wintering and migratory waders and wildfowl

8.26 The Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site comprises areas of rocky shore scattered along the coast between the Tweed and Tees estuaries, and the relevant interest features are purple sandpiper and turnstone, present between September and March. Disturbance primarily arises from recreational activities such as walking and sea angling, with off-lead dogs being a particular concern because they range more widely than their owners and because the birds, as species that feed and roost on the ground, have to have a strong predator avoidance response to mammals such as fox and wolf, and therefore domestic dogs.

8.27 Purple sandpiper numbers are declining in the SPA, while turnstone numbers have been stable in recent years having previously been in decline; numbers of purple sandpiper have declined by 39% since the SPA was designated and numbers of turnstone have declined by 29% over the same time\(^{11}\). These patterns are consistent with trends across the North east region and across the UK as a whole. This appears to be related to birds not travelling so far south in winter as they used to, possibly due to climate change. Thus while the Wetland Bird Survey has issued an alert for the Northumbria Coast SPA, this states that ‘Alerts have been triggered for both of the species assessed for this site. In both case, comparison of site trend with broadscale trends do not suggest that the declines underpinning Alerts status are being driven by site-specific pressures’\(^{12}\).

8.28 The current condition of the component SSSI is as follows: 100% of the underlying SSSI units are assessed as being in favourable condition.

8.29 Lindisfarne SPA/Ramsar Site is designated for a wide range of migratory and wintering wading birds and wildfowl, and for a small population of breeding little tern. The site extends to over 3600ha, the great majority of which comprises extensive areas of intertidal mud and sandflats, with smaller areas of saltmarsh. There are a number of factors that ensure that disturbance remains low over much of the site; primarily that it is a National Nature Reserve (NNR) and has a full time warden team employed by Natural England, that NE has byelaw-making powers in the NNR which it uses to manage issues such as bait-digging, and the fact that much of the intertidal zone comprises soft sediments which are inaccessible to people.

8.30 The current condition of the component SSSI is as follows:

\(^{11}\) [http://app.bto.org/webs-reporting/?tab=alerts](http://app.bto.org/webs-reporting/?tab=alerts)  
\(^{12}\) [http://app.bto.org/webs-reporting/?tab=alerts](http://app.bto.org/webs-reporting/?tab=alerts)
Natural England’s condition assessment for Lindisfarne SSSI places 100% of the site in favourable or unfavourable recovering condition.

Proposed housing numbers within 10km of the Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site and Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Sites

South East Northumberland

8.31 The indicative housing requirement over the plan period for the South East area is 9,000 units. However, there are commitments totalling 11,033 units, and so site allocations are limited to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site allocation</th>
<th>Site area</th>
<th>Indicative no. of dwellings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moorside First School, Woodhorn Road, Newbiggin-by-the-Sea</td>
<td>2.40ha</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spital House Farm, North Seaton Road, Newbiggin-by-the-Sea</td>
<td>2.06ha</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land north-west of Spital House Farm, North Seaton Road, Newbiggin-by-the-Sea</td>
<td>1.60ha</td>
<td>20-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site adjacent to Arts Centre, Woodhorn Road, Newbiggin-by-the-Sea</td>
<td>0.26ha</td>
<td>12-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former Brickworks, Pitt Lane, Seghill</td>
<td>0.87ha</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whytrig Community Middle School, Western Avenue, Seaton Delaval</td>
<td>0.93ha</td>
<td>25-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>221 - 249</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

North Northumberland

8.32 The indicative housing requirement over the plan period for the North area is 3,390 units. However, there are deliverable commitments totalling 2,904 units, and so site allocations are limited to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site allocation</th>
<th>Site area</th>
<th>Indicative no. of dwellings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land to rear of Roddam &amp; Callers Court, east of Hiveacres Road and west of Goldstone, East Ord</td>
<td>8.20ha</td>
<td>150-180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land east of Etal Road, Fildon View and south of Cemetery Lane, Tweedmouth (Robert’s Lodge)</td>
<td>4.41ha</td>
<td>60-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former Coal Yard east of Northumberland Road and west of Billendale Road, Tweedmouth</td>
<td>3.01ha</td>
<td>60-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berwick Seaview Caravan and Motorhome Site, Billendale Road, Tweedmouth</td>
<td>2.65ha</td>
<td>30-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land north of Cheviot Terrace and south of Derwent Terrace, Scremerston</td>
<td>1.40ha</td>
<td>15-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land south of Derwent Water Terrace, Scremerston</td>
<td>0.78ha</td>
<td>10-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land east of Broad Road, North Sunderland / Seahouses</td>
<td>6.13ha</td>
<td>80-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Glebe Field, north of West Street, Norham</td>
<td>1.60ha</td>
<td>15-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>420 - 547</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outside of Northumberland

13 The justification for using a 10km buffer zone is set out in paragraphs 8.58 -8.59 below.
8.33 The Northumbria Coast SPA includes areas of rocky shore southwards to just north of Hartlepool. For this reason and because there is a large population on Tyneside within 10km of sections of the SPA that lie within Northumberland, it is important to consider housing growth in North Tyneside, South Tyneside, Sunderland and Durham:

North Tyneside (Local Plan 2017)
Outstanding housing target to 2031-32 of 9771 units (698 units per annum).

South Tyneside (LDF 2007)
Housing allocation of 1650 units per annum, 2016-2021.

Sunderland (Draft Core Strategy and Development Plan 2017)
Housing allocation of 862 units per annum, 2017-2033.

Durham (County Durham Plan Preferred Options Habitat Regulations Assessment 2018)
Housing allocation within the coastal buffer zone of 212 units per annum, 2016-36.

Tourism policies
8.34 Policies ECN15-16 do have the potential to increase recreational disturbance from tourists within the Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site, and so need to be considering alongside impacts arising from housing policies.

Impact of Housing and Tourism Policies
8.35 The table on the following page sets out the distribution and abundance of purple sandpiper and turnstone, based on count sectors used in the long-running Wetland Bird Survey (WeBS). The table sets out numbers for:

(i) WeBS sectors that are within the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site and in Northumberland
(ii) WeBS sectors that are within the Northumbria Coast SPA/Ramsar Site but outside Northumberland
(iii) Other sites in Northumberland.

8.36 WeBS sectors that have over 10% of the SPA population recorded at the time that the SPA was designated are shaded in red. Those that have 5-10% of the SPA population at the time of designation are highlighted in orange, and those that have 1-5% are highlighted in yellow. Sites or sectors that have nationally important numbers of either species (defined as 1% or more of the estimated British population) are highlighted in purple.

8.37 All of the data in this table was accessed from the ‘WeBS Report Online’ section of the BTO website (http://app.bto.org/webs-reporting/) and was accessed on various dates during August and September 2015.
Table 2: Northumbria Coast SPA Wader Numbers in Northumberland by WeBS Sector 2009/10 - 2013/14

**Key**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nationally important population in this WeBS sector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Over 10% of the SPA population occurs in this WeBS sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10% of the SPA population occurs in this WeBS sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5% of the SPA population occurs in this WeBS sector</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purple Sandpiper**

SPA population at designation = 763 birds
Threshold for national importance = 130 birds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WeBS Sector (in Northumbria Coast SPA and in Northumberland)</th>
<th>5yr av. (peak counts)</th>
<th>WeBS Sector (in Northumbria Coast SPA and in Northumberland)</th>
<th>5yr av. (peak counts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beadnell to Seahouses</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Beadnell to Seahouses</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seahouses to Budle Point</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Boulmer to Howick</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howick to Beadnell</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Alnmouth to Boulmer</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulmer to Howick</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Howick to Beadnell</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amble to Chevington Burn</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Cresswell to Newbiggin</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spittal to Cocklawburn</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Amble to Chevington Burn</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alnmouth</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Seahouses to Budle Point</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cresswell to Newbiggin</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Seaton Sluice to Blyth Estuary</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alnmouth to Boulmer</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Spittal to Cocklawburn</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seaton Sluice to Blyth Estuary</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hauxley</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cresswell to Chevington Burn</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cresswell to Chevington Burn</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alnmouth</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cambois to Newbiggin</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Turnstone**

SPA population at designation = 1456 birds
Threshold for national importance = 480 birds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WeBS Sector (in Northumbria Coast SPA but outside of Northumberland)</th>
<th>WeBS Sector (in Northumbria Coast SPA but outside of Northumberland)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Durham Coast</td>
<td>Durham Coast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitley Bay</td>
<td>St Mary's Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Mary's Island</td>
<td>Whitley Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Northumberland Sites outside the Northumbria Coast SPA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Northumberland Sites outside the Northumbria Coast SPA</th>
<th>Northumberland Sites outside the Northumbria Coast SPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farne Islands</td>
<td>Farne Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251</td>
<td>592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berwick North Shore</td>
<td>Lindisfarne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindisfarne</td>
<td>Berwick North Shore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tweed Estuary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blyth Estuary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coquet Estuary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.38 It is evident that the North Northumberland Coast is by far the most important part of the SPA for both species, especially the section from Howick to Budle Point. While it is possible to hypothesise that this is because the North Northumberland coast is less populated and therefore less disturbed than the coast in the much more populated south east Northumberland, it is notable that the areas of rocky shore adjacent to the Tyneside conurbation maintain quite significant populations of both species. In particular, both Whitley Bay and St Mary’s Island WeBS sectors support 1-5% of the SPA’s purple sandpiper population and 5-10% of the SPA’s turnstone population.

8.39 Accordingly, it is evident that there isn’t a simple relationship between the size of the human population close to the coast and its capacity to support populations of these species, and that a range of factors such as the particular physical and biological characteristics of particular sections of rocky shore are likely to affect the bird population’s likelihood of being disturbed by visitors and their dogs, and their ability to cope with increased levels of disturbance should they occur.

8.40 However, simple observations confirm that recreational disturbance to these species does occur, and this will inevitably increase as the human population increases. Therefore a choice has to be made between implementing mitigation now because disturbance does occur and the populations are declining; or waiting until there is unambiguous evidence of a link between these. Given the complex range of factors that are likely to be driving the current declines in purple sandpiper and turnstone populations and the difficulties inherent in proving that disturbance to individual birds is causing population-level adverse effects, it would be impossible to prove that recreational disturbance was a significant factor in declines in these species until it was already having an adverse effect on the integrity of the populations concerned.

8.41 Such an approach would be inconsistent with the requirements of the Birds and Habitats Directives because it would then be likely to be impossible to maintain the capacity of the Northumbria Coast SPA to support the populations of turnstone and purple sandpiper at the size that existed when the site was designed. Accordingly it must be concluded that increasing housing close to the coast will cause an adverse effect on the integrity of the SPA alone or in-combination with other plans and projects, and therefore it is necessary to consider whether mitigation can be implemented that will address these impacts to the extent that an adverse effect can be avoided.

8.42 It is recognised that it is very difficult for developers to provide effective mitigation for recreational disturbance at the coast. The provision of high quality greenspaces within and adjacent to new developments can have some benefit in terms of diverting some activities such as dog-walking away from the coast; however this is very demanding in terms of the extent of land required and even then is of limited effectiveness. To provide an attractive experience for dog-walkers, research has shown that such green spaces should provide a choice of circular walks of around 2.7km with paths that are
suitable for use all year and in all weathers; be attractively landscaped but perceptually safe with clear open sight lines along pathways; be safe for off-lead dogs; and be within 500m of the development or provide adequate car-parking (Hampshire County Council, 2013). Other features such as fenced in training areas, access to clean water for drinking and swimming and activity trails increase the attractiveness of such areas.

8.43 Even if such high quality green spaces can be provided, the coast has an inherent attractiveness to dog-walkers. Questionnaire surveys of dog-walkers undertaken on the Northumberland coast (Appendix 2) and elsewhere suggest that only about a third of dog-walkers would sometimes consider using such provision instead of the coast (eg Liley and Underhill-Day, 2013 p.55). Such green spaces will not have any effect in reducing disturbance from other recreational activities such as sea-angling or coastal walks.

8.44 Consequently it is clear that management measures within the protected sites themselves are required to ensure that recreational disturbance will not cause an adverse effect on site integrity. There are a wide range of measures that may be appropriate, from softer educational and advisory activities to more regulatory approaches such as dog restrictions; however these are not measures that developers would realistically be able to implement. To address this problem, the Council has developed a strategic mitigation project, the Northumberland Coastal Mitigation Service that developers can provide a financial contribution to in order to ensure that their developments do not have an adverse effect on the integrity of this SPA/Ramsar site together with other relevant designated sites. This is described in further detail at paragraphs 8.58 – 8.61.

8.45 Accordingly, the development of the Coastal Mitigation Service will ensure that any increase in recreational disturbance arising from Policies STP 1, ECN 10, ECN 15, ECN 16, HOU 1, HOU 2, HOU 3 and HOU 8 of the Local Plan will not have an adverse effect on the integrity of the Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site in respect of wintering purple sandpiper and turnstone and Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site in respect of wintering and migratory waders and wildfowl.
Policies STP 1, ECN 10, ECN 15, ECN 16, HOU 1, HOU 2, HOU 3 and HOU 8 - Increased disturbance to little tern breeding colonies (Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site and Lindisfarne SPA) and arctic tern breeding colony (Northumbria Coast SPA)

8.46 These colonies are located at the mouth of the Long Nanny Burn in Beadnell Bay and within Lindisfarne National Nature Reserve. As they nest on the beach they are highly vulnerable to disturbance. The Long Nanny colony is protected by temporary fencing and 24 hour wardening by the National Trust, and the Lindisfarne colony is protected by fencing and wardening by Natural England.

8.47 There are concerns about the sustainability of these colonies, especially the Beadnell Bay site where changes in beach profiles and the growth of the arctic tern colony are making the little tern colony increasingly vulnerable to being washed out in high tides. Furthermore, the provision of such intensive wardening is expensive.

8.48 Little terns are known to prospect for nest sites at other locations on the coast but are unable to settle due to the levels of human disturbance. However, the future of the little tern in Northumberland would be far more secure if new colonies could be established in more sustainable locations and, in addition to continuing the wardening of the existing colonies actions to enable these to become established are likely to be vital to the continuing survival of the SPA population.

8.49 To address these issues the Council has developed a strategic mitigation project, the Northumberland Coastal Mitigation Service that developers can provide a financial contribution to in order to ensure that their developments do not have an adverse effect on the integrity of this SPA/Ramsar site together with other relevant designated sites. This is described in further detail at paragraphs 8.58 – 8.61.

8.50 Accordingly, the development of the Coastal Mitigation Service will ensure that any increase in recreational disturbance arising from Policies STP 1, ECN 10, ECN 15, ECN 16, HOU 1, HOU 2, HOU 3 and HOU 8 of the Local Plan will not have an adverse effect on the integrity of the Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site in respect of wintering purple sandpiper and turnstone and Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site in respect of wintering and migratory waders and wildfowl.
Policies STP 1, ECN 10, ECN 15, ECN 16, HOU 1, HOU 2, HOU 3 and HOU 8 - Increased trampling damage to sensitive vegetation and spread of pirri-pirri bur in the North Northumberland Dunes SAC

8.51 The North Northumberland Dunes SAC comprises part or all of 6 SSSIs. The interest features of the SAC comprise embryonic shifting dunes; shifting dunes with marram grass; fixed dunes with herbaceous vegetation (a priority feature); dunes with creeping willow; humid dunes slacks; and a rare liverwort called Petalwort.

8.52 The current condition of the component SSSIs is as follows:

Warkworth Dunes and Saltmarsh
100% favourable condition; no mention of any access-related problems in the ‘Condition of SSSI Units’ report.

Bamburgh Coast and Hills
Sand dune units of this site are all in favourable condition; no mention of any access-related problems in the ‘Condition of SSSI Units’ report.

Newton Links
100% favourable or unfavourable recovering; no mention of any access-related problems in the ‘Condition of SSSI Units’ report.

Bamburgh Dunes
100% unfavourable recovering; no mention of any access-related problems in the ‘Condition of SSSI Units’ report.

Alnmouth Saltmarsh and Dunes
100% favourable condition; no mention of any access-related problems in the ‘Condition of SSSI Units’ report.

Lindisfarne
100% unfavourable recovering; no mention of any access-related problems in the ‘Condition of SSSI Units’ report.

8.53 The effects of trampling on dune grassland can be positive as well as negative; the short vegetation and patches of bare sand provide valuable habitat for invertebrates. Petalwort, a rare liverwort that is one of the interest features of the SAC needs areas of low vegetation with limited completion from other plants, and so the edges of pathways provide one of the habitats in which it will grow. The extent to which mobilization of sand by trampling is a problem has been reviewed in recent years, as the importance of mobile sand to dune ecology has been better understood.

8.54 However, human access also provides the main vector for the spread of pirri-pirri bur (*Acaena novae-zealandiae*), a non-native invasive species that is causing increasing problems within the dunes and consequently is identified as a concern in the Site Improvement Plan for the Northumberland Coastal
European Sites (Natural England 2015). This is a perennial woody herb that spreads by way of runners that root at intervals, and also through the production of seeds within sticky burs, which are transported on clothing, shoe laces, dog’s fur etc.

8.55 Pirri-pirri bur causes ecological harm to dune grasslands by displacing native species and by colonizing bare sand, reducing the extent of this important element of the dune grassland environment.

8.56 Because its seeds are spread via its sticky burs, people and their dogs are the main vectors for spreading this plant between sites. Accordingly, development that increases the number of visitors to the North Northumberland Dunes SAC is likely to increase the rate of spread of this species. To address these issues the Council has developed a strategic mitigation project, the Northumberland Coastal Mitigation Service that developers can provide a financial contribution to in order to ensure that their developments do not have an adverse effect on the integrity of this SAC together with other relevant designated sites. This is described in further detail at paragraphs 8.58-8.61 below.

8.57 Accordingly, the implementation of the Coastal Mitigation Service will ensure that any increase in recreational activity arising from Policies STP 1, ECN 10, ECN 15, ECN 16, HOU 1, HOU 2, HOU 3 and HOU 8 of the Local Plan will not have an adverse effect on the integrity of the North Northumberland Dunes SAC

The Northumberland Coastal Mitigation Service

8.58 The zone of influence for recreational disturbance at the coast has been agreed with Natural England and is set at 10km from the coast by direct measurement. To establish this, a series of interviews were undertaken with dog-walkers at the coast during winter 2015-16 and those who were willing provided their postcodes. From these it was possible to establish the locations from which they had originated and therefore establish a zone of influence for coastal recreation. A distance of 10km from the coast includes the points of origin of as many of the dog walkers interviewed as is reasonably possible, a figure of 89%. This is shown in figure 1. These results are remarkably consistent with the results of similar surveys elsewhere in the country; for example Durham (Durham County Council 2017 Appendix E, pp. lix - lxi) and SE Devon (Liley et al 2013, pp. 203-209).
Figure 1: Defining the coastal zone of influence – the distance from the coast of the point of origin of dog-walkers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance band (km)</th>
<th>Cumulative %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>33.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>56.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>60.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>60.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>64.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>70.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-7</td>
<td>74.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>83.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-9</td>
<td>85.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10</td>
<td>89.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-11</td>
<td>89.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-12</td>
<td>89.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-13</td>
<td>89.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-14</td>
<td>89.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-15</td>
<td>89.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>93.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 30</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Natural England’s advice to Northumberland County Council is that development that causes a net increase in the number of dwellings (including tourist units) within the zone of influence will require mitigation to address recreational disturbance to coastal protected areas. In order to ensure that a proportionate approach is taken, it is important to recognise that people living towards the inland edge of the 10km zone visit the coast less frequently than those living closer to the coast. Therefore the 10km zone of influence is divided into two zones as follows:

- 0-7km: Mitigation is required for all developments resulting in a net increase in dwellings (including holiday units).
- 7-10km: Mitigation is required for all developments resulting in a net increase of 10 or more dwellings (including holiday units).

These zones are shown on Figures 2 and 3 on pages 95 and 96.

8.60 The level of payment into the Coastal Mitigation Service is derived from two figures; the minimum cost of providing a viable and effective service, divided by the anticipated annual delivery of new houses within the coastal zone.

8.61 The Coastal Mitigation Service will comprise a minimum of two full time wardens and will undertake the following range of activities within the following European sites:

**Awareness-raising**
Developing and sustaining a presence on social media and in conventional media, at relevant events and through direct contact with

---

14 This advice and the Coastal Mitigation Service itself address impacts on both European sites and SSSIs. However, as this is a Habitats Regulations Assessment, only the European sites are considered in this report.
recreational users to highlight the importance and vulnerability of coastal wildlife and encourage people to avoid disturbance hotspots or modify their activities (eg keeping dogs on lead in those areas)

**Monitoring – birds**
Implementing an ongoing programme of bird monitoring in the Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site, and collating results from relevant other surveys such as WeBS counts, to ensure that adequate data is available concerning population trends.

**Monitoring – recreational activities**
Implementing an ongoing programme of monitoring of recreational activities in the Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site to identify disturbance hotspots and potential hotspots, and to inform the development of plans to address and avoid disturbance.

**Management measures – birds**
Identifying sites visited by prospecting little tern and arctic tern and to establish and maintain temporary enclosures during the breeding season in suitable locations, to facilitate the founding of new colonies.

**Management measures – recreation**
- Identifying sites where particular congregations of migratory and wintering purple sandpiper and turnstone such as high tide roosts are at risk of disturbance and would benefit from access modifications
- Modifying access arrangements such as access points and car parks to lead people away from disturbance hotspots
- Enforcing the existing Public Space Protection Order (PSPO) that requires dogs to be kept on lead by direction if they are disturbing wildlife.
- Gathering evidence for further PSPOs such as dog bans in specific areas if the above measures prove ineffective

**Management measures – non-native invasive species**
Monitoring dune grasslands within the North Northumberland Dunes SAC to identify areas of pirri-pirri bur and implementing control programmes to eliminate these.
Figure 2: Coastal Zone of Influence (North)
Figure 3: Coastal Zone of Influence (south)
Policies STP 1, ECN 2, ECN 10, ECN 15, ECN 16, HOU 1, HOU 2, HOU 3 and HOU 8 - Increased disturbance affecting Northumberland Marine SPA

8.62 The Northumberland Marine SPA is an extensive site, stretching from Spittal to Blyth bay. It runs up to mean high water, and includes estuaries up to the tidal limit. The seaward site boundaries are defined by the foraging ranges of the breeding arctic terns at Long Nanny, the Farne Islands and Coquet Island, because these are the most wide-ranging of the tern species when foraging. The site includes the whole of the estuaries within the foraging area up to the tidal limit, because surveys have identified the use of estuaries by tern species.

8.63 Although the SPA includes the intertidal zone, the implications of this are significantly different to those for migratory and wintering waders in the Northumbria Coast SPA. For purple sandpiper and turnstone, the intertidal zone provides the vast majority of the foraging and roosting habitat available to them, and it is used concurrently by birds and people and their dogs (i.e. when it is not covered by water). For foraging terns, the opposite is true; the intertidal zone represents a tiny proportion of their foraging habitat and is only used when it is covered by water. Accordingly, terrestrially-based recreational use of the intertidal zone will not have any implications for the SPA. Disturbance from terrestrial activities adjacent to the intertidal zone such as construction could cause temporary displacement from adjacent foraging areas where noise levels were high enough to cause disturbance. However, because of the noise level limits imposed through environmental health regulations, this could only affect a miniscule proportion of the foraging areas for any of the species concerned, to the extent that such an effect would be de minimus, as has been confirmed by Natural England.

8.64 Water-borne recreation, especially powered craft such as jet skis that operate in the shallow bays favoured by tern species could have a significant effect by temporarily excluding birds from favoured foraging areas. However, this is not an issue that can be addressed through a high level spatial plan, but the protective policies in this plan will enable it to be addressed effectively through the development management process where recreational facilities are subject to planning control.

8.65 Accordingly, any increase in recreational disturbance arising from Policies STP 1, ECN 2, ECN 10, ECN 15, ECN 16, HOU 1, HOU 2, HOU 3 and HOU 8 of the Local Plan will not have an adverse effect on the integrity of the Northumberland Marine SPA.

---

15 Meeting with Katie Finkill-Coombs, Lead Advisor, Natural England, 16/02/16
Policies STP 1, HOU 2 and HOU 8 - Eutrophication from increased sewage discharge affecting the River Tweed SAC

River Tweed SAC

8.66 The component SSSIs of the SAC comprise the Till Catchment SSSI and the Lower Tweed and Whiteadder SSSI. The interest features of the SAC comprise watercourses with water-crowfoot communities; Atlantic salmon; otter; sea lamprey; brook lamprey; and river lamprey.

8.67 The current condition of component SSSIs is as follows:

**Till Catchment**
18% of the SSSI is in unfavourable recovering condition, 75% in unfavourable no change and 7% in unfavourable declining. The main reasons for units being in unfavourable – no change condition are agricultural diffuse pollution and morphological changes. The unit that is in favourable – declining is the unit at Wooler, where phosphate inputs from the sewage treatment works raise P levels in the river from very low to above those set in the favourable condition table. Furthermore, the Haugh Head ford and associated check weirs are compromising the morphology of this section of river.

**Lower Tweed and Whiteadder**
25% of the site is in favourable condition, 19% is in unfavourable recovering condition and 56% in unfavourable no change. The main causes of units being in unfavourable condition are agricultural diffuse pollution and non-native invasive species.

8.68 As the discharge from the Sewage Treatment Works at Wooler causes phosphorus levels in the river to exceed the levels set out in the SSSI condition table, any further increase in housing would worsen this and therefore cause an adverse effect on the integrity of the River Tweed SAC. The installation of equipment to remove phosphorus from the STW discharge is scheduled to take place in years 4 and 5 of the current Asset Management Plan (2019/20)\(^\text{16}\). This will enable further connections to the STW to be made without an adverse effect on the integrity of the SAC. No housing allocations are proposed within the catchment of this STW, and should planning applications come forward that are consistent with the Local Plan prior to phosphorus-stripping equipment being installed, planning conditions can be imposed to ensure that the development does not come into use until the equipment is installed. Accordingly, policies STP 1, HOU 2, and HOU 8 of the Local Plan are unlikely to have an adverse effect on the River Tweed SAC.

\(^{16}\) Email from Alastair Welch, Natural England to David Feige, Northumberland County Council, 03/08/15
Policies STP 1, HOU 2, HOU 3 and HOU 8 - Eutrophication from increased sewage discharge affecting the Tweed Estuary SAC

Tweed Estuary SAC

8.69 The component SSSI of the SAC comprises the Lower Tweed and Whiteadder SSSI. The interest features of the SAC comprise estuaries, mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide, sea lamprey and river lamprey. This section of the Lower Tweed and Whiteadder comprises two units, one of which is in unfavourable recovering condition and one of which is in favourable condition. Natural England has advised that phosphorous levels are less of a concern for estuaries but that the potential impact of increased nitrogen levels should be considered, because nitrogen is usually the limiting factor for algal growth in the marine environment. However, it is difficult to determine an appropriate target for dissolved organic nitrogen (DIN) for any particular estuary as complex issues such as the flushing time and scour rate influence this. Furthermore, agriculture is likely to account for the majority of DIN in the estuary. **Overall, given the lack of evidence of eutrophication in the estuary at present and the low housing numbers for the catchment in the withdrawn Core Strategy, Natural England had advised that any increases in sewage discharge arising from Policies 3, 9 and 15 of the Core Strategy were unlikely to have an adverse effect on the Tweed Estuary SAC**. Given that housing numbers for the Local Plan are significantly lower than this, it can be concluded that policies STP 1, HOU 2, HOU 3 and HOU 8 of the Local Plan are unlikely to have an adverse effect on the Tweed Estuary SAC.

---

17 Email from Bob Cussen, Natural England, to David Feige, Northumberland County Council, 16/09/15
9. Conclusion

9.1 Having undertaken a screening assessment of the Northumberland Local Plan Local Plan - Draft Plan for Regulation 18 Consultation, Northumberland County Council concluded that the following policies were likely to have a significant effect on the European sites listed as follows:

- Policy STP 1 Spatial Strategy
- Policy ECN 2 Blyth Estuary Strategic Employment Area
- Policy ECN 10 Loss or Depletion of Employment Land
- Policy ECN 15 Tourism and Visitor Development
- Policy ECN 16 Green Belt and Tourism and Visitor Economy
- Policy HOU 1 Making the Best Use of Existing Buildings
- Policy HOU 2 Provision of New Residential Development
- Policy HOU 3 Housing Development and Site Allocations
- Policy HOU 8 Management of Housing Development

9.2 The potential effects of these policies are as follows:

*Increased disturbance to breeding upland waders and raptors*
North Pennine Moors SPA

*Increased disturbance to breeding seabirds*
Northumberland Coast SPA and Ramsar Site
Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site
Northumberland Marine SPA

*Increased disturbance to migratory and wintering waders and wildfowl*
Northumberland Coast SPA and Ramsar Site
Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site

*Increased trampling damage to sensitive vegetation*
North Pennine Moors SAC
Tyne and Allen River Gravels SAC
North Pennines Dales Meadows SAC
North Northumberland Dunes SAC
Berwickshire and North Northumberland Coast SAC

*Eutrophication from increased sewage discharge*
Tweed Estuary SAC
River Tweed SAC
9.3 Accordingly, Northumberland County Council has undertaken an appropriate assessment of these aspects of the Plan on the interest features of the European sites features listed above.

9.4 In the absence of mitigation, it is concluded that the Local Plan, in-combination with other plans and projects, would have an adverse effect on the Northumbria Coast SPA and Ramsar Site and Lindisfarne SPA and Ramsar Site due to increased recreational disturbance, and the North Northumberland Dunes SAC due to increased spread of pirri-pirri bur.

9.5 In order to ensure that developers working within the framework created by this Plan can provide effective mitigation to address these issues, Northumberland County Council is establishing a Coastal Mitigation Service which will be funded by developer contributions. The Service will comprise a wardening service that will work within the coastal European sites to manage recreational disturbance and to control pirri-pirri bur, as set out in paragraphs 8.58 – 8.61 above. This service has been developed in close consultation with Natural England.

9.6 Northumberland County Council concludes that the Northumberland Local Plan (Draft Plan for Regulation 18 Consultation, July 2018) will not have an adverse effect on the integrity of any European site. In making that assessment, the Council has taken account of the potential for the Plan to contribute to cumulative effects with other plans or projects.
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Appendix 1: Extracts from Natural England Conservation Advice for European Sites included in the Appropriate Assessment (where available)
### Extracts from Natural England draft Conservation Advice for the Northumbria Coast SPA

#### Supplementary advice concerning human disturbance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature/Subfeature name</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Supporting notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turnstone and purple sandpiper</td>
<td>Disturbance caused by human activity</td>
<td>Restrict the frequency, duration and/or intensity of disturbance affecting roosting, foraging, feeding, moulting and/or loafing birds so that they are not significantly disturbed</td>
<td>Non-breeding (winter and/or passage) season</td>
<td>The nature, scale, timing and duration of some human activities can result in bird disturbance (defined as any human-induced activity sufficient to disrupt normal behaviours and/or distribution of birds in the absence of the activity) at a level that may substantially affect their behaviour, and consequently affect the long-term viability of the population. Such disturbing effects can for example result in changes to feeding or roosting behaviour, increases in energy expenditure due to increased flight, abandonment of nest sites and desertion of supporting habitat (both within or outside the designated site boundary where appropriate). This may undermine successful nesting, rearing, feeding and/or roosting, and/or may reduce the availability of suitable habitat as birds are displaced and their distribution within the site contracts. Disturbance associated with human activity may take a variety of forms including noise, light, sound, vibration, trampling, presence of people, animals and structures. ‘Significant’ disturbance is defined by AEWA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Northumberland Local Plan Regulation 18 Consultation July 2018
Habitats Regulations Assessment
| Little tern and arctic tern | Disturbance caused by human activity | Reduce the frequency, duration and/or intensity of disturbance affecting roosting, nesting, foraging, feeding, moulting and/or loafing birds so that they are not significantly disturbed | Breeding (summer) season | The nature, scale, timing and duration of some human activities can result in bird disturbance (defined as any human-induced activity sufficient to disrupt normal behaviours and/or distribution of birds in the absence of the activity) at a level that may substantially affect their behaviour, and consequently affect the long-term viability of the population. Such disturbing effects can for example result in changes to feeding or roosting behaviour. |

(The Agreement on the Conservation of African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds (AEWA), 2016):

“Disturbance should be judged as significant if an action (alone or in combination with other effects) impacts on (water)birds in such a way as to be likely to cause impacts on populations of a species through either changed local distribution on a continuing basis; and/or changed local abundance on a sustained basis; and/or the reduction of ability of any significant group of birds to survive, breed, or rear their young.” (Fox and Madsen, 1997)

Site-specifics:

Further investigation is required to determine whether disturbance from human activity has an impact on this species.

Human disturbance may be impacting this feature.
increases in energy expenditure due to increased flight, abandonment of nest sites and desertion of supporting habitat (both within or outside the designated site boundary where appropriate). This may undermine successful nesting, rearing, feeding and/or roosting, and/or may reduce the availability of suitable habitat as birds are displaced and their distribution within the site contracts.

Disturbance associated with human activity may take a variety of forms including noise, light, sound, vibration, trampling, presence of people, animals and structures.

‘Significant’ disturbance is defined by AEWA (The Agreement on the Conservation of African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds (AEWA), 2016):

“Disturbance should be judged as significant if an action (alone or in combination with other effects) impacts on (water)birds in such a way as to be likely to cause impacts on populations of a species through either changed local distribution on a continuing basis; and/or changed local abundance on a sustained basis; and/or the reduction of ability of any significant group of birds to survive, breed, or rear their young." (Fox and Madsen, 1997)

Site-specifics:

Little terns are sensitive to human disturbance
during the breeding season as their population at Long Nanny is small, isolated and vulnerable. The National Trust have employed management measures such as fencing to restrict public access and wardens to reduce the impact of disturbance. Continued management of the site is required to reduce the risk of impact due to human disturbance to this species.

Disturbance by human visitors is impacting this feature.

Advice on seasonality

In the table below, the months highlighted in green in each row indicate the months in which significant numbers of each mobile designated feature* are most likely to be present at the site during a typical calendar year. Where count data was available, highlighted months with significant numbers were defined on the basis of one or both of the following criteria being met in more than three-fifths (60%) of the years within the six years period 2007-2012. The two criteria used were: i) monthly maxima exceed 10% of the highest mean of monthly maxima over the six-year period; ii) monthly maxima exceed the 2012/2013 national significance threshold. These criteria were predominantly used for non-breeding bird features (based on WeBS data). Where insufficient count data were available to use these criteria, months with significant numbers were highlighted on the basis of generic information on seasonal patterns of occurrence in published sources.

Applicants considering plans or projects scheduled in the periods highlighted in green would benefit from early consultation with Natural England given the greater scope for there to be likely significant effects that require consideration. The months which are not highlighted in green are not ones in which the features are necessarily absent, rather that features may be present in less significant numbers in typical years, but there may still be a significant effect. Please note that this period can vary between years and that in any one year considerable numbers of a species may be present (throughout the year or) outside of the months indicated below. Any assessment of potential impacts on the features must be based on up-to-date count data and take account of population trends evident from these data and any other available information. Additional surveys may be required.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature name</th>
<th>Life Stage</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arctic tern, Breeding</td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little tern, Breeding</td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purple sandpiper, Non-breeding</td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnstone, Non-breeding</td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Extracts from Natural England draft Conservation Advice for Lindisfarne SPA

Supplementary advice concerning human disturbance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature/Subfeature name</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Supporting notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whooper swan</td>
<td>Disturbance caused by human activity</td>
<td>Restrict the frequency, duration and / or intensity of disturbance affecting roosting, foraging, feeding, moulting and/or loafing birds so that they are not significantly disturbed</td>
<td>Non-breeding (winter and/or passage) season</td>
<td>The nature, scale, timing and duration of some human activities can result in bird disturbance (defined as any human-induced activity sufficient to disrupt normal behaviours and / or distribution of birds in the absence of the activity) at a level that may substantially affect their behaviour, and consequently affect the long-term viability of the population. Such disturbing effects can for example result in changes to feeding or roosting behaviour, increases in energy expenditure due to increased flight, abandonment of nest sites and desertion of supporting habitat (both within or outside the designated site boundary where appropriate). This may undermine successful nesting, rearing, feeding and/or roosting, and/or may reduce the availability of suitable habitat as birds are displaced and their distribution within the site contracts. Disturbance associated with human activity may take a variety of forms including noise, light, sound, vibration, trampling, presence of people, animals and structures. ‘Significant’ disturbance is defined by AEWA (The Agreement on the Conservation of</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds (AEWA), 2016:

“Disturbance should be judged as significant if an action (alone or in combination with other effects) impacts on (water)birds in such a way as to be likely to cause impacts on populations of a species through either changed local distribution on a continuing basis; and/or changed local abundance on a sustained basis; and/or the reduction of ability of any significant group of birds to survive, breed, or rear their young.” (Fox and Madsen, 1997)

Site-specifics:

Birds can be particularly sensitive to disturbance during the over-wintering period. Optimising feeding time and restricting disturbance at roosting sites helps the bird populations gain weight over the winter. Lindisfarne NNR manages disturbance from recreational activities through temporal and spatial zones for activities such as wildfowling and watersports. It also has clearly defined bird refuge areas where disturbance is managed.

The target has been set using expert judgement based on knowledge of the sensitivity of the feature to activities that are occurring / have occurred on the site.
Roseate tern | Disturbance caused by human activity | Reduce the frequency, duration and/or intensity of disturbance affecting roosting, nesting, foraging, feeding, moulting and/or loafing birds so that they are not significantly disturbed | Breeding (summer) season | The nature, scale, timing and duration of some human activities can result in bird disturbance (defined as any human-induced activity sufficient to disrupt normal behaviours and/or distribution of birds in the absence of the activity) at a level that may substantially affect their behaviour, and consequently affect the long-term viability of the population. Such disturbing effects can for example result in changes to feeding or roosting behaviour, increases in energy expenditure due to increased flight, abandonment of nest sites and desertion of supporting habitat (both within or outside the designated site boundary where appropriate). This may undermine successful nesting, rearing, feeding and/or roosting, and/or may reduce the availability of suitable habitat as birds are displaced and their distribution within the site contracts.

Disturbance associated with human activity may take a variety of forms including noise, light, sound, vibration, trampling, presence of people, animals and structures.

‘Significant’ disturbance is defined by AEWA (The Agreement on the Conservation of African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds (AEWA), 2016):

“Disturbance should be judged as significant if an action (alone or in combination with other effects) impacts on (water)birds in such a way
as to be likely to cause impacts on populations of a species through either changed local distribution on a continuing basis; and/or changed local abundance on a sustained basis; and/or the reduction of ability of any significant group of birds to survive, breed, or rear their young." (Fox and Madsen, 1997)

Site-specifics:

Breeding terns are highly sensitive to disturbance by human activity. Roseate terns have not recently bred upon Lindisfarne, but management efforts are trying to increase breeding numbers in the future. Disturbance may be a major contributor to roseate terns not breeding upon Lindisfarne SPA, and instead choosing to breed upon the less disturbed Coquet Island SPA nearby. Lindisfarne NNR manage disturbance on the reserve, so to encourage recolonisation in the future (Natural England (NE), 2016).

Roseate terns have not bred upon Lindisfarne in recent years, but successfully breed on the nearby Coquet Island. Roseate terns may favour breeding at Coquet over Lindisfarne due to reduced disturbance.

| Little tern | Disturbance caused by human activity | Reduce the frequency, duration and/or intensity of disturbance affecting roosting, nesting, foraging, breeding (summer) season | The nature, scale, timing and duration of some human activities can result in bird disturbance (defined as any human-induced activity sufficient to disrupt normal behaviours and/or... |

Northumberland Local Plan Regulation 18 Consultation (July 2018)
Habitats Regulations Assessment
feeding, moulting and/or loafing birds so that they are not significantly disturbed
distribution of birds in the absence of the activity) at a level that may substantially affect their behaviour, and consequently affect the long-term viability of the population. Such disturbing effects can for example result in changes to feeding or roosting behaviour, increases in energy expenditure due to increased flight, abandonment of nest sites and desertion of supporting habitat (both within or outside the designated site boundary where appropriate). This may undermine successful nesting, rearing, feeding and/or roosting, and/or may reduce the availability of suitable habitat as birds are displaced and their distribution within the site contracts.

Disturbance associated with human activity may take a variety of forms including noise, light, sound, vibration, trampling, presence of people, animals and structures.

‘Significant’ disturbance is defined by AEWA (The Agreement on the Conservation of African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds (AEWA), 2016):

“Disturbance should be judged as significant if an action (alone or in combination with other effects) impacts on (water)birds in such a way as to be likely to cause impacts on populations of a species through either changed local distribution on a continuing basis; and/or changed local abundance on a sustained basis; and/or the reduction of ability of any
significant group of birds to survive, breed, or rear their young." ([Fox and Madsen, 1997](#))

**Site-specifics:**

Little terns are highly sensitive to human disturbance during the breeding season. The choice of shingle beaches as nesting habitats by little tern means that the risk of human disturbance is high. Management measures are employed to reduce the impact of disturbance ([Natural England (NE), 2016](#)). National Nature Reserve shorebird wardens enforce management measures to reduce disturbance, such as having dogs on leads. These measures are important for reducing disturbance to little terns, and should continue ([Natural England (NE), 2017](#)).

Little terns are highly sensitive to disturbance when breeding.

### Advice on seasonality

In the table below, the months highlighted in green in each row indicate the months in which significant numbers of each mobile designated feature* are most likely to be present at the site during a typical calendar year. Where count data was available, highlighted months with significant numbers were defined on the basis of one or both of the following criteria being met in more than three-fifths (60%) of the years within the six years period 2007-2012. The two criteria used were: i) monthly maxima exceed 10% of the highest mean of monthly maxima over the six-year period; ii) monthly maxima exceed the 2012/2013 national significance threshold. These criteria were predominantly used for non-breeding bird features (based on WeBS data). Where insufficient count data were available to use these criteria, months with significant numbers were highlighted on the basis of generic information on seasonal patterns of occurrence in published sources.
Applicants considering plans or projects scheduled in the periods highlighted in green would benefit from early consultation with Natural England given the greater scope for there to be likely significant effects that require consideration. The months which are not highlighted in green are not ones in which the features are necessarily absent, rather that features may be present in less significant numbers in typical years, but there may still be a significant effect. Please note that this period can vary between years and that in any one year considerable numbers of a species may be present (throughout the year or) outside of the months indicated below. Any assessment of potential impacts on the features must be based on up-to-date count data and take account of population trends evident from these data and any other available information. Additional surveys may be required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature name</th>
<th>Life Stage</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bar-tailed godwit</td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common scoter</td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunlin</td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eider</td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden plover</td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grey plover</td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greylag goose</td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light-bellied brent goose</td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-tailed duck</td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red-breasted merganser</td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redshank</td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Breeding Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ringed plover</td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanderling</td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelduck</td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whooper swan</td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wigeon</td>
<td>Non-breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little tern</td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseate tern</td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Extracts from Natural England draft Conservation Advice for the Northumberland Marine SPA

Supplementary advice concerning human disturbance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature/Subfeature name</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Supporting notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sandwich tern</td>
<td>Disturbance caused by human activity</td>
<td>Restrict the frequency, duration and / or intensity of disturbance affecting roosting, foraging, feeding, moult and/or loafing birds so that they are not significantly disturbed</td>
<td>Breeding season</td>
<td>The nature, scale, timing and duration of some human activities can result in bird disturbance (defined as any human-induced activity sufficient to disrupt normal behaviours and / or distribution of birds in the absence of the activity) at a level that may substantially affect their behaviour, and consequently affect the long-term viability of the population. Such disturbing effects can for example result in changes to feeding or roosting behaviour, increases in energy expenditure due to increased flight, abandonment of nest sites and desertion of supporting habitat (both within or outside the designated site boundary where appropriate). This may undermine successful nesting, rearing, feeding and/or roosting, and/or may reduce the availability of suitable habitat as birds are displaced and their distribution within the site contracts. Disturbance associated with human activity may take a variety of forms including noise, light, sound, vibration, trampling, presence of people, animals and structures. ‘Significant’ disturbance is defined by AEWA (The Agreement on the Conservation of...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds (AEWA), 2016:

“Disturbance should be judged as significant if an action (alone or in combination with other effects) impacts on (water)birds in such a way as to be likely to cause impacts on populations of a species through either changed local distribution on a continuing basis; and/or changed local abundance on a sustained basis; and/or the reduction of ability of any significant group of birds to survive, breed, or rear their young.” (Fox and Madsen, 1997)

**Site-specifics:**

Further investigation is required to determine whether disturbance from human activity has an impact on this species.

Human disturbance may be impacting this feature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Little tern</th>
<th>Disturbance caused by human activity</th>
<th>Reduce the frequency, duration and / or intensity of disturbance affecting roosting, nesting, foraging, feeding, moulting and/or loafing birds so that they are not significantly disturbed</th>
<th>Breeding (summer) season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The nature, scale, timing and duration of some human activities can result in bird disturbance (defined as any human-induced activity sufficient to disrupt normal behaviours and / or distribution of birds in the absence of the activity) at a level that may substantially affect their behaviour, and consequently affect the long-term viability of the population. Such disturbing effects can for example result in changes to feeding or roosting behaviour, increases in energy expenditure due to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
increased flight, abandonment of nest sites and desertion of supporting habitat (both within or outside the designated site boundary where appropriate). This may undermine successful nesting, rearing, feeding and/or roosting, and/or may reduce the availability of suitable habitat as birds are displaced and their distribution within the site contracts.

Disturbance associated with human activity may take a variety of forms including noise, light, sound, vibration, trampling, presence of people, animals and structures.

‘Significant’ disturbance is defined by AEWA (The Agreement on the Conservation of African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds (AEWA), 2016):

"Disturbance should be judged as significant if an action (alone or in combination with other effects) impacts on (water)birds in such a way as to be likely to cause impacts on populations of a species through either changed local distribution on a continuing basis; and/or changed local abundance on a sustained basis; and/or the reduction of ability of any significant group of birds to survive, breed, or rear their young." (Fox and Madsen, 1997)

Site-specifics:

Little tern breed predominantly at Long Nanny and Lindisfarne. The little tern colony at
Lindisfarne is funded by EU Life. This breeding site is fenced off to the public, has the appropriate signage to prevent disturbance. The site is managed by two shorebird wardens. The colony at the Long Nanny site is funded by the National Trust and is managed by wardens 24 hours a day during the breeding season.

Little terns have a very limited foraging range and therefore monitoring of the breeding sites is required to ensure their foraging habitats are not impacted by unconsented recreational activities. Monitoring is required at Beadnell Bay and Long Nanny where unconsented jet ski activity has been known to take place.

The target has been set due to a lack of evidence that the feature is being impacted by any anthropogenic activities.

Advice on seasonality

In the table below, the months highlighted in green in each row indicate the months in which significant numbers of each mobile designated feature* are most likely to be present at the site during a typical calendar year. Where count data was available, highlighted months with significant numbers were defined on the basis of one or both of the following criteria being met in more than three-fifths (60%) of the years within the six years period 2007-2012. The two criteria used were: i) monthly maxima exceed 10% of the highest mean of monthly maxima over the six-year period; ii) monthly maxima exceed the 2012/2013 national significance threshold. These criteria were predominantly used for non-breeding bird features (based on WeBS data). Where insufficient count data were available to use these criteria, months with significant numbers were highlighted on the basis of generic information on seasonal patterns of occurrence in published sources.
Applicants considering plans or projects scheduled in the periods highlighted in green would benefit from early consultation with Natural England given the greater scope for there to be likely significant effects that require consideration. The months which are not highlighted in green are not ones in which the features are necessarily absent, rather that features may be present in less significant numbers in typical years, but there may still be a significant effect. Please note that this period can vary between years and that in any one year considerable numbers of a species may be present (throughout the year or) outside of the months indicated below. Any assessment of potential impacts on the features must be based on up-to-date count data and take account of population trends evident from these data and any other available information. Additional surveys may be required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature name</th>
<th>Life Stage</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arctic tern</td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common tern</td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guillemot</td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little tern</td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puffin</td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseate tern</td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandwich tern</td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Supplementary advice concerning water quality - nutrients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature/Subfeature name</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Supporting notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intertidal rock</td>
<td>Supporting processes: water quality - nutrients</td>
<td>Maintain water quality at mean winter dissolved inorganic nitrogen levels where biological indicators of eutrophication (opportunistic macroalgal and phytoplankton blooms) do not affect the integrity of the site and features, avoiding deterioration from eutrophication</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>High concentrations of nutrients in the water column can cause phytoplankton and opportunistic macroalgal blooms, leading to reduced dissolved oxygen availability. These seaweeds can smother the sediment, preventing aeration and causing anoxia (lack of oxygen). This can impact sensitive fish, epifauna and infauna communities. The aim is to seek no further deterioration or improve water quality (Devlin et al., 2007). (Best, 2014). Site-specifics: The risk of eutrophication across the site has been assessed as low using the Environment Agency’s Weight of Evidence approach. This takes into account assessments of the Water Framework Directive opportunistic macroalgae and phytoplankton quality elements using the respective assessment tools. Adverse effects to integrity should be avoided. Therefore opportunistic macroalgal levels should be maintained so there is no adverse effect to the feature through limited algal cover (&lt;15%) and low biomass (&lt; 500 g m²) of macroalgal blooms in the available intertidal habitat, with area of available intertidal habitat affected by opportunistic macroalgae less than 15 %.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intertidal coarse sediment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intertidal mud</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intertidal sand and muddy sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intertidal mixed sediments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infralittoral rock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtidal coarse sediments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtidal mixed sediments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtidal sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide Estuaries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea lamprey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River lamprey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There should also be limited (<5%) entrainment of algae in the underlying sediment (all accounting for seasonal variations and fluctuations in growth). Phytoplankton levels should be maintained above a WFD assessment tool score of 0.6, where there is only a minor (a) decline in species richness, and (b) disturbance to the diatom-dinoflagellate succession in the spring bloom compared to reference conditions.

There is evidence from survey or monitoring that shows this attribute of the feature to be in a good condition and/or currently un-impacted by anthropogenic activities.

Advice on seasonality

In the table below, the months highlighted in green in each row indicate the months in which significant numbers of each mobile designated feature* are most likely to be present at the site during a typical calendar year. Where count data was available, highlighted months with significant numbers were defined on the basis of one or both of the following criteria being met in more than three-fifths (60%) of the years within the six years period 2007-2012. The two criteria used were: i) monthly maxima exceed 10% of the highest mean of monthly maxima over the six-year period; ii) monthly maxima exceed the 2012/2013 national significance threshold. These criteria were predominantly used for non-breeding bird features (based on WeBS data). Where insufficient count data were available to use these criteria, months with significant numbers were highlighted on the basis of generic information on seasonal patterns of occurrence in published sources.

Applicants considering plans or projects scheduled in the periods highlighted in green would benefit from early consultation with Natural England given the greater scope for there to be likely significant effects that require consideration. The months which are not highlighted in green are not ones in which the features are necessarily absent, rather that features may be present in less significant numbers in typical years, but there may still be a significant effect. Please note that this period can vary between years and that in any one year considerable
numbers of a species may be present (throughout the year or) outside of the months indicated below. Any assessment of potential impacts on the features must be based on up-to-date count data and take account of population trends evident from these data and any other available information. Additional surveys may be required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature name</th>
<th>Life Stage</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>River lamprey</td>
<td>Downstream migration (juveniles)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River lamprey</td>
<td>Spawning (freshwater)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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Appendix 2: Results of North Northumberland Coastal Dog Walker Questionnaire Survey
Coastal Dog-Walker Survey Questions (Northumberland)
Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Dogs (tally)</th>
<th>1 (30), 2 (22), 3 (5), 4+ (1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dog(s) on lead? (Y/N)</td>
<td>Y = 13, N = 45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q1. How far have you travelled to get here today?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Tally</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>½ mile (0.8km) or less</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>½ - 1 mile (0.8-1.6 km)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 miles (1.6 – 8km)</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10 miles (8 – 16 km)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-15 miles (16 – 24km)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-20 miles (24 – 32km)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 20 miles/32km</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q2. To help understand where visitors come from it is very useful to know postcodes. Are you willing to provide your postcode?

See Map

Q3. Why do you choose to walk your dog at the coast?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Tally</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nowhere suitable close to home</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenient/close to home</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tranquillity</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good Parking</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enjoy the beach/sea/views</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plenty of space for the dog(s) to run around safely</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feels safe</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (on holiday/visiting family/friends)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4a. Is there anywhere suitable to walk your dog closer to home?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tally</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4b. If so, how often do you use it?

Frequencies given & tallies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequencies</th>
<th>Tally</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not very often</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twice per week</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three times per week</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Every other day</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Days</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everyday</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4c. Are there any particular reasons why you don’t use it more often?

Reasons (if given) & tallies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Tally</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prefer beach, can let dog off lead</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer on beach, more relaxed</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety, more space on beach</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach is close</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can walk further on beach</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depends on tides</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quieter on certain beach</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q5. If a suitable area of greenspace was
available close to your home would you use this as an alternative to walking your dog at the coast?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes, most of the time</th>
<th>Yes, some of the time</th>
<th>No, unlikely</th>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q6. Do you or your dog/s go on the rocky shore? If so, what proportion of time do you generally spend there?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Time spent (minutes)</th>
<th>Tally</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31-45</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46-60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q7. Aside from this location, do you visit any other places on the coast to walk your dog? If yes – which 3 locations do you visit most often?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locations given</th>
<th>Tally</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulmer</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embleton</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bamburgh</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Seahouses</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Druridge Bay</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annstead</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beadnell</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craster</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Newton</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Newton</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alnmouth</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q8. How often do you typically visit this site during the winter months (Oct – March) and the summer months (April - Aug)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tally</th>
<th>Winter (Oct – March)</th>
<th>Summer (April – August)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Three or more times per day</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twice per day</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once per day</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A few times per week</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once per week</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once or twice per month</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than once per month</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q9. As the number of people who use the coast increases the pressures on the unique environment will increase. With this in mind, to what extent would you support or object to plans which require….

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tally</th>
<th>Completely support</th>
<th>Support to some extent</th>
<th>Neither support nor object</th>
<th>Object to some extent</th>
<th>Strongly object</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A) Visitors only walking on designated paths along sensitive areas of the coast</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B) Dog owners to keep dogs on a lead during sensitive times of the year</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C) Dog owners to keep dogs on a lead when walking through specific areas</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q10. How long would you say you spend on the beach/shoreline during your visit?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tally</th>
<th>30 minutes or less</th>
<th>30 minutes – 1 hour</th>
<th>1-2 hours</th>
<th>2-4 hours</th>
<th>More than 4 hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q11. Do you tend to visit the coast at a certain time of day?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tally</th>
<th>Early Morning (Before 9am)</th>
<th>Morning (9am-12pm)</th>
<th>Early Afternoon (12-2pm)</th>
<th>Late Afternoon (2-4pm)</th>
<th>Evening (After 4pm)</th>
<th>Varies/First Visit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 3: Consideration of the Implications of Case C 323/17 in the Court of Justice of the European Union (People over Wind)

1. The European Court of Justice provided a ruling to the Irish Courts in the above case on 12th April 2018 in response to a request for a ruling to answer the following question:

   ‘Whether, or in what circumstances, mitigation measures can be considered when carrying out screening for appropriate assessment under Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive?’

2. The ruling was:

   Article 6(3)…. Must be interpreted as meaning that, in order to determine whether it is necessary to carry out, subsequently, an appropriate assessment of the implications, for a site concerned, of a plan or project, it is not appropriate, at the screening stage, to take account of measures intended to avoid or reduce the harmful effects of the plan or project on that site.’

3. The ruling is widely considered to be inconsistent with caselaw, established practice and European Commission guidance (DTA Publications Ltd, 2018 a and b). On 9 May 2018 the Planning Inspectorate issued PINS Note 05/2018 concerning this ruling, which included the following advice to Planning Inspectors concerning the examination of Local Plans:

   ‘Implications for Local Plans

   7. In Local Plan examinations, the Local Planning Authority (LPA) will be the competent authority throughout the local plan process. However, in determining soundness of the plan the examining Inspector will need to carefully consider whether the requirements of the Habitats Regulations have been met.

   8. For local plan examinations which are ongoing or for which examining Inspectors have not yet issued their recommendations by 12 April 2018 (the date of the CJEU judgment), the HRA report for the plan should be reviewed:

      • If the HRA report identifies that the plan is likely to have significant effects on European site(s) and their designated features and an appropriate assessment of the plan has been carried out then no further action is required.

      • If the HRA report includes information that concludes that there are no pathways for the policies/allocations in the plan to cause significant effects on European site(s) and their designated features then no further action is required.'
• **If the HRA report includes information that identifies likely significant effects on European site(s) and their designated features but concludes that they can be mitigated through avoidance or reduction measures (and does not go on to the AA stage)** then examining Inspectors should:

  o Ask the he LPA to confirm the extent to which they consider their HRA report is legally compliant in light of the judgment and ask them to re-visit the screening assessment in doing so.

  o If the revised screening assessment concludes that an AA is required this should be carried out.

  o Consider whether the AA necessitates any main modifications (MM) to the plan. The extent to which MM are likely will decrease where adequate avoidance and reduction measures were already identified and secured. If the avoidance and reduction measures are adequate to exclude adverse effects on European site(s) integrity, the approach required is primarily a procedural one ensuring that the AA has been undertaken where required.

9. Further consultation may be required on any revised screening assessment or AA. The Habitats Regulations require the competent authority (the LPA in this instance) to consult the appropriate statutory nature conservation body (SNCB) and have regard to any representations made by that body.

10. When reviewing the HRA report it is important to remember that more than one European site could be affected by the allocations/policies in a plan. The local authority may therefore have screened out some European sites (or designated features of a site) on the grounds that there was no pathway for effects, screened out others because of avoidance/reduction measures and finally taken only one or two European sites to full AA. It is only where likely significant effects have been screened out on the grounds of avoidance or reduction measures that further action needs to be taken.∗

4. Full consideration has been given to this ruling in the preparation of this Habitats Regulations Assessment. Reliance has not been given to mitigation measures at the screening stage, and all policies likely to have a significant effect in the absence of mitigation have been taken forward to appropriate assessment. Consequently it is considered that this HRA is consistent with the ECJ ruling in Case C 323/17.